

Shabat Study January 29, 2021, by Yada

Please Note: I have transcribed this to the best of my ability. Sound bites of parties speaking simultaneously and those which were inaudible to me have been deleted from the transcript. If I guessed at a word, it was followed by ()? A _____ (blank line) indicates I could not understand the word or phrase and three periods ... indicate an interruption in the dialogue of one party by another. Thank you for your understanding. MK

Good evening. Welcome to Yada Yah Radio. We're here with both Kirk and JB. I've been labeled by the comment that was on the home page of Yada Yah Radio as "another Judaizer." Oh my. Here I am half-way done with the third volume of *Questioning Paul* and the only thing that Christian apologists can say to exonerate Paul for contradicting God item for item is that he was combating Judaizers. Of course, there has never been a Judaizer in the history of the world. Jews do not try to convert anyone to their religion. Moreover, there is nothing about Judaism that Paul was fighting; he actually liked Judaism. So, clearly that wasn't the problem. And, of course, I would willingly say that if we are going to have a designation of a Judaizer, and if we're going to be accused of it, then that's fine because that would make Yahowah a Judaizer also because our entire approach and criticism of Paul and Christianity is to respect Yahowah, His testimony in the Towrah, Prophets, and Psalms, and since their whole argument is against the Towrah, it's the Judaizers who of course are promoting the Towrah, to be a Judaizer you would have to be someone who agrees with Yahowah. What a terrible thing! If I'm going to have to wear a label, I'm going to wear that one.

There was an announcement earlier this week that the U. S. economy contracted the greatest level since the early 1940s and that consumer spending was at the lowest level since 1938. A lot of people don't recognize that there's this tendency to blame Hoover for the Great Depression, but the Great Depression became vastly greater under FDR. FDR so mismanaged the economy that the things he did, which is what the Democrats are now ready to do, actually made the economy much worse. They increased taxes on the most productive Americans so that at point there was nothing but government that was willing to spend, and government didn't have any money because there was nobody making any money, so there was almost nothing to tax. So, all the government could do was create the illusion of money so that it could spend money to prop up the economy, which rather than dissolving the depression it exacerbated it. So, the depression was actually worse in the 1930s than it was at the end of the 1920s which is why they are saying that our economy contracted consumer spending was the worst since the pre-war years 1938 – 1942. But, hey, don't worry because the solution is that the four trillion dollars that Trump put forward as a stimulus and the trillion that he did as he was leaving office and the two trillion that is being proposed now, what's the big deal in going from being in debt 25 trillion to being debt 35 trillion over a two-year period? Just numbers on a chalkboard that don't amount to anything, and that's the solution. Spend more money; create the illusion of more money. Inflate the monetary supply and everything will be just fine. You don't need anybody to actually work for anything, right? Just give them money. That is, by the way, the thing that I have said for so long.

The way of Ya'aqob's Trouble, the complete economic collapse around the world, the way that is going to be handled is the way I predicted about twenty years ago. People, those who are in power,

are going to forgive all debts. Your home mortgage, credit cards, and student loans will be forgiven. All you have to do is accept the new monetary system and swear an oath of allegiance to whatever they are proposing, and all your debts will be forgiven. You'll really feel good about it. You might as well do it because the money you owe is worthless anyway. But that will be the answer. Of, course, if you have any savings, they'll simply confiscate it. And from that point on, everyone is wholly independent on the government. Government is wholly inept and unreliable. That's why Yahowah speaks against politics and government. And down into the burrow of the snake we all plunge and find ourselves.

It's interesting that in the People's Republic of California, Kirk, that even though the contagious rate of COVID continues to soar, right after the elections the governor Gavin said let's let people out. Might as well let them run free now. We won the election. What does it matter? The stores were open, and everybody was going back to work, and I didn't see how anything changed.

KIRK: I'm living it. I don't either. I talk to people, and they don't either. They say what happened? All of a sudden you can come out of your hole.

YADA: The reason, of course, was they had to have all these absentee ballots because how do you defraud the electoral process unless you have no accounting on mail-in ballots which is what they needed to do to make certain they would prevail. Of course, they were running against a genuine nincompoop. The candidate they were running against was awesomely hopeless, so you ought not to have had to cheat to prevail but nonetheless that's where we find ourselves.

When Satan waltzed into the Garden, I don't see him slithering, ... yes, he's a pretty boy. When he was allowed into the Garden, you would have thought that as relatively brilliant that he would have been compared to Chawah that he would not have had to come up with such a dunderhead ploy. He could have and should have done better than what he did. Maybe he figured it's not going to take much to fool them, and he just didn't come out with his A game, but it sort of reminds me as we go back through what ha Satan the serpent had to say to Chawah and how he corrupted Yahowah's testimony. It's kind of like but I Satan say and it's very much like the tactic he used in inspiring Paul. And it's just so amazing that this person that accused me of being a Judaizer on our home page said that "The Lord chose Paul." Well, the Lord really did choose Paul; the Lord is not God.

I'm about half-way through Chapter 5 of Galatians in my review of *Questioning Paul* Volume 3 and it's just flabbergasting first as to the hatred that Paul had for God and His testimony. He just despises Him and so denigrates the Word of God. He said God is an idiot. He can't save you, He's mean, if you try to do anything He says He's going to find a way to enslave you. He's just mean, and his logic is so flawed that he's sitting here using the story of the development of the Covenant and the salvation of 'Abraham to prove that the Towrah can't save. Say what??? Then he wants to say he was chosen by Iesou Christou and then he says the reason Iesou Christou died was to save you from the Towrah; it was God's sins that he was saving you from, not our own.

KIRK: How can you wrap your head around that?

YADA: Almost three billion people believe such utter nonsense. It's not that it's well-written because it's horribly written. It's not because it's inspiring because it's utter rubbish. It gets right down to that proposition that I keep on sharing which is if you're going to be a Christian you have to recognize that this plan was horrible. That rather than saving people, it was actually counterproductive and enslaving. And so, God just gave up and said I can't fix this. My plan was just horrible, I'm horrible. What I need to do is find somebody smarter than Me who can save mankind. So, He went to this man who said he's a sexual pervert, admits he was viscous and murderous, the man whose writing quality is about at the level of your average third grader, who is completely irrational and clearly a schizophrenic, psychotic, and a narcissist, and He says hey, you're my guy! I'm going to authorize you to contradict everything I said and come up with a new plan. And rather than come up with all this detail about how you're going to form a relationship and then resolve the issues to reconcile man back into the Covenant, what I want you do is, well it's up to you because I'm obviously a nincompoop. What I want you to do is come up with a plan and don't bother even explaining it. Just call it faith. And then have a tizzy fit about Me seeking obedience to a law which, by the way, isn't true. And then telling people they need to obey you. It's preposterous!! But that's what you've got to believe, and Christian apologists eat it up.

KIRK: Can I read one little verse from your translation? **“By comparison I am God Almighty.”** This is *Bare'syth* 17, **“Chose of your own volition, of your own initiative, to walk by yourself, of your own free will, towards My Presence and thereby enjoy the Family genuinely perfect without defect continually and entirely right and completely innocent, totally fulfilled, lacking in nothing throughout the whole of time.”** Now why would you want Paul to keep you from Him? You got a better deal than that? I have to walk to Him of my own free will, that's it.

YADA: And so do 2.5 billion Christians. It's so sad. But anyway, as we approach this and ha Satan, speaking on his own recognizance here, it's nothing but the same kind of dribble that we read in Paul's letters. Now, imagine you're Chawah here. You've been brought into this perfect garden. I mean there are trees everywhere and the fruit is delicious. You have God as your playmate. You can go out any day and explore anything with the author of DNA who enables you to do something that would be so much fun; talk and interact with the animals. Oh, talk about beautiful. And this garden is just perfect. Life is just a perfect 75 degrees every day. And there you are. And you have this man who absolutely adores you and there's nothing to do all day but to enjoy yourself. So, there you are and somebody you don't know waltzes into the Garden and says,

‘So what if it were true that (*‘ap ky* – beyond all of this, even more than this, in contrast on the other hand, nevertheless upon the condition therefore that it was out of anger, furthermore demonstrating resentment and arrogance, with an inappropriate self-appraisal while emphasizing that it was indeed accurate that there was an exception) **God** (*‘elohym* – the Almighty) **said** (*‘amar* – stated and claimed, exclaimed (qal perfect)), **“You should not make a habit of eating** (*lo’ ‘akal* – you should not continually consume food, consistently being nourished by (qal imperfect – addressing actual ongoing, continuous, and habitual consumption)) **from** (*min* – part of) **any tree**

(*kol 'ets* – all and every plant) **of the garden** (*ha gan* – the cultivated and lush environment which is protected and conducive to life).””” (*Bare'syth* / In the Beginning / Genesis 3:1)

What are you going to do? You're going to say, "Hey pal, He's God!! What do you mean 'So what?'" I talk to Him every day and you want to tell me "So what?" If you're going to pretend to quote Him why can't you quote Him accurately? He didn't say you should not make a habit of eating from any tree in the Garden. In fact, He said the opposite. You should make a habit of eating from any tree in the Garden. You slipped the knot in where there's no knot. He didn't even say, and this is funny because people talk about the "forbidden fruit," there's nothing forbidden. God even said to 'Adam, you can eat from every tree in this garden. They are all there, they are all good for you, they are all enjoyable, they are all delightful. Eat from any one of them that you want. I just want you to know that that tree over there, the Tree of the Knowledge of Good and Bad, if you eat consistently from that tree, you're going to embody what it means to die. It's not forbidden. God didn't say you can't do that. He said you can eat from any tree including that one. Just understand that there's a consequence that goes along with it. And the reason they're going to know what it's like to die is because at this point all they knew was good and wonderful and now they're going to know well, what's the opposite of good and wonderful? Death. So, here's Satan. He misquoted God and actually said the opposite of what God said. It's like Paul saying if you're circumcised you get sick. Say what?

I find it interesting that most English bible translations, oh by the way, I should probably stop the sarcasm because a Covenant member and friend posts these programs on You Tube. He received a letter from someone saying I've got a couple of the free books and have listened to the show, and I don't know what to do anymore because the author of these books is not loving. He's mocking these liars and those that would speak against God. I wrote him back and if this person is listening, it may or may not be a woman, if you are judging whether or not something is from God, whether it is true or not based upon feelings, you're looking at everything wrong. There's nothing wrong with a feeling but your feelings must follow your knowledge. So, if you were to say that guy, I don't know, when he speaks extemporaneously on this program, his words are inconsistent with what he writes therefore I'm concerned, that would be genuine concern. I'm dismayed that his words are extemporaneously presented are counter to what he writes that God says. That would be _____. But to say that I feel that he's not loving enough, now that's looking at everything upside down. You ought never make a judgment based upon your feelings.

Plus, the fact God is not all loving. He's far more hating than He is loving. Read the Towrah and Prophets. He's far more hating than He is loving because there's far more to hate than there is to love, and you cannot love without hating. One of the most effective ways we can be Godly is to know what to hate and how to hate. We need to know there are things worthy of hate. We also need to know how to go about doing it. You don't do it like those idiots that stormed the Capitol building swinging baseball bats, breaking through windows, and stealing things. That's a really dumb way to express your hate. Or Black Lives Matter burning buildings down and looting them. That is not the appropriate approach.

You ought to take a much more cerebral approach which is to say, for example: there is a religion that is responsible for over 90% of terrorist attacks in the world, Islam. Islam is responsible for

those things because Mohammad is a terrorist and he said that all good Muslims are terrorists. And then he even said that if you're a peaceful Muslim a good terrorist Muslim must kill you. Now, to expose that religion is a loving thing to do. Even to hate the religion that inspires such terrible things is exceedingly compassionate. But let's use our words. So, anyway, I should be less biting in my commentary. If you'd please keep me in check, JB and Kirk, I'd appreciate it.

Okay. So, as I said, I find it interesting that English bibles render *Bare'syth*/Genesis 3:1 as a question. Did God say? There is no interrogatory in the Masoretic text, and you can't have a question without an interrogatory in Hebrew. The difference is that as a statement Satan's line suggests that the Adversary is not the least bit interested in soliciting that kind of ____. No, he's much better informed and much smarter than we are. He's not interested in small talk or companionship. He didn't want to know how Chawah felt. No. Satan is simply inferring that God's instructions do not matter. He's making a statement, a declaration; he's not curious as to what someone else thinks. He is saying what Catholicism and Judaism have now inferred; that so what if God said. We do whatever we want.

In debate parlance, Satan has set up a strawman. Maybe I shouldn't do this either. I'm being pedantic, I guess if I'm doing this. There are just not a lot of people that are as old as I am, so I'm not going to speak for you, Kirk and I definitely can't speak for you, JB. I am so old, that when I went to junior high and high school, not only did I take classes on rhetoric and on debate, I actually competed in debate. Can you imagine a school program that not only teaches you how to debate and teaches all of the logical flaws that you would hold your opponent accountable to, and that's how you win the debate, and actually having that be part of a school curriculum?

JB: I wish it had still been there when I was in school because I love debate and rhetoric.

YADA: I used to compete in state-wide tournaments in debate, and I just loved logic, loved debate. A strawman is the most common logical fallacy today by far and away is ad hominem. Ad hominem is like the fellow that labels me as a Judaizer. That's just an ad hominem argument. He can't actually debate and prevail ... evidence and reason to criticize anything I've said effectively so, all they can do is an ad hominem attack which means "of the man" and to attack the messenger's approach to the message. That's the most prevalent fallacy today.

Paul's most common fallacy is not second, he makes a statement and says based upon this than this and there is no correlation between the two. It's like saying that red wagons have square wheels therefore all green wagons must have round wheels. This argument is a strawman. A strawman is that if you use it in debate, if you have no way to win the debate based upon evidence and reason you would create a strawman. The strawman says I'm going to create an argument against something that I can prevail. If you can't effectively criticize, for example the Word of God, what you do is you misrepresent the Word of God and say ah ha, I can attack that. It's kind of like the atheist will attack the creation account and say well, see God said that the sun was created after there were plants on the third day. The fourth day the sun was created. You say, no, that's a strawman. That's not what God said at all. He said the sun became *visible* on the fourth day. Yes. Not that it's ____. So, it's a strawman.

So, Satan is saying “**So what if God said you can ___?**” That’s not what He said. If you start off with a faulty premise that faulty premise was something that then Satan could attack as a strawman. It was something that was easy for him to disprove, it’s just that by disproving it he did not in any way disprove the Word of God. All he proved was that he was duplicitous, and it proves that he’s admitting that he cannot prevail on the merits of his case by using evidence and reason. So, he has misrepresented the words of God and therefore presented a foe that he can defeat.

While crafty, cunning, shrewd and tricky, the tactic is pragmatic because most people only know enough to be dangerous. They are just incapable of disciplined reasoning. That’s the preponderance of people today and it has been like this for most of human history.

Every time I engage a religious individual in debate, for example, this is their go-to ploy. It’s effective because there are too few informed logical people around to properly identify and judge the __. So, lastly there is something notable here that is also pervasive among rabbinical types.

Do you know when Satan went into the Garden and hoisted this ploy, he didn’t use Yahowah’s name? He never used Yahowah’s name. So, while Yahowah’s name has been prevalent throughout *Bare’syth* Satan does not use it. So, if you want to be like Satan do as the rabbis and Christians do and avoid using Yahowah’s name.

In her reply, Chawah started off reasonably well. Up to a point, she relied on the Word to counter Satan. But then as Catholics and Muslims do today, she added her own embellishments and lost her way. Her initial differences include the mention of “*pery* – fruit,” which was implied but not stated by Yahowah. In other words, there is no indication whatsoever that it was an apple. Nothing. It is strictly myth. The nature of that particular fruit is also totally irrelevant. She left out “*kol* – every” and then spoke of an “*ets* – tree” singular which was acceptable which of course God said every one of these trees. She located the Tree of Knowledge without actually naming it. Then following Satan’s example, she referred to Yahowah by title alone, rather than name, and then butchered by adding something that God had not said. So, here’s her response:

“The woman (*wa ‘ishah* – the female individual and wife) **said** (*‘amar* – answered and replied) **to** (*‘el*) **this tempting and toxic creature** (*wa ha nachash* – the sorcerous snake, this venomous viper, the spellbinding serpent; from *nachash* – the one who enchants and captivates regarding the Divine, the one who practices divination, magic, and sorcery, who indulges in prophecy to capitalize by fortunetelling, invoking supernatural knowledge and power), **‘From** (*min* – off of) **the fruit** (*pery* – that produced) **of the tree** (*‘ets* – plant (singular)) **of the Garden** (*ha gan* – of the protected enclosure for living) **we can eat** (*‘akal* – we can continually consume and be nourished (qal imperfect)). (3:2)

Yahowah didn’t actually mention fruit but she’s going to throw it in because it’s probably a reasonable assumption **of the tree** ...

JB: She had seen the tree. She would have known if it was a fruit or a nut.

YADA: That’s true. But she only has one tree in the Garden we can’t eat. Now she did write in the qal imperfect which means we can continuously (eat)?

But (*wa* – and) **from the fruit** (*min pery*) **of the tree** (*ha 'ets*) **which is in the center** (*'asher ba ha tawek*) **of the Garden** why not name it? If you name it you will understand what God is talking about (*ha gan*), **God** (*'elohym*) **said**, Not Yahowah, (*'amar* – once clearly stated (qal perfect)), **'You should not actually make a habit of eating from it** (*lo' 'akal min huw* – you should not continually consume from it nor consistently be nourished by it (qal imperfect)). So, she did get the conjugation correct, it is qal imperfect. **In addition** (*wa* – and also), **you guys should not make contact with it** (*lo' naga' ba huw* – you (plural) should not habitually touch it, continually strike it, actually abuse it, or damage it (qal imperfect)) **lest** (*pen* – or otherwise) **you will have chosen to die** (*muwth* – you will be killed in time (qal imperfect paragogic nun – actually, on an ongoing basis, and of one's own volition)).” (*Bare'syth* / In the Beginning / Genesis 3:3)

So, before we get serious, I think I've told this story before, but once upon a time when I was exceedingly poor, I bought on a street corner at a gas station for my fraternity room a Naugahyde couch. Now, most people are probably not even old enough to know there was such a thing as a Naugahyde couch. But nonetheless I bought it for \$50.00. If you can imagine how tacky a \$50 sofa would have to be, it was tacky. It was pretend leather which I told my friends, they show some respect to my Naugahyde couch because the Naugas, from which the leather came, were both rare and prized for their slippery skins. It turns out long before posting this ruse regarding this polyvinyl chloride plastic coating, Chawah spoke of the critters as if they roamed the Garden. In an amplified definition, *lo' naga'* humorously speaks of “not touching any part of its body, striking it, driving it away, or abusing it.” I doubt there are Naugahyde sofas in heaven, but to this day I envision in my mind's eye my Naugahyde couch. If only I could buy a fifty-dollar sofa today.

Seriously now, Chawah spoke of only one acceptable tree. By not naming the Tree of the Knowledge of Good and Bad, it suggests that she may have never really understood its purpose. Also, while I appreciate the nod to the elusive *nagas*, Yahowah said nothing of “touching.” Adding our own embellishments to His testimony is expressly contrary to God's instructions. Moreover, the paragogic nun suffix on *muwth* | die, as a variation of the cohortative, is an expression of first-person volition. While it may be true, God did not say it. God did not say you will have chosen to die. Anyway, that's what she projected.

The reason Yahowah did not say, “Do not naga' | touch the Tree of the Knowledge of Good and Bad” is because if we're going to appreciate the difference between that which is productive and counterproductive, we must examine both closely. Good and bad, right and wrong aren't determined based on a cursory touch. Then after thoughtful consideration, we can choose which to consume, fully appraised of the consequence. For example, in *Prophet of Doom*, I exposed and condemned Islam, proving the religion was a complete sham, based entirely upon what its five oldest scriptural sources revealed. While the information contained in those books was repulsive and deadly, I had to thumb through the rubbish and endure the stench to do the job. The same thing would have been true in the Garden. You are not going to gain any connection by touching something. This is not a game of touchy-feely. You do really have to be observant, pay attention, and engage far more of oneself than the fingertips.

There are a number of reasons behind Chawah's mischaracterizations. And, I maybe have no business and maybe it was inappropriate, maybe I will get myself in the doghouse with Covenant

and non-Covenant members for saying some of this, but I just can't let it go. I always want to know why. Why did Chawah mischaracterize Yahowah's testimony? One reason may have been that 'Adam may have done a really crappy job of communicating Yahowah's instructions to her. He may have been so goo goo gaa gaa over pretty pretty that he just didn't do it. And shame on him if he didn't because he shortchanged her experience and his. If that is the case, we ought to learn our lesson and be more diligent around those we love. Part of the "punishment" of 'Adam was that he was going to be required to do a really good job of explaining the truth and providing the truth. So, this could be it. He may have said, don't touch it, leave alone, let's go on to other stuff.

Another possibility is that Chawah may have been a bit miffed at 'Adam or Yahowah. While she was 'Adam's equal, highly valued and appreciated, and living in paradise, she was an afterthought and a gift to 'Adam. She may have resented one or the other. This puts me in hot water but think it through. She was an afterthought. Clearly. In fact, she was Plan C as part of the afterthought. There was Plan A and Plan B before Yahowah every proposed Plan C. It was all 'Adam all the time in Plan A. I'm going to introduce him to every other life form to choose to interact and talk with them, have fun with them, name them. That was Plan B. And Plan C was Chawah. So, she may have been miffed and said okay I know I was an afterthought and I resent it. It's a possibility.

It is possible that Chawah never bonded with Yahowah, such as they were as close as God was with 'Adam. While we are not told, perhaps even now 'Adam may have been off exploring with Yahowah, (as we were told they did regularly) leaving Chawah home alone which would not have been healthy for her. She was given to 'Adam to keep 'Adam from ever having to be alone, but did 'Adam reciprocate? That could have been the problem.

'Adam may have enjoyed being enlightened by God more than his wife. If that is true, one day when 'Adam and Yah were out tending to the Garden, Chawah may have been bored. This may speak to the value of work and of constantly learning in what is going to be productive, useful, and enjoyable in the hereafter going out and doing stuff and exploring with Yahowah. And if you're just sitting back and being bored you've come to the devil's playground.

While it is an overgeneralization with countless exceptions, and this may have evolved over time where it is not true at all, if you go back in time throughout the ages, men have responded more to reason and women have been more prone to their feelings. Consider Chawah's *naga*.

Chawah may have had an independent streak – a desire to carve out a niche of her own. After all, 'Adam had enjoyed a relationship with Yahowah for mental stimulation and daily physical exertion while caring for the Garden, as was his responsibility. Chawah's role was to help 'Adam. In doing so, she may have engendered a loving and supportive response, which is the essence of an enjoyable and enduring relationship, but she may have done so because she felt this is what God set me up do and therefore, I'm obliged to do it. If that's the case and it wasn't her choice and if her contributions were not being reciprocated where she was helping and giving but 'Adam was not engaged in a supportive way, then she could have looked for a way out of that arrangement. When we interact under obligation rather than desire, we foreclose the joys of reciprocation which makes love so enchanting.

Such is the case with a marriage vow. I think it would be fair to say that women value a marriage

vow more than men do. Marriage vows are religious statements and are not Godly precepts even though they are all saying, “Before God you make this vow that you shall love this person, cherish her, and forsake all others for as long as you both shall live.” God did not ask us to say something like that, and He really doesn’t have very many good examples of somebody of that’s what they did. And the people He’s closest to, they didn’t even make an attempt to. Dowd had eight wives and ten concubines. No, I don’t think so. So, God would not have made divorce so easy if He thought that we were somehow wired to find the right person such that we would be theirs alone throughout our entire mortal existence because His statement on divorce says, “If you want a divorce, whatever the reason might be, write a letter that says, ‘I want a divorce.’” That’s it. There is no reason to torture one another if your relationship is not working. Go find someone with whom you are compatible, that you have some synergy with. So, the marriage vows are the greatest example of people (fulfilling a vow)? “I made a vow in front of God that I would do this forever, so I’m going to be miserable forever.” That is not what God is asking for us or wants from us, but nonetheless Chawah may have resented that.

Said another way, being kind, affectionate, and uplifting will engender a far more desirable response than being commanding, controlling, and imposing based upon some kind of vow or construct. Had Chawah chosen to go out to explore the Garden with ‘Adam, her life would have been more adventurous and rewarding. The more each of them contributed of their own volition to one another, the more enjoyable and stimulating the relationship would have become. Had that been the case, it is unlikely that Chawah would have been alone, much less listened to that duplicitous devil.

In reply, the Adversary directly contradicted God, making promises that he could not keep. He was not only wrong; his counsel was deceptive and deadly. Chawah would die, just not instantaneously. She would become mortal, but body and soul would not fail concurrently.

“But (wa – then) the venomous serpent (nachash –this sorcerous snake, venomous viper, and spellbinding creature, the one who enchants and captivates regarding the Divine, the one who practices divination, magic, and sorcery, and who indulges in prophecy by fortunetelling, invoking supernatural knowledge and power) replied (‘amar – answered, saying) to (‘el) the woman (ha ‘ishah), ‘You absolutely will not die (lo’ muwth muwth – you will not actually come to embody death, you will not be assassinated nor killed (qal infinitive – the negation of the genuine depiction of dying and qal imperfect – depicting actual death occurring over time)).” (Bare’syth / In the Beginning / Genesis 3:4)

That was partially true up to a point. Chawah would not die on this day. And that is what made Satan’s deception appear credible. Outright lies seldom fool anyone. But when truth is twisted, when the counterfeit resembles the genuine article, it can be beguiling. After all, nobody wants to die. So, it was very appealing to say “Whoa, I can do it and I’m not going to die? I’ve got this guys’ stamp of approval on it. Cool!” It could have been nothing more than that. What would Chawah know of lies? Yahowah actually states,

“But (wa), from (min) the Tree (‘ets) of the Awareness and Knowledge (ha da’ath) of Good, of that which is beneficial, productive, and correct (towb), and (wa) Bad, of that which is counterproductive, errant, improper, and disadvantageous (ra’), do not make a habit of

continuing to eat (*lo' 'akal* (qal imperfect – do not actually make a habit of continuing to eat))
from it (*min huw'*).

As a consequence and result (*ky*), **in a day** (*ba yowm*) **you will absolutely die** (*muwth muwth* (qal infinitive qal imperfect)) **defined by having eaten from it** (*'akal 'atah min huw'* (qal infinitive)).” (*Bare'syth* / Genesis 2:17)

It was written in the qal infinitive. The qal infinitive is a verbal adjective that says you are literally going to be defined by having eaten from it. Qal is the verb. So as a consequence, and result in the day you do so, you will absolutely die having been defined by it.

It is never wise to remove something Yahowah said from the context in which it was presented particularly when it's a story of this importance. It is also foolish to truncate His instructions, both of which Satan did in this instance. But the single worst thing anyone can do is contradict God while encouraging others to believe their misappropriation. Satan did this very thing with: **“You absolutely will not die** (*lo' muwth muwth* (qal infinitive qal imperfect)).” *Lo'* makes the second statement the inverse of the first.

No one wants to die, which is why Satan's deception is enticing. It is the appeal of every religion, where an afterlife is promised to believers. But it is always a lie with a deadly consequence.

People talk about hope and faith as being good things, but false hope is tragic particularly when there's something that you can know that will provide a terrific result that is nullified by the faith making faith counterproductive. It robs people of the opportunity to find and capitalize upon the truth. Worse, believers are manipulated and swindled in the exchange.

With lies the likes of this at the heart of Judaism, Christianity, and Islam, it is both amazing and inexcusable that anyone is beguiled into believing them. It's hard to write copy for *Questioning Paul* because Paul's statements are so stupid, so contradictory, duplicitous, and blasphemous that all you want to do is puke. Vomit doesn't make for good commentary but that's what we're up against and people just aren't willing to recognize that God said something, and somebody is claiming the opposite, and they are lying. And most of these lies ...

JB: It seems easy enough to understand. He speaks for God and contradicts Him and call that ___.

YADA: Right. What's interesting in Galatians is that it reads like the first 30 or 40 surahs in the Quran chronologically where Mohammad is constantly telling the Meccans you are idiots, you are stupid, if you don't believe me, my god is going to get you. Repeat: You're idiots, you're stupid, if you don't believe me, my god is going to get you. That's over and over again. Paul says the same thing. He's saying, you're idiots, you're stupid, Galatians. You don't believe me therefore my god is going to kill you. If you've got this book of a broken record of Paul calling the entire community that he had preached to, he calls them traitors, irrational, and ignorant. He says you were liberated by me and my message of faith but now you're enslaved again. If the general tone is to call everyone who has listened to you an idiot for not capitulating, then doesn't it say that those who knew him best rejected it, and those that don't know him at all are the only ones accepting it? And it's not just Galatians. Corinthians and Thessalonians are the same thing. Paul is constantly lashing out at those people that listen to him preach. So, you wonder why this very thin veneer of believability engenders faith. If a religion contradicts that from which it derives its

authority, it cannot be true.

It is as simple as this: If Yahowah's Word is true, religions like Judaism, Christianity, and Islam which contradict the Towrah cannot be true. If Yahowah's Word is not true, religions which claim they are divinely inspired, and which garner their authority from the Towrah, as Judaism, Christianity, and Islam do, are false. While this simple logical exercise renders all three religions invalid, something any informed and rational person will immediately recognize, these false dogmas prevail because very few people are informed or rational.

That's the reason this last debate process was so frustrating. So, few people able to distinguish between the candidates based upon what they had done, what they were likely to do. As much as Trump was a nincompoop virtually everything that he signed as an Executive Order was beneficial and virtually everything that Biden has signed as an Executive Order to do away with it is counterproductive. I don't like either man. I didn't vote for either man. But the Liberal is so emotionally charged with Trump it really doesn't matter what their guy does to them.

Satan took his agenda one step further, enticing Chawah...

“Because instead (*ky* – rather by contrast), **the Almighty** (*'elohym* – God) **knows** (*yada'* – is aware and recognizes, understands and must confess (qal participle – a verbal adjective genuinely depicting actual and demonstrable recognition)) **that truthfully** (*ky* – surely) **in** (*ba*) **the day** (*yowm*) **you eat** (*'akal 'atem* – you are fed and are nourished by consuming (qal infinitive)) **from it** (*min huw'*), **your eyes** (*wa 'ayn 'atem* – your perspective and ability to see) **will be opened** (*paqach* – will enable you to be sensible and understand, gaining discernment and insights (nifal perfect – by opening your eyes you will be enlightened for a time)).

Then you will exist (*wa hayah* – be) **like** (*ka* – similar to and comparable to) **God** (*'elohym*), **knowing** (*yada'* – recognizing, discerning, discriminating, distinguishing, experiencing, and acknowledging) **good** (*towb* – that which is beneficial, pleasing, enjoyable, correct, prosperous, and beautiful) **and bad** (*ra'* – that which is ineffective, counterproductive, harmful and distressing).” (*Bare'syth* / In the Beginning / Genesis 3:5)

In psychological parlance, this is one of the most dangerous things we encounter. It's called projecting. By using this tactic, Satan revealed that he is a psychopath and a narcissist. It was Satan who wanted to be like God, to be seen as above God. The Adversary was revealing what he wanted, and he was doing so for all to behold.

He said that **“Because instead** (*ky* – rather by contrast), **the Almighty** (*'elohym* – God) **knows** (*yada'* – is aware and recognizes, understands and must confess (qal participle – a verbal adjective genuinely depicting actual and demonstrable recognition)) **that truthfully** (*ky* – surely) **in** (*ba*) **the day** (*yowm*) **you eat** (*'akal 'atem* – you are fed and are nourished by consuming (qal infinitive)) **from it** (*min huw'*), **your eyes** (*wa 'ayn 'atem* – your perspective and ability to see) **will be opened** (*paqach* – will enable you to be sensible and understand, gaining discernment and insights (nifal perfect – by opening your eyes you will be enlightened for a time)).

Then you will exist (*wa hayah* – be) **like** (*ka* – similar to and comparable to) **God** (*'elohym*), **knowing** (*yada'* – recognizing, discerning, discriminating, distinguishing, experiencing, and acknowledging) **good** (*towb* – that which is beneficial, pleasing, enjoyable, correct, prosperous,

and beautiful) **and bad** (*ra'* – that which is ineffective, counterproductive, harmful and distressing).” (*Bare'syth* / In the Beginning / Genesis 3:5)

That's what Satan wants. He wants to be known as God. He wants to be seen as God. Of course, the truth is the opposite and it's a terrible ploy. He was wrong.

As a psychopath and megalomaniac, Satan has no empathy and has an inherent disregard for humankind. These failings define his character and ambitions. With the Adversary, the ends will always justify the means – no matter the carnage or suffering. The more carnage and suffering the better. He has no regrets. The sacrifice of ten-billion souls has been of no consequence. He is fundamentally destructive. He has no remorse. I think Satan wants to have lots of company now and the best way to do that is to encourage people to be religious.

A very interesting dialog has happened so far. Satan is not only drawn to the best and brightest among us; he will use such individuals and then toss them aside, or if he cannot persuade them to join him, he will seek to bring them down. The Adversary is power hungry, manipulative, deceptive, delusional, exploitative, and Machiavellian. He wants to be seen above the Most High. If you leave with him what are the chances that he won't steamroll you too? If he's going to step over God, he's sure as hell going to step over the likes of Hadrian, Paul, Akiba, Hitler. Step right over them. So, they were fun for a while, now you can go kill yourself.

In this case, the Adversary would deceive and denigrate the woman to destroy the loving relationship Yahowah had nurtured with the man. He would strike back at God by killing 'Adam. Chawah would be collateral damage. Disparaging women is the signature trait of narcissists. It is why Satan approached the woman rather than the man directly.

Not surprisingly, Paul, Akiba, and Muhammad were all sociopaths and, every one was a narcissist, as is the '*Adonai* | Lord of the Talmud, the New Testament's depiction of Jesus Christ, and the Qur'anic Allah. The picture is clear and available for all to see. With his words on this day, Satan revealed the means he would deploy through Judaism, Christianity, and Islam to dissolve and denigrate the Towrah. The Covenant would be denigrated by Paul, Mohammad, Akiba, and it's a tactic that works for a good long while because most people aren't capable of figuring out what's going on.

Should you want to hone your understanding of Satan and those who would advance his agenda, study psychopathy. A good book on the subject, *The Wisdom of Psychopaths*, was written by British social psychologist, Kevin Dutton. You will discover, as was the case here with Satan projecting his desires onto Chawah, that psychopaths and narcissists, and their like, psychopaths and borderlines, reveal their true nature and purpose with their every word. I know, I was born of one and demeaned by another.

Up to this point, all 'Adam and Chawah "*yada*' – were aware of, recognized, experienced, acknowledged, and knew" was Yahowah and the sheltered enclosure He had created for them. Everything was "*towb* – good, pleasing, enjoyable, beneficial, prosperous, and beautiful." Until evil's advocate slithered into the Garden, they had no knowledge whatsoever of anything "bad, sad, immoral, harmful, disadvantageous, or distressing" – even deadly. They had no concept of deceit. Chawah had no concept of saying, "Oh, you're trying to deceive me." She would have

known nothing of that. ‘Eden was paradise after all, a place of “great joy, ultimate pleasure, and extreme satisfaction.”

It was also true that by eating the fruit, Chawah would add an awareness of evil and suffering to those pleasant things she already knew. But since she had already experienced everything good, the implied benefit was worthless. Satan was adding a negative, diminishing the sum. It is ironic that by attempting to increase her knowledge Chawah only succeeded in degrading her experience. She decreased her life expectancy, and she was not alone. The Towrah is diminished by the Talmud, New Testament, and Qur’an, when billions believe the opposite of what is true.

The moment we become aware of that which is bad, evil, sad, distressing, harmful, and counterproductive we cease to be perfectly good. We are constantly confused with the choice between the two. Prior to such knowledge, neither ‘Adam nor Chawah even contemplated the concept of deception nor entertained the notion of being harmed or doing something that wasn’t good for one another.

It’s hard to imagine living in that situation. Again, to some degree we are going to be in that situation going forward because there will be a time where all we know are positive thoughts. We’ll have to recollection of the pain and sorrow. So, in a way we’re going back to that. It must be a really good place to be, and it’s hard for us to even fathom it. Can you imagine being in a place where everything you know is positive, real, good, genuine, nurturing, and uplifting? It’s a place with only Judaizers.

We are constantly confronted with the choice between good and bad. Prior to such knowledge, neither ‘Adam nor Chawah even contemplated anything that would have been harmful to them.

Few things are as destructive as an immature and irresponsible flirtation with things which are harmful. While we must eventually choose to avoid such things, we do not discuss murder, rape, arson, armed robbery, terrorism, incest, or pedophilia with a two-year-old, but may discuss kidnap in terms they might be able to use to their advantage. All the rest, even if properly explained, would put frightening thoughts into a child’s head and torture their youth, even foreclose the development of many relationships. It’s stuff we need to know as adults, but not necessarily do we need to know as children.

The knowledge of evil precludes someone from living with the perfect God. And this is why Yahowah no longer remembers our sins once we are forgiven. They are obliterated by light. It is why a new universe will be created, a perfect one, at the end of the Millennial Sabbath. In the realm of immortality, there is no benefit to knowing something which is distressful or harmful.

Moreover, ‘Adam and Chawah were already like God – so this too was a half-truth designed to deceive. When Satan said you’ll be like God, they were already like God. The whole promise of the Covenant is to make us ever-more like God. Plus, being like God right now is not such a good thing. We have a happier existence than He does. He, to justifiably resolve our disputes, endured Passover as the Pesach Lamb. That doesn’t sound like fun. His was the one whose soul endured separation in She’owl on Matsah. That doesn’t sound like fun. He’s the one that has to reconcile His relationship with people who were stubborn, and pig headed, who have slandered, besmirched, and spit in His face. That doesn’t sound like fun.

Can you imagine being God and herding these stiff-necked cats out of Egypt only to have them create a golden calf at the end of it? What part of that is fun? Being God is not a great gig right now. I think being God in eternity as He only has family members around Him that are going off and exploring the universe and returning to just a bigger version of the Garden of 'Eden will be cool again. That will be fun. But right now, as I've said before, I don't want Dowd's job. I'm really glad he has it. Who wants to be a King or a shepherd? What a crappy jobs. I wouldn't want those jobs. But Dowd is cut out for it. He's raring to go, he's willing and I think that's marvelous. But it's just like I wouldn't want to be God. Can you imagine the responsibility? I wouldn't want to be Dowd. I think it's much more enjoyable to be one of the sheep.

So what Satan was offering to Chawah I think could be a negative. If God gave you the go-ahead and said okay JB, you're God. Would you say, boy I'm going to have fun now?

JB: I'd say why am I being punished?

YADA: You want me to come up and write a towrah|instruction that's going to endure the test of time, or can I please just read the one that You've already written and try to make sense of it? Why don't I just communicate Yours? They are all really good. If Satan said you can be like God, I would say thank you, no. I want to go play golf.

To a large degree 'Adam and Chawah were already like God because what did God say?

At the conclusion of the sixth day, after having created animal life, **“God said, ‘Let us produce ‘Adam | man in our image (tselem – resemblance, pattern, and model), after our likeness (damuwth – similitude and manner, comparable to us, resembling our imagination and thinking).”** (*Bare'syth / In the Beginning / Genesis 1:26*)

Tselem is “a two- or three-dimensional painted or sculptured representation of something larger.” Shade/a shadow is a two-dimensional representation of the three-dimensional object between it and the source of the light. *Damuwth* is “a likeness vs an image” “a comparison or likeness in the form of a picture.” It is “a builder's draft or sketch, a graphic representation for a future building or other construct,” a blueprint, if you will, for a home. Just as a mirror reflects our image and a shadow represents our shape in one less dimension, we were fashioned to be fewer dimensions than God. He is eternal in time, the fourth dimension. We are not, at least apart from Him.

We've got it written all over us, and we're still in the better role. If you had to make the choice if you've got to be the dad and go to work and make sacrifices to support the family or you can be the kid that gets to run around on his trike all day and play with his friends, who's got the better deal? Do you want to be the mom in the kitchen, or do you want to be the girl in the doll house that's going to ___? Or in today's world be the girl in the dragster. We as kids have a much better deal than our dad or mom does. And we as God's children have a much better ___ than God does. We get to spend time with Him, and He has to spend time with us. We get to spend time with Him and He's constantly lifting us up, perfecting and enriching us and what are we contributing? We make Him giggle from time to time, or smile? I think so. I'm expecting any moment now, Kirk, for God to tap you on the shoulder and say, “Hey you. He's right. Trade places.” I think of all I went through to raise my sons. I look at my dog. She's got the best life going.

And while most incorrectly believe that the following statement is about having children, it is

actually about closing the gap between our three-and-a-half dimensional realm and Yahowah's seven, increasing our nature so as to become as great as God. This is one of the benefits of the Covenant. It is why Yahowah got down on His knees to lift us up. Of course, if you want to be religious then we as the faithful can take on the hard part and we can life up God. Good luck with that. I don't think that's what Judaizers do.

Completing the thought, in the next sentence, God revealed: **“So God created ‘Adam (‘adam – man) in His image (tselem – resemblance, pattern, and model; from an unused root meaning shade), in the image (tselem) of God, He created him. Male and female, He created them.”** (*Bare'syth / In the Beginning / Genesis 1:27*)

“And God knelt down next to them (barak – adoring and blessing them, greeting them in love and lifting them up), saying to them, ‘Be fruitful (parah – flourish, be productive, increase) and multiply (rabah – become exceedingly great and numerous, being enlarged, reaching a very high point).” (*Bare'syth / In the Beginning / Genesis 1:28*) There is nothing greater than being adopted into Yahowah's family and inheriting all that is His.

By twisting Yah's words, Satan played to our ego, and his, to jealousy – by projecting his most debilitating flaws. It was the same failing which had caused a once useful spiritual messenger to be thrown out and cast down, excluded from Shamaym. Now he wanted man to endure the fate he had brought upon himself.

Also worth noting, Satan didn't just deceive Chawah, he actually did something far worse. He misconstrued God's nature and intent. According to the Adversary, God did not want His creation enlightened, enriched, or empowered. He was afraid that if He actually did what He said He was going to do in the Covenant, that man was somehow going to override God and become God and demote God? I think God would be first to say hey if you can make that plan work, go for it. He, according to Satan, had no desire for men and women to learn, because the inference was that God was like the Wizard of Oz. Should Chawah pull back the curtain she would see an insecure little man with his puny voice magnified, pulling levers, and using smoke and mirrors to maintain his illusion. One bite from the Tree would be all it would take for Chawah to outwit him. Soon, she believed, there would be three, perhaps even four, gods pulling the levers in 'Eden. I tell you, woman, that was not the right call. Being God's kid is the best job of all.

Sometimes I have people say, you're really lucky to have this big, beautiful yacht, this fancy airplane. I always say the same thing. You've got it all wrong. You're the one who is lucky to be the friend of somebody that has a big boat and an airplane. What we're all missing here is that Yahowah had the Garden and it's much better to be Yahowah's kid and in that Garden than it is to all of a sudden be responsible. Imagine what the taxes would be if that Garden was in California. Even God couldn't afford it.

Right now, your state is either burning up or right now you're under a total deluge. I don't get your state. It's the land of extremes. It's the only state that is suffering from a draught that is flooded. But that's the way it goes. Or it's burned down and awash in water.

In California, the solution to COVID was more lockdowns. Until everybody got to vote by absentee ballots and then there was no reason for the lock downs, so they let everybody loose even

though nothing changed regarding COVID. Say what?

“Then (wa) the woman (‘ishah) looked and saw (ra’ah – she viewed and perceived) that indeed (ky – surely and truly, ready to make an exception) the tree (ma’akal) was beautiful and pleasing (towb – pleasant and beneficial, productive and good) as a source of food to consume (la ma’akal – for nourishment, to approach, process, and eat), and that indeed (ky – in addition, surely) it was visually appealing and desirable, even eliciting cravings (ta’awah huw’ la ha ‘ayn – it was perceived as a lustful longing to be satisfied, and was seen as a jealous inclination for the eyes).

So (wa) she coveted (chamad – she lusted for and intensely desired, lusting for and treasuring) the tree (‘ets) to impart insights, inspire success, and convey understanding (sakal – to provide the wisdom to be prudent so as to acquire additional skills and prosper).

Therefore (wa), she grasped hold and seized (laqach – she obtained and accepted, she received, collected, and took with genuine intent and ongoing implications (qal imperfect)) from (min – part of) its fruit (pary huw’ – its produce and harvest, its result) and ate (wa ‘akal – consumed it (qal imperfect – literally and genuinely forming an ongoing relationship by continually eating).

Then (wa), she also gave it (nathan gama’ – in addition and besides she continually offered it, finally and in turn she habitually provided it (qal imperfect)) to (la – approaching in the direction of, moving toward) her man (‘iysh hy’ – her masculine individual and by context, husband) who was in a relationship with her (‘im hy’ – who was associated with her and similar to her), and then he ate (wa ‘akal – he imbibed and consumed on an ongoing basis (qal imperfect)).”
(Bare’syth / In the Beginning / Genesis 3:6)

There’s not much of a transition from and she coveted that fruit, grasped hold of it, took a bite, to and then she handed it over to her man ... Do you think ‘Adam was there? We’re not told about the time interval; it’s meaningless. I never pictured ‘Adam there when Satan is beguiling Chawah. I think it was a one-on-one thing, and then right at that time is when ‘Adam showed up and she took the bite and then said, “Here.”

KIRK: I’m even concerned that Yahowah may have taken him away so the opportunity would have presented itself.

YADA: That gets to make God a little negative so I’m not sure that’s the case. It could well have been.

KIRK: He had to let him in though. He had to know he was coming.

YADA: Our favorite young lady on this island, who is sort of our favorite island grandchild. We have an island grandson and an island granddaughter, and yesterday while in school her teacher said every one of your footsteps is destined by God. That reminds me a little of that what you said that God made this happen to Chawah by pulling ‘Adam away and making her susceptible to it. Can you imagine a god that is so myopic that he’s playing us like we’re like puppets on a string and everything that happens to us our lives has been orchestrated by Him? That means He has to be doing it with the rapists, Jihadists, terrorists, arsonists, etc. No, I don’t think He was manipulative in that way.

So, she gave it to the man and the man ate as well. Chawah made a conscious decision. It’s clear

that she did think it through, but she didn't make a conscientious decision. She looked, liked what she saw, was enticed by the possibilities, and seized upon the opportunity. She did no fact checking, she didn't bother comparing Satan's ruse to the truth, she didn't turn to Yahowah and ask for clarification on His guidance, which she could have done, nor did she discuss this with 'Adam. She had all those options. She could have discussed it with 'Adam. They were in a relationship and this was a life-changing moment, and if you're going to have any respect for him, you're going to say let's talk about this. This fellow waltzed in here and made this proposal. I checked it out and think it's looking good. We should do this. But she didn't do that. She truly had no respect for her husband. She could have said you make an interesting case but Yahowah is pretty cool. I think I'm going to wait until He and 'Adam gets back and then I'll ask Him personally and get a clarification on this. She didn't do that either. She didn't have any respect for Yahowah. Interesting realizations we don't like to think about but by doing this and not discussing it with anyone, she's clearly showing she's disrespectful.

KIRK: She'd make a good rabbi.

YADA: Yes, she would, or a priest. Reliant on bad information, she made a poor choice – a superficial one at that. The fruit appeared good to eat, looked pretty, and was visually appealing. Imagine that, she forfeited living with God in the Garden based upon pretense and opinion. But isn't that what every religious person has done throughout history? The faithful never compare their religious claims to God's testimony.

If you were to compare what Paul said to God's testimony you would rip up Paul's letters and the Christian New Testament that he influenced and throw it away and apologize and say I'm embarrassed that I ever believed this. Where are the Judaizers when you need them? The faithful never make those comparisons. Their beliefs are predicated upon lies.

Addressing his relationship with Chawah, the text states that 'Adam "*im hy*" – was in a relationship with her," which is to say that he "was associated with her" in this decision and thus was "considered similar to her." *Im* does not infer that 'Adam was standing next to Chawah this whole time or that he had been a party to her exchange with Satan. It just means that they were of one accord and fate – necessitating consistent consequences for similar choices. Unlike Judaism, Pauline Christianity, or Islam, there would not be a double standard, with the woman being blamed for man's mistake even though that was 'Adam's go-to moment. She ate it. "That woman you gave me, it's Your fault and her fault, not my fault." But God didn't roll that way.

Lacking any information to the contrary, the implication is that 'Adam lacked the good sense and character to challenge the majority opinion. If so, he represents most people today. He went with the flow. He had his wife, he had Satan. They outvoted Him two to one. That was the majority, and the majority can't be all wrong. He just went along. He was way too loving.

Had 'Adam countered the Adversary's enticing solicitation by correctly citing Yahowah's instructions, all would have remained good. Had he encouraged Chawah to set down the fruit and had told her you don't want to do this because this is what God said, this would be the consequence, then they would still be living in 'Eden. Chawah, maybe I did get it wrong. Maybe I didn't do a good job of explaining to you. Let's just take a moment and chill. The only thing God told us was that there would be a negative consequence if we do this thing. So, let's just take

a moment and God will explain it to us. We will hold up this thing and say this is what the serpent said, it doesn't seem to make a lot of sense to us. This fruit is really appealing though. Before we do this thing, can You tell us again why this is not necessarily in our best interest, and if he told us the truth or lied to us? If they would have taken a moment.

If you are confused when something comes into your life like the person that accused me of being a Judaizer, rather than do that and preclude himself from knowing the truth, what if he had taken the same amount of time to read the Towrah and read the very passage that you read, Kirk, about the eternal restoration of the soul, that God encourages of our own volition to walk towards Him so that He can perfect us?

Why not give God the opportunity? He's God after all. Give Him the opportunity and they would have still been there. It's a benefit we can all share because Yahowah conveys the instructions such as this one to us in such a way that it's written in the imperfect so that even had she grabbed and taken a bite of the fruit and 'Adam would have said, "That's enough. If we stop now, we're going to be okay. So, let's stop a moment and take a breath and let's give God the opportunity to explain to us why this may not have been a good choice."

While 'Adam would ultimately have his relationship with Yah reconciled, and likely because he stopped doing the thing that God said was going to cause you to die, once you set down the fruit you are no longer guilty of having eaten it. All you have to do is stop. That's written in the imperfect. It is one thing to encourage others to reject God, and yet another to respond to them. Those who promote mischaracterizations of Yahowah will be held accountable for robbing others of their souls. As a result, She'owl will be much more popular than Shamaym.

What we're going to find here as we move into next week is that God is not omniscient, and He is not omnipresent. We will find out what actually transpired with the eating of the fruit. And the most amazing thing is that we are going to go through what everyone thinks of as a penalty and to see that no, there really aren't penalties. They are all good things. And then this transitions into something so surprising about Chawah and the naming of her sons and their behavior. It's an amazing story. Every time God says something, we're going to contemplate why is this so and what can we learn from this? Is there an insight we can derive that's helpful to our lives?

It's probably a good place for us to stop and pick it up next week. JB I noticed that I tried signing on to Yada Yah Radio and that the switchboard took me doing a lot of manipulations to bring it up. I don't know if it's an interface or what's going on, but it took me 20 minutes to get the switchboard up and the chatroom open. So, there may be something going on with Blog Talk Radio that I'm unaware of.

JB: I didn't notice anything when I brought it up. It came up pretty quickly.

YADA: You guys have a wonderful evening. The best to you and your families.

