

Shabat Study February 5, 2021, by Yada

Please Note: I have transcribed this to the best of my ability. Sound bites of parties speaking simultaneously and those which were inaudible to me have been deleted from the transcript. If I guessed at a word, it was followed by ()? A ____ (blank line) indicates I could not understand the word or phrase and three periods ... indicate an interruption in the dialogue of one party by another. Thank you for your understanding. MK

Good evening. Welcome to Yada Yah Radio. It's been an interesting week. This past week the President told us that the solution to a sinking economy that was sunk because of our response to COVID, not because of the disease itself, was that we need to spend big. Nothing says that business __ more to gain than borrowing money that you don't have and can never pay back. Doesn't that sound like prosperity to you? Let's just borrow more money that we have no chance of paying back. It used to be that a bill of a couple billion dollars was a big deal, and now they are at a trillion nine after having already spent four trillion a year ago and then another trillion not too long ago, and now we need another two trillion. So, we continue to spend money that we don't have and can never pay back and soon we will be debt free, and everything will be hunky dory, right? ... It's simply perfect.

The Biden administration has essentially reversed everything that Trump did over the years, so the good things which were diminishing our involvement in the United Nations, which is so anti-Semitic and anti-Israel, including WHO, the World Health Organization. We're back in with the Kyoto Climate Accords which were a disaster for the US because they are nothing but socialism wealth redistribution which Biden has signed us right back up for. We have essentially bankrupted the country with all the liberal agenda that's so counterproductive to the economy. And so, the first thing they decided to do was double down on everything. It is very sad for our country that we are being this self-destructive and haven't got a clue as to why what we're doing is so counter to what is in the country's interest.

I heard that Biden's biggest challenge was the ten million jobs that would need to be created for the unemployed and the thing he wants to do is affect minimum wage. If you raise the minimum wage you are going to reduce jobs, but of course, that doesn't make any sense. You could do what FDR did, which I'm sure he would love to do. FDR made the great recession last fifteen years because he decided to raise taxes on the wealthiest to 70% - 90%. So, no one had any incentive to make any money. Why would you want to work when the government got 90% of your efforts? People that had the means to invest and run businesses to create jobs had no interest in doing so. So, all he did was deepen the recession.

There was also news out of Washington that the murder rate in the United States has escalated dramatically. I guess Black Lives Matter didn't matter and no one seems to like COVID lockdowns very much.

Another news report that was out today is that there are now 200 million women that we know of who have had genital mutilation, 200 million. Washington is focused on let's impeach a president that is no longer in office because we're paranoid of his people returning to the Capital. Remember they brought in tens of thousands of Army troops and put huge fences around everything. They

were paranoid that these Trump-waving protestors were going to return, and of course, there was no one there anywhere. It was all for naught and now they want to impeach a president that is not even in office anymore, and no one, no one said a word about the 200 million women who have had their genitals mutilated only because mommy and daddy won't sell them off into a forced marriage and pick up some extra dough. Women have so little rights that their own parents would mutilate them for profit. Now, you would think if you had a conscience you would care a hell of a lot more about that, wouldn't you? But they are more interested in using mafia-type punishments for the people who stormed the Capital Building.

I even received a letter from a nincompoop that listens to this show saying I was so wrong to say that most of the protestors were taking selfies and carrying For-Trump banners and were just overweight middle-class folks that ought not have, you can just tell by their expressions, that they didn't care about anything more than the photo op. They roamed around and then they left. And he showed, of course, the video of the single most viscous moments inside the Capital. I thought I was clear. What I said was that the vast majority of the videos (and I watched probably ten hours of them because it was such an amazing thing and I wanted to see what the response was going to be of our country). Ninety-five percent of the Trumpites, and I am not going to credit them at all. I think to be there in Washington protesting an election you think was stolen without considerable evidence to support your position, there is considerable reason to think that when we went to these new mail-in ballot procedures where there is no verification of the voter and somebody could vote multiple times, and you could bring in a bunch of these mail-in ballots that a team of people could have filled out for tens of thousands of votes, while it was rife for corruption and while corruption probably took place, because the ballots are destroyed the moment they are certified, there is no proof. And because there's no proof just because you suspect that it is likely doesn't prevail. I think that people that were there protesting, I think even Trump talking about the election being stolen, unless you can physically prove it based upon retaining the ballots and demonstrating, if we had a method of doing it, and that's the problem. We do not have a method of validating these mail-in ballots. We just don't. So, you can't prove it one way or another, so you just shut up. I think that having those kinds of rallies was dumb, being political is dumb, carrying a Trump banner when the guy is a narcissist and says so many stupid things is exceedingly dumb. All those things said, 95% of the people were just stupid, and were out for photo ops and milling around with like-minded people. The 5% of them that broke into the U S Capital, what they did was criminal, and they should be prosecuted for it. The 1% of that 5% that wielded a club or were pushing the cops or spraying pepper spray or mace, ___ cameras everywhere, they should be put in jail. What they did was exceedingly inappropriate. They were unbelievably destructive for their own cause. One person was killed by a cop shooting a gun. One of our many problems is that we have way too many armed police agencies in America. The girl who was killed was killed by a cop. I don't know what the circumstances were about the one cop that was killed because I've not seen a video of what occurred, but shame on those who were responsible for it.

My comments are absolutely accurate. Ninety-five percent of the people there were there for a photo op. They were stupid, but if you compare them to Black Lives Matter where there are videos of them taking people out of convenience stores and then three or four thugs dragging them and kicking their brains out, going with weapons against cops, driving cars into people, not only looting

businesses they had no grievances with, and then burning them down and burning these headquarters. These are exceeding dissimilar and yet a lot of the Black Lives Matter protestors were just misinformed nincompoops. They do not have a cause. There is no justification whatsoever for Black Lives Matter because 93% of black murders are perpetrated by 13% of the fellow population of fellow Blacks. You have no justification whatsoever for your cause. So, they are nincompoops just like the Trumpites, and the majority of those that march are just fellow nincompoops. But the organizers condoned and justified looting, arson, and attacking police.

JB: And now they are being nominated for the Nobel Peace Prize. I think once Yasser Arafat won that, that award became meaningless.

YADA: We have put ourselves in a position (I must agree with each of you) where we are incapable of putting things into context and making moral judgments. The realization that the media and the people went on a witch hunt to hunt down and now they are going to prosecute those who were inside the Capitol on legal conditions that were used for the Mafia to artificially elongate jail terms. This is just stupid. What's happened is that it has become exceedingly difficult to have a conservative view of government, which is I want less of it, and to be able to express it without condemnation. You can't do it now in social media. Social media has become the policing agency for the on-line world. It's incredibly sad what's happened with the lack of free speech, the lack of freedom and the lack of being able to evaluate things in context.

Black Lives Matter being nominated for the Nobel Peace Prize with how unpeaceful they were. And how they are marching for a cause that is the antithesis of what they are protesting. Blacks kill other Blacks. Whites kill exceedingly few Blacks. So, if you want to protest and say that Black Lives Matter then point the finger at yourselves. This is the reality of it. Always remember that evidence and reason prevail, and your opinions aren't worth shit. Think it through. In the liberal world the only thing that matters is what is politically correct as it is stunningly incorrect.

We're in the midst of the story of 'Adam and Chawah and their great screwing up. We had finished last week with the way ha Satan misappropriated Yahowah's testimony. He essentially said the opposite of what God said. **"So what if it God said you should not eat from any tree in the Garden."** That's not what God said at all. And **so what if God said** is the essence of it. He happens to be God. We too have the opportunity to say, "So what if God said?" He gave us that freedom but if we choose that freedom there's a consequence as there is with everything else. Yahowah did not have a forbidden fruit. There is no fruit that was forbidden. There isn't even a Hebrew word for "forbidden." God said you can eat from any tree that you want, even from the Tree of the Knowledge of Good and Evil. It's just that if you do there will be a consequence.

Nonetheless the woman played right along; it says here, **"Then (wa) the woman ('ishah) looked and saw (ra'ah – she viewed and perceived) that indeed (ky – surely and truly, ready to make an exception) the tree (ma'akal) – she doesn't even name it here, it was the Tree of the Knowledge of Good and Bad - was beautiful and pleasing (towb – pleasant and beneficial, productive and good – that's how she saw it) as a source of food to consume (la ma'akal – for nourishment, to approach, process, and eat),**

Do you really think she was hungry? I don't think so either.

and that indeed (*ky* – in addition, surely) **it was visually appealing and desirable, even eliciting cravings** (*ta'awah huw' la ha 'ayn* – it was perceived as a lustful longing to be satisfied, and was seen as a jealous inclination for the eyes).

Do you think that might have been the issue? That's pretty pretty.

So (wa) she coveted (*chamad* – she lusted for and intensely desired, lusting for and treasuring)

An emotional response. She wasn't here "Hmm. I'm going to think it through and as I think of the plusses and minuses of adding this one tree to my diet in addition to all the other trees, and how much healthier and happier I'm going to be." That wasn't what she was doing. Nope.

So (wa) she coveted (*chamad* – she lusted for and intensely desired, lusting for and treasuring)

This was an emotional response.

the tree ('ets) to impart insights, inspire success, and convey understanding (*sakal* – to provide the wisdom to be prudent so as to acquire additional skills and prosper).

It's always interesting that people want the short answers. I had three young people here on my veranda this evening at sunset; two young men who work part of their own landscaping company, they are delightful young men. One of them and their father was here four or five days ago, and we went through the whole explanation of what we're writing and what we share. They were fascinated so I gave them both a book. So, they brought their brother and their brother's fiancée by this evening. We went through the Covenant; what God is asking and what He is offering in return, and who He is. And while they were all ears and listening, you could see there were times where they were thinking I just want the short answer. Who is God? What are the conditions to participate in the Covenant?

It was interesting when I got to circumcision. You could see he didn't respond, and she said, "Well, that's just it? There's not a reason for it?" So, I shared the reason for it. "It happens to be part of the male anatomy that's responsible for conceiving children, and so it's our way of remembering." She said, "What about women? You mean there's no sign for women? Is that fair?" I replied, "The fact of the matter is that female genital mutilation is an exceedingly negative thing where a man being circumcised is better for their health and their pleasure and for everything else, so it's bad for the woman. So, what God is recommending is good for the man. If he were recommending bad for the woman, so that's why He doesn't say it, so" ... So, I said, "The fact of the matter is that after Yahowah gave the instruction to 'Abraham (saying you're going to want to be circumcised) from that point on Yahowah's instruction was parents, circumcise your sons in eight days. God had already said to Chawah there's a consequence to what you've done. Raising children will be hard and an incredibly challenging undertaking. It's going to be women that are going to be cleaning that son's body, is going to be reminded by it all the time that I made the commitment to God to raise this child so that he will know the truth about Him. And so, that is the woman making that commitment to raise that child to know Yahowah and to emotionally support and desire that child's father so that father is an important part of the child's life. So, women are making a major decision in this regard. It's not only men.

Then He went on to say the Covenant is about being set apart from human, religious, and political schemes. All the things that are popular among men, we are being set apart from those and unto God. Circumcision is about cutting and creating a divide setting us apart from the common. It's God's way of saying you're either in or you're not. It's your choice; you don't have to do it. But if you don't do it, you're not in. If you do it, at least you have the opportunity to take advantage of the other conditions of the Covenant. JB, you made a whole long story on that. How do you explain and what more do you share with people about why God chose circumcision?

JB: That sums it up right there.

YADA: My tendency, of course, is to say why do you care why? He did. The fact is, He did. It's His house and He's not taking anything from you if you chose not to do it. And He's offering you eternal life, adoption into His family, perfection, enrichment, and empowerment if you do. Why would you even question why He wants it. My inclination is to say that, but I don't.

JB: That's kind of why I started doing the research I did on it. His instruction was clear and I kind of did want to know why so I started researching because it was something that was just a little different in terms of something you physically must do. It's clear that He said this so I think it's important to understand why He would want us to do it. So, I decided to research it myself.

KIRK: It is a mark that most people will not see other than the wife as opposed to a mark on the forehead where the whole world will see it. So, it wasn't to show off to the world or to promote it to the world. But it was between You, me, and her.

YADA: For a man later in life that wants to be part of the Covenant, it's between you and God, period. A choice between you and God, period. But in terms of a circumcised man being part of the Covenant and his wife either considering or being part of the Covenant, it's between the two of them. That is how we raise, nurture, and support children. We raise them in a family like that and so it is a reminder between them. It's also a huge reminder, when we see our child, that we made this decision regarding them and for this reason we wanted them to be cut into the Covenant family. I even told her, I said, "If you choose to be part of the Covenant and you have your son circumcised before your son is old enough to make this conscious decision, then your son inherits your decision and will be part of the Covenant family because of the decision that you and your husband have made."

So, yes, it is a very personal reminder in a family situation and between us and God of the commitment we have made to be part of the Covenant. The symbolism is the cutting of part of the male genitalia that is responsible for conceiving children. It is a cut – *karat* into the Covenant, and it is a separation.

I think there is one other, and maybe I'm just too wrapped up in it right now, but when you read Galatians Paul from the beginning to the end of Galatians is desirous of destroying the credibility of the Towrah and of its Covenant, and the basis of doing so is circumcision. He says of circumcision it's of the flesh, the flesh is bad, therefore if you're circumcised you can't be saved. That's Paul's mantra. It became the most popular religion in the history of mankind and yet its founding father says if you are circumcised then you cannot be part of the Covenant; you cannot

be saved. So, this was a litmus test for God saying that's your choice. If you're going to believe that idiot, this a tangible way of knowing who doesn't belong in the Covenant.

JB: What God says is the exact opposite. He said if you are a male and you are not circumcised you have nullified My Covenant.

YADA: Yes, and one of the worst things that he says that my people have ever done is to invite uncircumcised men into My House. No, there will be no uncircumcised men in Heaven. It's just what God said. And He also said it's not just for entering the Covenant that you need to be circumcised, you *must* be circumcised to participate in Passover. I think that's God being kind.

The three young people that were on our veranda this evening understood that. I said, "If God allowed an uncircumcised person to participate in Passover, which is the gift of eternal life, that would be an exceedingly bad thing." And they said, "Yeah, I get it." You wouldn't want to be immortal and be separated from God because then you are going to go the She'owl. And they understood that if you simply reject God, your soul dissipates at the end of your life, but there is a place of eternal incarceration for those who lead others astray. And circumcision became the litmus test for the most popular religion in the world.

KIRK: So how does Paul say what he said knowing that he's circumcised?

YADA: Yeah, I know. It's hypocrisy. It's Paul's hypocrisy. Everything he does he ... there's no limit to Paul's ... to contradict himself, to contradict God or to be a total and complete hypocrite.

I am really stalled in the second to the last chapter in Volume Three of *Questioning Paul*. I'm retranslating *Chabaquwq* and wouldn't you know it, but I did not see the first time through a word that Yahowah used in His introduction in *Chabaquwq* 1:3, I think, where He says specifically that this lone individual that is going to attempt to paralyze the effectiveness of My Towrah. This person is going to try to neutralize and paralyze the effectiveness of the Towrah. That this individual is going to represent a demonic spirit that seeks to be worshipped as God. I didn't see it the first time that I translated it. There are only two or three people who became infamous because it also says that their proclamations will be public and right out in the open. There is only a handful of people who have tried to do that. He also says in this opening statement that this individual's angle of attack is going to be the justification for vindication and the justification for vindication is going to be so perverted, corrupt, and twisted that no one is going to see light as a result of what they've done. It specifically says this individual is going to work and be inspired on behalf of a satanic influence. So, it's far more indicting of Paul than what I had previously thought. So much now for circumcision but again, all of those are good reasons and God is clear that His Covenant is set apart and therefore we need to demonstrate that we are set apart, and this is our signature. The fact of the matter is it's His universe. He conceived life. He gave life and free will to all of us as a gift. And He says if you want to extend it, if you want to live with Me, if you want to be adopted into My Family, if you want all these benefits, these are My five conditions. He could have said dancing on your head is a condition because that's what entertained Him. It really doesn't matter what He said are the conditions; it's His home. I'm curious so I always try to figure out what are the reasons for things. Those are my answers and I think those are your answers for the reasons for it. And I always like to be able to provide an explanation.

But the fact of the matter is God doesn't owe us one. We don't have to accept. ... And of course, Christian apologists would say I can't believe God would keep some old poor guy out of Heaven just because he couldn't afford to be circumcised or decided he didn't want to be circumcised. Well, why not?

“Then (wa) the woman (‘ishah) looked and saw (ra’ah – she viewed and perceived) that indeed (ky – surely and truly, ready to make an exception) the tree (ma’akal) was beautiful and pleasing (towb – pleasant and beneficial, productive and good) as a source of food to consume (la ma’akal – for nourishment, to approach, process, and eat), and that indeed (ky – in addition, surely) it was visually appealing and desirable, even eliciting cravings (ta’awah huw’ la ha ‘ayn – it was perceived as a lustful longing to be satisfied, and was seen as a jealous inclination for the eyes).

So (wa) she coveted (chamad – she lusted for and intensely desired, lusting for and treasuring) the tree (‘ets) to impart insights, inspire success, and convey understanding (sakal – to provide the wisdom to be prudent so as to acquire additional skills and prosper).

Just give it to me; I want it easy. It's not such a good idea.

Therefore (wa), she grasped hold and seized (laqach – she obtained and accepted, she received, collected, and took with genuine intent and ongoing implications (qal imperfect)) from (min – part of) its fruit (pary huw’ – its produce and harvest, its result) and ate (wa ‘akal – consumed it (qal imperfect – literally and genuinely forming an ongoing relationship by continually eating).

Then (wa), she also gave it (nathan gama’ – in addition and besides she continually offered it, finally and in turn she habitually provided it (qal imperfect)) to (la – approaching in the direction of, moving toward) her man (‘ysh hy’ – her masculine individual and by context, husband) who was in a relationship with her (‘im hy’ – who was associated with her and similar to her), and then he ate (wa ‘akal – he imbibed and consumed on an ongoing basis (qal imperfect)).” (Bare’syth / In the Beginning / Genesis 3:6)

There's no indication of 'Adam ever saying this is a bad idea. Chawah, lucky for us, God told us about eating from this tree in the imperfect which means we have to make a habit of eating from it before it even matters. So, just set down the fruit. Set it down, toss it aside, throw it back underneath the tree. We'll tell Yahowah we're not going to do it anymore; it's all going to be good. Nothing to worry about. That's not what he did. He didn't even say to Chawah I'm not so sure this is a good idea. Maybe I'll join you because we're together on this, but I think this is a bad idea. ___ Not a question, not a statement, he just did it; he went along. That's very indictive of human nature, don't you think?

Lacking any information to the contrary, the implication is that 'Adam lacked the good sense and character to challenge the majority opinion. If so, he represents most people today. They lack the character, courage, and conscience to take an unpopular stand, even when it is against something decidedly bad, and even when it is good to do so.

I realize that when I mock the stupidity of liberalism and of organizations like Black Lives Matter, that it offends a lot of people. But it's right to say it. More government is not the answer; it's the problem. Black lives do matter and because they matter Blacks ought to be protesting their own culture that has caused that problem and to be looking internally as opposed to pointing the finger externally. So, there are lots of times we are unwilling to go where the evidence leads and we

want to create a conspiracy to blame someone else. Black Lives Matter is a ridiculous conspiracy that blames someone else. Even liberalism to a large degree is. Those who are successful don't pay their fair share. Well they pay way more than their fair share but nonetheless evidence and reason don't matter. People say we need a minimum wage because it's a living wage, and tough that we will lose a bunch of jobs. But the government will step in, I guess.

Now they are trying to unionize Amazon. They got the Whitehouse involved and the Whitehouse told the Amazon employees you better not speak against us. I don't want to hear anyone proposing that unionizing is a bad idea. That's what Biden said.

KIRK: So free speech doesn't count either?

YADA: Nope. We don't have free speech in America anymore. Liberal views are acceptable; conservative views are not. Slavery is a bad thing. If you want to talk about people who have a right to complain, it's Jews. Jews were enslaved as recently as the 1940's. We're not talking about the 1840's. ... Not enslaved in the sense you try to escape we're going to whip you. No. We're going to starve you and beat the living shit out of you even if you do work. And if we don't feel like you can work, we're going to take you right to the gas chamber. If you want a group of people that has the right to protest slavery, it's Jews. It's not Blacks. In a very long time and reparations have been paid over and over and over and over again through the welfare state ...trillions. So, if you want to have a better life, stop blaming other people and take responsibility. Value the educational opportunities that you have, groom and dress yourself appropriately, ... learn to speak appropriately, and go out and work.

You should see the young people that are part of the team that I have doing the remodeling here. They are wonderful. They have a great attitude. They are articulate. They want to talk, and their minds are full of questions. They speak well, they obviously have character. They are not blaming anybody for being disadvantaged because they are not disadvantaged. Opportunity prevails. And there is even more opportunity in a politically correct society for minorities than there are for Caucasians. So, stop blaming other people and take responsibility.

Had 'Adam countered the Adversary's enticing solicitation by correctly citing Yahowah's instructions, all would have remained good. Had he encouraged Chawah to set down the fruit, she would have remained by his side in the Garden, and they would have continued to live with God in that environment. Such is the benefit we all share because Yahowah conveys almost all His instructions such as this one in the imperfect, which speaks of ongoing behavior as it relates to killing, coveting, stealing, failure to properly observe and celebrating His Shabat. It's ongoing behavior. The moment you stop, you are all fine. All they had to do was set down the fruit and they would have been fine.

While 'Adam would ultimately have his relationship with Yah reconciled, and likely for this very reason, in general, most people in this situation will die rather than experience the anguish of eternal separation. It is one thing to encourage others to reject God, which then you will spend eternity in She'owl, and yet another to respond to them. Those who promote mischaracterizations of Yahowah (they don't even use His name) will be held accountable for robbing others of their souls. As a result, She'owl will be much more popular than Shamaym.

Covetousness is what caused Satan to rebel against God – something which is made clear in *Yasha'yah* / Isaiah 14. He wanted to rise up above The Most High and to be worshipped as God by men – something he achieved in Islam and Christianity. Giving us an insight into his fall, we

see Satan beguiling Chawah into coveting what God had forbidden as well. He said, “Eat this and you will be like God.” And that’s what got her into trouble; that’s what him into trouble. The result is always the same. If you covet what is God’s, if you seek to take what is God’s, for example: if you say I don’t like circumcision and I’m going to reject that, but you still expect God to save you, then you are playing God and that is going to get you into trouble.

As you read the consequence of this choice, be aware that the protective covering of the Garden is symbolic of the Set-Apart Spirit and of Her Garment of Light. The moment ‘Adam and Chawah chose poorly, they lost this protection and thus appeared naked in God’s eyes as well as their own. The Garment of Light precludes Yahowah from seeing our faults, making us appear perfect while eliminating that which would be considered bad.

“So (wa) the eyes, perceptions, and perspective (‘ayn - the sight) of both of them (shaneym hem – of the two of them) were opened (paqach – enabled to see and comprehend, processing the sensory perceptions)

You gain perspective when you know good and bad. They were able to comprehend more than they knew previously.

and they recognized (wa yada’ – so they realized, acknowledged, and knew, even understood (qal imperfect)) as a result (ky – by contrast that indeed) they were naked and exposed (‘eyrowm hem – they were without adequate clothing, unprotected and ashamed; from ‘aram – they had become crafty and shred).

Then (wa) they stitched together (taphar – they sowed and mended together) fig (ta’edah) foliage (‘aleh – branches and leaves), acting to make for themselves (wa ‘asah la hem – engaging to create by themselves) a covering (chagorah – a garment or sash).” (Bare’syth / In the Beginning / Genesis 3:7)

The fig is the symbol of a restored Yisra’el which is important because the name speaks of Individuals who Engage and Endure with God. Even in the fall there is a promise to rise.

‘Adam and Chawah had seen one another naked countless times, so the reason for the hastily crafted sash was that with the exposure to malevolent thoughts, they now felt exposed and vulnerable. The addition of bad had become a burden.

So, to know good and bad is a burden. Because they knew good all they were gaining was bad and that was a burden. It corrupted their relationship. Their relationship was perfect, and now it’s not. If you’re going to be eternal you need to be perfect, and they weren’t anymore.

It is curious that the one thing they came to realize from the access to greater knowledge was that they were now unprotected and thus vulnerable. They seemed to know that there would be no protection from the carnage taking place outside of the Garden.

In the next statement, most English bibles are wont to render *la ruwach ha yowm* as “in the cool of the day.” But *la* means “to or toward,” not in, and *ruwach* means “spirit,” not cool. We’ve been doing this long enough that I think that if I asked any Covenant member what *ruwach* means 99.9% would say, “Why are you asking me? It means “spirit.” The *ruwach quodesh* is the Set-Apart Spirit. There are bad and good spirits, but they are still spirits; they are different than souls. I do not think that there’s anybody in our group that would not be able to translate *la* | approach, concerning, regarding, or to approach and draw near, *ruwach* | spirit, *ha yowm* | the day. This one was not especially hard. I don’t know how the English bibblers got it wrong, but they did.

Moreover, *yowm* literally means “to be hot,” making “in the cool of the day” an oxymoron. In the cool of the hot.

It is not that the translators do not know what *ruwach* means. It is correctly translated “spirit” on 232 of its 378 appearances in the King James Version. It is rendered “cool,” only once, here in Genesis 3:8. And, since Satan is a *ruwach*, there was a spirit to be concerned about on this occasion, rendering “cool” a poor choice.

During the entire process of betrayal, there has not been a single reference to Yahowah’s name. Isn’t that interesting. Yahowah’s name is used in like every other sentence up to this point, and after this point, but in the process of betrayal there’s no reference to Yahowah’s name. You know why? It’s really hard to tell Yahowah I don’t give a hoot what you said. I’m going to do it anyway. If you know Yahowah’s name you know Him. You’re really going to have to obfuscate that God’s noble and you __ and He has a name. People can ascribe all manner of horrible things to their pretend god and all sorts of things that are untrue about Him. But if you really know His name you aren’t going to say stupid stuff like Yahowah’s omnipresent. No, He’s not. Yahowah is in charge of everything and it’s all fate and you will do whatever He wants you to do. No, He didn’t. No, He won’t. Yahowah is all loving. Well then, no, you don’t know Him very well. If you know Him well enough to use His name you know He’s not all loving and should not be. So, Yahowah’s name doesn’t appear in any of this. It is something this episode shares with Judaism and Christianity.

YADA: I was sharing with my friends today that we know for certain that there was no Jesus because there wasn’t even a “J” until the 17th century and the basis of Christ is Christo which means “to be drugged.” Oh, by the way, he wasn’t Greek so he couldn’t have had a Greek name or a Greek title.

During the entire process without Yahowah’s name being mentioned, we have the recipe for rebellion, for being wrong, which is the nature of Judaism and Christianity, even Islam.

“**And they heard** (*wa shama’* – then they listened to) **the sound** (*‘eth qowl*) **of Yahowah** (אֱלֹהִים – the pronunciation of *YaHoWaH* as guided by His *towrah* – teaching regarding His *hayah* – existence), **Almighty** (*‘elohym*),

This is the first time we see His name.

walking (*halak* – traveling (hitpael participle – depicting an extremely descriptive movement which is completely independent, neither acted upon nor influenced by anyone else)) **in** (*ba*) **the protected and enclosed Garden** (*ha gan* – the enclosure designed for life and living) **regarding** (*la* – concerned about and moving toward) **the spirit** (*ruwach*) **of the day** (*ha yowm*).

Therefore, the man, ‘Adam (*wa ha ‘adam*), **and his woman** (*‘ishah huw’*) **withdrew and hid** (*chaba’* – the sought to protect themselves by retreating and moving away so as not to be discovered) **from** (*min*) **the presence** (*paneH* – the appearance and face) **of Yahowah** (*YaHoWaH* – an accurate presentation of the name of *‘elowah* – God as guided by His *towrah* – instructions regarding His *hayah* – existence), **as Almighty God** (*‘elohym*), **in the midst of** (*ba tawek* – in and among) **the Garden’s** (*gan*) **trees** (*‘ets*).” (*Bare’syth* / In the Beginning / Genesis 3:8)

I guess if you were to read that and you had a rational mind, wouldn’t you come to the conclusion that God was not omniscient or omnipresent? So, how can Christians think that their god is omnipresent and omniscient, and He knows all and that He’s involved in everything that’s

happening in your life when this says ... they were hiding from God? How can you hide from a god that is everywhere? How can you hide from a god that knows everything? God doesn't know everything, and He is not everywhere. If He were everywhere, He could not be set apart and you're back to the ___ of circumcision, set apart. He must be uniquely in a place, or He is not set apart from the common and mundane. God must choose not to know most things, because if He knew everything there would be no reason to even play out human history. There could be no free will if He knew everything we were going to do before we did it. There would be no purpose in having a relationship with Him if He knew everything. What would He gain from it? It's like programming a computer or a robot to go in a circle all the time and then say, "Captain Kirk, I think you are really swell, and I like your friend JB." "Captain Kirk, I think you are really swell, and I like your friend JB." "Captain Kirk, I think you are really swell, and I like your friend JB." It's just programmed and nothing but irritating. Nothing but irritating, and so God can't know. He chooses not to know. And He can't be everywhere and still be set apart. That's what this says. I don't know why we don't want to think about that. If God were manipulating everything in their lives, do you not think that God would have said hey, I'm not letting Satan in. Or when Chawah reached up for the fruit on the tree He would have slapped her hand down. No, He's not micromanaging them. As a matter of principle, we should all listen to God now and benefit rather than later while being judged.

The spirit of concern on this day was Satan, his errant citations and misappropriations of Yahowah Word. It was also 'Adam's and Chawah's poor choices. That was the spirit of _____. By reading this, we know that Yahowah was physically present with 'Adam and Chawah. They were so familiar with Him they recognized His stride as He approached. We know this because spiritual beings like Satan can move without walking and they are silent. Therefore, it is safe to say that this represents the first of what will become seven appearances that Yahowah will make in material form. The final will be His return on Yowm Kippurym, exactly 6,000 years distant from this day in 3968 BCE.

The reason a physical, human manifestation of God is depicted here for the first time is directly related to 'Adam's and Chawah's current state. They had fallen and would benefit from a helping hand. They were also confused and bewildered and desperately needed God's guidance.

There are three interesting shadings to *chaba'*, meaning "to withdraw and hide," that are worth pondering. A plain rendering of the text conveys the consequence of rebelling against God. Those who do are separated from Him, "withdrawn." Were actually hidden from God too. It would be counterproductive for God to know those who do not know Him because He would see lives squandered. God is telling us that this separation was their choice, not His. Further, the first couple was aware that they had made a poor decision, and their *neshamah* | conscience helped them realize that they should feel a sense of remorse about what they had done. Even to this day, guilty people flee the scene of their crime and try to hide from the authorities. That's part of human nature, isn't it? We are seeing it here for the first time.

Strong's Lexicon tells us that *chaba'* is the root of *chabab*, which means "to fervently love and cherish." During their time in the Garden, 'Adam and Chawah had come to love Yahowah, and they cherished their close and personal relationship with Him. So, when they were beguiled by Satan's play on words, they recognized that they had hurt someone they cared deeply about, even revered. And I dare say, God was hurt on this day. When we disappoint those we love, especially our parents, the last thing we want to do is face them.

“Yahowah (*Yahowah* – God’s name transliterated as guided by His *towrah* – instructions on His *hayah* – existence and His role in our *shalom* – reconciliation as *‘elowah* – Almighty God), **who is God, Almighty** (*‘elohym*), **called out to** (*qara’ ‘el* – invited and summoned (qal imperfect)) **the man, ‘Adam** (*‘adam*) **and He asked him** (*wa ‘amar la huw’* – He spoke to him, questioning (qal imperfect)), **‘Where are you** (*‘ey ‘atah* – why, and for what purpose are you in this position)?” (*Bare’syth* / In the Beginning / Genesis 3:9)

Where are you? Are you *halak* | walking toward Yahowah along the path He has provided? Where are you? Have you answered His *qara’* | invitations to meet and be welcomed into His company? Or are you like so many others, and especially *Yahuwdym* | Jews, *chaba’* | withdrawing from God? Have you returned to the *gan ‘eden* | Garden of Great Joy, or are you still separated on the outside? Where are you?

Separated from God, head swirling with all manner of ill-conceived notions, was the answer in ‘Adam’s case. His intimate relationship with God had been spoiled – a consequence of not listening to Yahowah and then choosing poorly.

It is what happens to all those who are political, religious, agnostics, and atheists. They don’t listen to God and they choose poorly, or they are religious and are constantly yabbering away at God telling God in their prayers what they want, and they don’t know what He wants. They don’t listen to Him. When we don’t listen to God, we will choose poorly. If you listen to God and you get to know Him as we do, come to respect and like Him and have fun with Him, then you are going to want to do what He says because you know it’s in our best interest. I kind of like making God happy. I think it’s a good thing. He happens to be God and He’s done so much for us. He’s offering us the most amazing opportunity and is asking so little of us in return. I think it’s probably a real good idea that we make Him happy particularly when we are not obligated to do so.

There is a better answer here, one which provides the way back home. *Qara’*, which was translated “called out,” Yahowah called out to them to summon them, and means “to invite, to summon, to meet, to greet, and to welcome, even read and recite,” is the basis of *Miqra’*, the title Yahowah selected for His seven Invitations to be Called Out and Meet which in turn provide the benefits of the Covenant and also the way back into the Garden. As with Yahowah’s seven *Miqra’ey*, God is summoning us to meet with Him, just as He called out to ‘Adam on this day. We are all invited. Where are you?

Some weeks ago on this program, I shared some thoughts regarding Chawah’s state of mind. We are not told, but it’s our nature to want to know. So, I suggested several things, including the idea that she may not have enjoyed Yahowah’s company to the same degree, and may have even resented ‘Adam’s relationship with God. This extrapolation from the text remains viable based upon Yahowah’s response. God was expressly seeking ‘Adam, calling out individually to him. And rightfully so. ‘Adam screwed up far more than Chawah. ‘Adam had every reason to stand up and do the right thing, and he didn’t.

It still strikes me, and I’m almost haunted by what ‘Abraham did to Sarah. Him to ___ his wife to Pharaoh and a second time to ... yeah, and the second time God went to the king and said, he’s my guy but he has misled you. Sarah is his wife. I know that you haven’t touched her, and I don’t want anything bad to happen to you or your people, so you need to get her back home and get that done now. I am certain that Yahowah didn’t want to have to intervene and do that, but He needed to. And I assume that ‘Abraham got the message and didn’t do it again.

God will go a long way to try and rectify situations and He's not expecting us to be perfect. I think on this day if 'Adam would just say, Yahowah, I shouldn't have done it. I should have told her to set down the fruit and let's regroup and listen to God and take this to Him and tell Him this beautiful creature slithered into the Garden and gave us a different perspective. Let's talk it through, what was right, what was wrong; what would you have us do here. But they didn't.

So, this was 'Adam's response:

“He said (*wa 'amar* – so then he answered), **‘I heard** (*shama*’ – I listened at this moment to (qal perfect)) **your sound** (*'eth 'atah qowl*) **in** (*ba*) **the Garden** (*ha gan* – the sheltered enclosure) **and because** (*wa ky* – so for the reason that) **I was naked and exposed** (*'eyrowm 'anoky* – I was without adequate clothing, unprotected and ashamed, improperly clothed), **out of reverence and respect, I was concerned** (*yare*’ – distressed due to my recognition of your honorable nature and status, I was intimidated, perhaps even afraid) **and I withdrew having foreclosed something I cherished** (*chaba*’ – I moved away and hid, I retreated so as not to be discovered because I had withdrawn from someone I cherished, having hindered the loving relationship; from *chabab* – to love and to be beloved, to care deeply and fervently).” (*Bare'syth / In the Beginning / Genesis 3:10*)

They had adorned themselves with fig leaves and branches, so he was not physically naked. That was not why he withdrew. He was now bereft of the Spirit's Garment of Light and saw himself as flawed, vulnerable, exposed, and mortal. He was improperly attired to be in God's presence, and he knew it.

Can you imagine being in God's company knowing He can see right through you and all your faults? One of the things that I relish about my relationship with Yahowah is that I know that from His perspective He sees me the same way He saw Dowd, which was through this Garment of Light. He's able to see the positive things and the insights I'm able to glean, my enthusiasm for His Word in both to learn from it and to share it; He can see those things. But He can't see the less than desirable attributes, so I'm not uncomfortable in His presence. He made it possible for us to be comfortable around Him by not hiding but obliterating His ability to see anything that is less desirable by this Garment of Light. 'Adam had enjoyed that, and now 'Adam was vulnerable. I can tell you I wouldn't want to be around God knowing that some of my ... yeah, some of my responses or thoughts were less than ideal.

In life, it is appropriate to fear our Heavenly Father, when we have rejected Him, when we know we are going to be judged by Him. Reverence, which would be our natural inclination toward the Father and the Covenant, can only change to fear if we know God is going to judge us. If we are part of the Covenant, we are never going to be judged, but if we choose not to be part of the Covenant, we are likely to be judged. I say likely because I don't know if there is going to be an awakening or a purpose for judging those who did not lead others and simply were misled. Are they going to be awakened and at least say this happens to be Yahowah and you chose not to know Him? You didn't lead anybody astray so there's no eternal punishment, so there you go. Goodbye. That doesn't seem quite fair. It becomes a little fairer if you're dealing with the Chosen People. For example, during the Inquisition or during the long duress under Roman Catholicism in Europe or during the Holocaust they should have the opportunity to see that those who tormented them are held accountable. So even though they have not accepted the terms and conditions of the Covenant and aren't going to live forever with Yahowah, and they certainly are not going to She'owl as victims, it would be right for them to see Yahowah punish those who they were

powerless to stop at the time. For the most part I don't see why God would want to judge anyone other than those who He is going to convict. Or if there's a judgment case as to whether or not somebody should be convicted or just be allowed for their soul to pass.

KIRK: Can I say something about Yahowah? It was interesting as I was looking up today to confirm once again because, there's always the debate on whether they fear or revere. The first time the word appears in *Bare'syth* it's fear but it's in the context of they are in the Garden and they are hiding. The second time it's used in *Bare'syth* 15:1 is Yahowah says to 'Abraham, **“Do not fear. I am your shield.”** That tells you where you are. If you want to know how to translate it. God never said fear. He said don't fear. And they feared because they had already walked away from Him. That was in their own mind.

YADA: Right. So, when they separated from God by not heeding His advice, not listening to Him, out of reference, they were concerned and fearful of God for the first time. And yet when God is establishing the Covenant with 'Abraham He says, “Do not fear.” So, that is the definition. If you have done something where you have not listened to God and you are estranged from Him, fear is the right way to translate *yare'*. If you are part of the Covenant relationship “revere” is the correct way to translate the word.

In life it is appropriate to understand that in the Covenant there is no reason to fear. Outside the Covenant there's only reason to fear if we have done something deliberately to lead someone away from Yahowah. It is possible that 'Adam contributed to Chawah's mistake, and that could be ... one of the ... afraid.

As a result, *yare'* is one of the Towrah's most important words. It can be translated “revere” or “fear,” concepts which are mutually opposed. On one side, it conveys “awesome respect and adoration” we have for Yahowah. On the other, it speaks of “great distress, dread, and concern, even intimidation,” which naturally results “from being in an unfavorable circumstance in the presence of such a perfect individual.” Each of the 600 times *yare'* appears in the Towrah, Naby', wa Mizmowr, context, circumstance, and understanding dictate whether to render the word revere or fear.

I am convinced that the dichotomy inherent in *yare'* is by design. Just as translators must choose whether to render it “fear” or “revere,” we all are given that same choice. If we separate ourselves from God, then He is to be feared because He is Judge and Jury. Since His standard is perfection, those without a Godly pardon will be found guilty and be sentenced to death or damnation. Such circumstances will be intimidating and should be dreaded. But for those who answer His Invitations and who avail themselves of Yahowah's Covenant, for those who are adopted into our Heavenly Father's eternal family, there will only be reverence, respect, and unmitigated adoration of His awesomeness.

Speaking of words with light and dark shadings, we now know why 'Adam selected *chaba'*. Having shown a disregard for Yahowah's guidance, he had “*chaba'* – withdrawn from something he had cherished.” *Chaba'* completes the equation, revealing that 'Adam was “hiding because he had hindered the loving relationship” he had developed with Yah.

A wise individual, one who genuinely understands, seldom asks a question in which they do not know the answer. But by asking a question, rather than making a statement, they encourage those they are addressing to think and be accountable.

So, Yahowah asked, **“He said** (*wa 'amar* – so He questioned), **“Who** (*my* – asking a what, where,

why, when, or how question) **approached and reported to you** (*nagad la 'atah* – came before you and openly told and informed you, conveying the message to you) **that (ky) you were without adequate clothing, naked and exposed** (*'eyrowm 'atah* – you were unprotected, causing you to be ashamed as a result of being improperly clothed)?

Did (*ha* – an interrogative to show that a question is being asked in which a yes or no answer is possible) **you eat** (*'akal*) **from (min) the tree** (*ha 'ets*) **which, for the benefit of the relationship** (*'asher* – to show the proper way to get the greatest benefit and the most enjoyment out of life) **I provided instructions for you** (*sawah la 'atah* – I offered directions concerning you, verbally, albeit loudly and clearing, providing the message on your behalf) **not to be defined by eating** (*bilthy 'akal* – to make an exception and disassociate from consuming that will actively and demonstrably consume you, eating away at you (qal infinitive – a verbal noun which establishes an actual relationship through ingestion)) **from it (min huw')?"** (*Bare'syth / In the Beginning / Genesis 3:11*)

That's really interesting. **not to be defined by eating** (*bilthy 'akal* – to make an exception and disassociate from consuming that which will actively and demonstrably consume you, eating away at you (qal infinitive – a verbal noun which establishes an actual relationship through ingestion)) **from it (min huw')?"** Qal means the relationship is a genuine one. Infinitive is a verbal noun which establishes a relationship here through ingestion. So, literally you become what you eat as a verbal noun. I provided instructions so you would not be defined from eating from it.

Sawah is very similar to *Towrah* in that it conveys the ideas of “providing an instruction, offering directions, and conveying an informative message.” Today, Yahowah's *Towrah* serves as our Owner's Manual – one which provides instructions to help us get the most out of life. When we follow the Manufacturer's directions, everything works the way it was designed. Moreover, if something unexpected happens along the way, we always have the Maker's warrantee to rely upon. This gives us a lot of comfort in life, doesn't it?

I shared today that I've probably had ten thousand death threats against me. Everybody else ducks, and I said, “Why would you do that?” I've never ducked, not once. When you have the Manufacturer's warranty you rely on it.

The only difference between us today and 'Adam is that he relied on the spoken Word and we have the written *Towrah* | Teaching and Guidance of God available to us to whenever we need it. Had 'Adam or Chawah been similarly blessed, they could have opened the book and compared Satan's testimony to Yahowah's and recognized that the Adversary was lying. We have this opportunity today, albeit very few people take proper advantage of it.

In this example, God is saying that if we trust Him and do as He instructs, He warrants that we will live in paradise with Him just as we were designed. But if we don't follow His instructions, He is warning us that there will be undesirable consequences which means we will embody what it means to die. Again, the choice is ours.

But alas, man has never been particularly good at accepting responsibility...

“**Adam** (*wa ha 'adam* – so the man) **said** (*'amar* – muttered), **The woman** (*ha 'ishah*) **You gave** (*nathan* – You offered and presented) **to be with me** (*'imad 'any* – to associate in a relationship with me) **to make the relationship better** (*'asher* – to show the way to receive the most enjoyable benefits), **she offered it** (*hy' nathan* – she gave it) **to me** (*la 'any* – approaching me with it) **from the tree** (*min ha 'ets*), **so I ate** (*wa 'akal* – then I consumed).” (*Bare'syth / In the Beginning /*

Genesis 3:12)

To ‘Adam’s credit, it was true, every word of it. To his shame, he was wrong and failed to take responsibility. Yes, Yahowah gave man the gift of woman, but He gave us so much more. He gave us a *nephesh* | soul to observe and then respond to the world around us. He gave us a *neshamah* | conscience and freewill so that we could make responsible choices. And then He gave us His *towrah* | guidance so that we could make the most of our opportunity.

‘Adam should have said, the woman You gave to me to make the relationship better, thank you very much for the ultimate gift. I look at the relationship with my wife and there’s no better gift in the universe. Thank you for that gift. She does make the relationship better. My relationship with Yahowah is better because of her. What ‘Adam said is, that’s true. The woman You gave to me, to be with me, to make the relationship better, all of that is true. It is true also that she offered the fruit to him and it’s also true that he ate it. But my goodness ... take some responsibility.

In his reply, ‘Adam is admitting to having squandered all of it, forfeiting his soul, conscience, volition (what good is volition if you don’t make a good choice), and the instruction. No surviving the situation, no thought, no surveying what had been transpiring, no reference to the guidance that they had been provided, no independent decision making, no apology, no request for another chance (which Yahowah would have given in a heartbeat). No, just hey, I got it wrong on this one, I screwed up. To Your credit, however, You did give me that instruction in the imperfect so I’m going to capitalize on that, and I won’t do it again.

Satan had manipulated ‘Adam and Chawah into the culture of man – a cult that would take many forms over the millennia but never really change. Men and women would form alliances with one another, some political, some religious, others militaristic, some economic, many conspiratorial, most embracing all the above. Man was now listening and responding to his fellow man, not God. And it didn’t take much, just the inference that men could be like gods. And we haven’t changed.

The first kingdoms, and all which would follow, were fascist. The king established the rules, owned almost all the land, allowed only his most ardent supporters to possess the rest, controlled everyone’s lives, was head of the religion, often considered a god, claimed a divine right to rule, and was, of course, commander and chief of the military. The people ate what they were given.

Over the past two centuries, men, and more recently women, indoctrinated in the culture of man have been given many more opportunities, especially in America. We can vote between two candidates offered up by the competing political cults. Called democracy, based upon the myth that it existed in Greece and then Rome, people, unwilling to consider the guidance God has provided, or to take responsibility for themselves and be accountable, are bribed to vote for those who expand governance to enrich them by punishing success and rewarding failure. We call the result “entitlements.” It makes us feel better about stealing.

As governments grow, man shrinks. In the collectivism of socialism, education becomes indoctrination and freedom an illusion, as economic progress is stifled. The cure is propositioned as more of the same. It is called being progressive. Soon, it is all gone; individual consciousness, good judgement, access to valid instruction, and with it the ability to make a reasoned decision. Man eats what he is given.

Culture is the cause of most human failings. It has been a curse from the inception. The history of civilization is the story of war, of oppression and control, of subjugation, slavery, and greed, of indoctrination, of sacrificing life and constraining freedom.

For these reasons and more, Yahowah is opposed to human governance, religion, militarism, and conspiracy. He is an advocate of free enterprise over fascism and it's even more controlling twin, communism. And that is because He values human autonomy, freedom, work, individual responsibility and accountability. But for any of it to be vital, we must use our consciousness, conscience, and freewill to listen to Yahowah's guidance and then make informed and rational decisions.

Here we have an episode that occurred just shy now of 6,000 years ago, and in this dialogue, we can see ourselves. We can see where the relationship with Yahowah has gone awry, what humanity has done to obfuscate and paralyze God's instructions. It really is just that simple. As governments grow man shrinks. The story of civilization is the story of subjugation, indoctrination, of limiting people's freedoms such that man eats what man is given. This is the antithesis of what 'Adam did on this day. And yet it is what men still do to this day which is the failure to make a rational and informed decision.

I was talking again to these young people on my veranda this evening constantly reminding them that the nature of religion is parents indoctrinating their children in their own beliefs such that anybody that challenges those beliefs, you attack that individual as opposed to providing answers for their children or encouraging their children to question. We just don't make very many informed rational decisions today.

I keep on mentioning because it's so pervasive now in our culture, but Black Lives Matter exists because people are not making informed and rational decisions. If you look at a Black Lives Matter protest two-thirds of the people are white. Everybody that is marching is doing so out of ignorance, and they are irrational. That said, the most pervasive influence on the globe today is the deprivation of freedom and livelihood as a response to a virus, and the consequence of that is the murder rate in the U. S. is way up and unexplainable because it's so much higher than it's ever been.

Consequence. We have tens of millions of people out of work. We have an economy that we've put seven or eight trillion into to stimulate and it's still in a great recession. We just don't think. So few people are willing to explore the evidence and to make an informed and rational decision. Almost no one can do it who is religious. The very idea that God's first requirement in being part of the Covenant is that you leave that stuff behind so at least you are open to the truth, otherwise you will attack the messenger.

KIRK: The Chairman of the Board of the Central Bank said there are ten million people that will not return to work. By the time it takes them to get back to work and be retrained, if they could be, there are not going to be any jobs. So, they are permanently on the dole. He sluffed it off as if it didn't matter and ten million people matter. They have no job.

YADA: When you consider how few Americans work, ten million people matter. And when ten million people who were employed, who are no longer employed, who have no hopes of being reemployed (and it's not people who have never been employed its people who have no interest in being employed), so it's a lot more than that that society is having to care for, and it is a burden.

Should you care for a dissenting view, blame it all on the woman. According to Muhammad, Allah made all women stupid as a result of this woman's culpability. But I would not trust him because Islam's lone messenger said that hell was filled with women hanging from meat hooks through their breasts because they were ungrateful to men. He claimed that the reason for different races

and their different colors is because they match the dirt from which Allah extracted them. He also said that the sun goes to bed each night in a muddy spring – exhausted, I suppose, because it spends the day racing the moon. Oh, and speaking of hell, it is the only place Allah is depicted in the Qur’an – indulging himself by torturing those who would not worship him.

Clearly, Chawah did not choose well. The more I study this I think the fault lies with ‘Adam, which is why Yahowah addressed him first. I think it was his responsibility. If you look at the consequence that Yahowah went through and said this is the consequence for each of you, I think it is exceedingly obvious that this consequence was directly derived from ‘Adam failing to share what he should have shared with Chawah. If you are in a relationship and you love the person you are with and they are doing something that is contrary to what is in their best interest, if they are doing something that is contrary to what God said it is our responsibility to say, please don’t do that.

I keep going back to this, but this is my life sharing what Yahowah has shared with us. I’ve got these three young people plus my closest friend on the Island is there as well. It’s the first time he’s heard all this story, and everybody is on the edge of their seat listening to what God had to say. Leah had made this extraordinary lamb dish. And because Shabat evenings are special for us, we have dinner very early, then we talk for awhile and enjoy each other’s company. Then I come here and read through all the material that we’re going to cover for this show and think about what we are going to share. We have a routine on our Shabat. This dinner that she had worked on since early this afternoon, specially made lamb with vegetables and the like, had been done for an hour and a half before these folks left. Rather than being frustrated that because she had spent all this time fixing a wonderful dinner and that I caused to get cold because I had prioritized these young people having the opportunity to know Yahowah, and at least get them in the right direction, it is wonderful to be in a relationship where she said, “You did the right thing. That’s what you should be doing. That’s the most important thing. The fact that the dinner got cold is immaterial to what that is.” I think we have a responsibility to be that kind of honest with one another. It’s very rare. So, I think it’s ‘Adam’s fault. I think he shirked his responsibility in this regard.

“Then (wa) Yahowah (YaHoWaH – an accurate presentation of the name of ‘elowah – God as guided by His towrah – instructions regarding His hayah – existence), who is God (‘elohym – Almighty), said (‘amar – expressed in words with unfolding consequences over time (qal imperfect)) to the woman (la ha ishah – concerning the female individual), ‘Why (mah – as an interrogative implying what, when, where, or how) did you do this (‘asah zo’th – did you act this way at this moment in time (qal perfect))?”

If God were all-knowing do you think He would ask that question? Even if He knew the answer, still you want her to think about it, why did you do that? Her answer was not particularly good. Her answer, quite frankly, was every bit as bad as ‘Adam’s answer.

And the woman said (wa ‘amar ha ‘ishah – the female individual responded with ongoing implications (qal imperfect)), ‘The tempting and toxic creature (wa ha nachash – the sorcerous snake, this venomous viper, the spellbinding serpent; from nachash – the one who enchants and captivates regarding the Divine, the one who practices divination, magic, and sorcery, who indulges in prophecy to capitalize by fortunetelling, invoking supernatural knowledge and power) deceived and deluded me (nasha’ ‘any – caused me to depart from the correct path by craftiness and trickery, giving me false hope, placing me in his debt by beguiling me (hifil perfect – the serpent influenced the woman making the woman his errant and misleading understudy at this

moment)) **and** (*wa* – when) **I ate** (*wa 'akal* – so then I consumed).” (*Bare'syth* / In the Beginning / Genesis 3:13)

As simple as that. Everything she said was true as well. And I want to tell you her answer was much better than ‘Adam’s. She said, “**I was deceived, and I ate.**” She should have thought it through, but she at least took responsibility in the sense of saying I was wrong. ‘Adam didn’t even do that. By saying **You gave me this woman** ‘Adam is saying God was wrong. ‘Adam is taking almost a Pauline positions in his letters. God is wrong. You gave me this woman, it’s Your fault. Chawah is not saying that. She’s not even blaming God for allowing the ... yeah, Satan to come into the Garden. She’s not blaming Him for that. She just said he beguiled me, he misled me, and I ate it. I at least give her credit for that. I would have liked for her to have said I wish I had been better informed so that when Satan misquoted You then I could have responded more effectively. I wish I had been better equipped with that information and had understood this. I wish that when I gave the fruit to ‘Adam, I wish that he would have said no, it’s not right for us and is a bad decision, let’s set it down and just stop and everything will be fine. I would have loved for her to have gone a little further, but she just passed the buck and said yeah, I was deceived. It’s the deceiver’s fault. She didn’t accept responsibility either although she admitted she was wrong. It’s one thing to say I’m wrong and another thing to accept responsibility.

Clearly, she was complicit. She not only misquoted God she wanted to become like God. Remember? She said I want that inspiration. The way that Satan prevailed with her was that he said you will become like God. So, she was complicit. Unlike man, she surveyed the situation, thought about it, and made a conscious decision. Then she passed her lapse in judgment onto ‘Adam.

What she did not do was compare what Satan had said to what Yahowah had instructed. And then, knowing that she had made a horrible mistake, she brought man down with her. She became what the Adversary had projected.

This precipitous decline from good to bad occurred because of “*nasha*’ – delusional and beguiling deceptions.” Culture, like civilization, is something we are conditioned into embracing as good, uplifting and enlightening humankind, making us more divine, when that is a beguiling deception.

One last reference to Black Lives Matter. Take the protests of Black Lives Matter as an example. They claim that the culture is racist, that whites are suppressing and killing blacks, and yet the opposite is true. The problem is in the African American culture. As we know, the facts are that young black men, lacking proper guidance, are eight times more criminally violent than others. Not eight percent but eight times. And when a black person is killed, 93% of the time the perpetrator is also black. Rather than blaming others for their mistakes, as ‘Adam and Chawah had done, and learning nothing, the only viable solution is to accept responsibility and then strive to improve.

I’ve spent the last month rewriting *Questioning Paul*. I’m not rewriting it because somebody else made a mistake. I’m doing it because I made a mistake. I’m responsible for the mistakes that I made. I had no idea that Yahowsha’ was not the Son of God. I thought he was. I thought that while Yahowsha’ was never called the *Ma’aesyah/Messiah* that a good term would be Implement Doing the Work of Yah, which would be *Ma’aesyah*, which is the term that I used. But it’s never really awarded to him. And I thought because of the way the Christian New Testament was written that it would be Yahowsha’ that would be returning. I was wrong. There’s a reason Yahowsha’ never calls himself the Son of God but instead calls himself the son of man. There’s a reason that

Yahowsha' never announces that he's the *Ma'aesyah*. And he doesn't say he's the King of Kings. He doesn't say those things.

I guess it was a year or two ago that we began to take the *Mizmowrs* and the *Mashals* seriously and learned from them that Yahowah has a son which He says he is my son, I am his Father, and it's Dowd. He's the person Yahowah says three different time to anoint him My Messiah ... for my people, three times. ... instructions on it. I have chosen him, I want you to anoint him, He is the *Ma'aesyah*. Dowd is the *Ma'aesyah* according to God. And God refers to Dowd as the King of Kings. He specifically said He's coming back with Dowd, and it's going to be the kingdom of Dowd. ... true then call me a liar. He even says that Dowd is coming back as brilliant as the sun. I didn't know those things when I was writing *Questioning Paul* the second time through. So, I am rewriting it because I erred. It's my fault. Would I like to be translating new material for *Coming Home Volume Three*? Absolutely. But this is important. It's important for us to admit when we're wrong and strive to correct it. As it turns out, oh my goodness, am I learning new stuff. I've become so much more pejorative particularly as you move through it. All the gloves are off. I'm punching the ever-loving-life out of the man. I'm writing some things that needed to be said right from the beginning that are really harsh and deservedly so. It's actually been a pleasurable experience and I'm glad I was given this opportunity to admit the mistake. I'm so delighted that we have such a wonderful team committed to getting the truth out. That's what they should have done. That's what we have the opportunity to do.

Learn the truth. Share the truth. If God chose 'Abraham with all the bad behavior that 'Abraham went through, and could perfect him, He can sure as heck use us. If Moseh, who had murdered a man, for good reasons, (I would have done the same thing. I'm a non-violent individual unless somebody is committing violence against a person who is helpless to thwart them. I think using violence to preclude somebody from killing someone in your presence, that's about the only appropriate use of violence.) Moseh had done that. He had no interest in going back to Egypt. You look at Dowd, and he did some really bad stuff. Yet look what Yahowah was able to inspire through him in His love for him. We all make mistakes, and God works with us and loves us despite it all so long as we strive to be right and straight forward with Him.

The case of 'Adam and Chawah, can you imagine how different it would have been if they both would have said, Yahowah You did tell us not to do that. We should have said it wasn't in our best interest, and we should have listened to You. We're sorry. It's our fault. We would like a second chance. Even if it does happen again, we're going to come to You and say the exact same thing. It's been a thousand years, a little lapse in judgment, a lot of good times. We'd like another mulligan. Yahowah's got no problem with that. He's not expecting that much from us. We do have the opportunity for mulligans. Lots of them. No one took more mulligans than Dowd. The person that Yahowah confirmed the Covenant with his were mulligans in the sense of tearing up the entire golf course. He was really bad.

So, Yahowah understands who we really are, wants the relationship to work, and will sacrifice everything except His integrity for that to be so. So, we should be very comfortable in our relationship with Him as long as we are straight forward and willing to accept responsibility for learning, for our response, and for sharing. We all have that responsibility. We know the truth and have a responsibility to share that truth. I think we learned an enormous amount of what happened in the Garden; what went wrong, how it has reverberated throughout human history, what we should tell people so that they can understand that government, religion, misquoting God, or wanting to be like God is not the answer and understanding exactly who Satan is and how he

manifested himself seeking to be worshiped as if he were God. There is so much we can learn.

Well, it's a wonderful Shabat. I wish everyone the best for this evening. We will take off from this point again next week. Next week we'll be talking about what the consequences were because with each of them Yahowah is going to say because of what happened here this is the consequence. There wasn't a penalty in the lot of them, but there were consequences. We'll go through what those consequences were, how they affect us, and then what happened with Chawah in the naming of her two sons, what that relationship was like Qayn and Abel, what those names mean, what they did, how Yahowah responded to them, what the consequence of all that is. That, of course, is going to lead to something that is such an amazing story. Yahowah grieving having created man and then wanting to reset in the area of the *neshamah*, what we learned about the nature of the Flood that is instructive to us today, and of course, the first symbol of the Covenant, the rainbow, and what it means.

So, there's a lot to learn and we promise we'll have many more wonderful Shabats together as we continue to absorb the Towrah of Yahowah's teaching and guidance and incorporate it into our lives.

May Yah bless you all. Have a wonderful Shabat. We look forward to being with you all next week.