

Shabat Study June 4, 2021, by Yada'

Please Note: I have transcribed this to the best of my ability. Sound bites of parties speaking simultaneously and those which were inaudible to me have been deleted from the transcript. If I guessed at a word, it was followed by ()? A _____ (blank line) indicates I could not understand the word or phrase and three periods ... indicate an interruption in the dialogue of one party by another. Thank you for your understanding. MK

Good evening. Welcome to Yada Yah. I'm so disgusted with the news. It's my opinion that Joe Biden may be the single most disastrous individual ever to occupy the United States Whitehouse. He announced this week there would be a new series of actions targeting the reduction of the racial wealth gap.

The number one indicator of the success of a child is if that child was raised by his father and his father played a vital role in the child's life. For all the women out there who have done a tremendous job as single parents raising their children, great for you. But the single most important aspect of whether or not a child is going to be successful is they know their father and their father played an active role in raising them.

The problem with the racial wealth gap is that if you were to ... together a thousand African American children and asked them about their father, the first word out of a black child's mouth will be "no." When a psychiatrist asked person of African descent in the UK, or in Africa itself 'Who is your father?' The universal answer was 'No. I don't have a clue. What's a father?' The problem that's driving the murder rate and the violence in the African American community is dead-beat dads. They are sperm doners and nothing more. They don't know how to be men or fathers, and are not responsible.

The racial wealth gap exists because there is a total and complete breakdown of the family unit in the African American culture. You are not going to solve this by imposing penalties on those who are productive and rewarding those who have failed. If we want to have successful children, we need to stop rewarding failure and come up with societal standards that support families to stay together.

I lived through an unhappy marriage. It was a miserable experience. There is every reason when you're unhappy to leave. But until your children are grown, you have a responsibility to stick with them, and once they are raised you can do what ever you want to do. And that's the problem.

I watched a very long interview with two of the most brilliant minds probably of our time talking about the fact that the truth is so obvious, yet no one wants to talk about it. That it is exceedingly easy to explain why African American boys and young men are 800% more violent than anyone else, why they are responsible for murdering one another and are dependent upon welfare at a much higher rate than anyone else. They haven't a clue what it's like to be a man. They don't know their fathers and are clueless as to what it means to be a man. To be a man is to be responsible, to set a good example, to support and to protect and guide your children. It's not that it is non-existent in the African American community, but it is exceedingly rare.

These psychiatrists said, 'It's interesting that in the Asian community an Asian immigrant can come into the UK, France or the United States and within that first generation they are independent and successful. The same would be true of those who come from India. When you ask the young people of those communities who their father is, they know. We've never asked that question in the Asian community and the child says they don't know.' We are so corrupted with cancel culture and political correctness, that all we know today are lies and excuses. And this imbecile President of the United States that was elected by fellow imbeciles wants to irradiate the racial wealth gap. And as we are in a self-imposed economic decline of monumental proportions, the solution is to raise taxes on corporations. There is this bellyaching that America doesn't make anything anymore and has lost its innovative edge. There's very little research and development being done in America; America has been surpassed by China. So, they are saying we are going to solve that by funding research and development by a trillion dollars. Government doesn't solve it. If government was the solution the military and post office would run effectively. Everything the government does is inefficient. It's filled with bribery, payoffs, and misappropriation. If you want America or any country to be inventive, tax them less and remove their restrictions. It's really simple, allow people to succeed. Government is always the problem.

I've been translating Volume 4 *Invitations* of the *Yada' Yah* series, and the finished book will be available on Amazon either tomorrow or Monday. God is constantly referencing *mitsraym*, using it as this cesspool, this horrible crucible of religious and political persecution. In the history of humankind the larger the institution, the country or civilization, the worse it is the more vicious, dishonest, and the more it is apt to enslave and impose its caste system. Humankind collectively is a vicious beast. Less government is always the answer, never more. Individual responsibility and accountability are God's view.

I've spent the afternoon translating the real dress rehearsal for Shabuw'ah which was the revelation of the Towrah. The basis of Judaism is that the seventy elders of Yisra'el that are mentioned in the Towrah, according to Judaism, were given the oral Law the same time that Moseh was given the written Towrah. There are several problems with that. There isn't a Hebrew word for law and there is zero evidence of an "oral law" because the earliest that the rabbis began composing the Talmud was about 200 BCE. We're talking about events that took place in 1447 BCE, so they are off by a scant 1,2 ...years. Moreover all the people that contributed to the Towrah are those that lived primarily at the time of Rabbi Akiba in 133 CE all the way through 500 CE, so the whole concept is preposterous.

When you listen to Yahowah talk about the revelation of the Towrah, it's only Moses. Moseh full stop. He would say you can bring up the 70 elders of the Children of Yisra'el but I'm not going to have anything to do with them. I am not going to extend My hand to them. They will be here and recognize that I exist but I'm not going to say a word to them. Why? Because it changes the nature of Judaism. If the rabbis did not know that God exists and that He revealed the Towrah to Moseh, then their religion would be manslaughter. But because they know, because God made it clear to them that He existed and that He gave His Towrah to Moseh it's not manslaughter, it's murder. When Moseh went back up the mountain he didn't even bring 'Aharown with him. He said that 'Aharown __ which means "to dig a hole." 'Aharown is the alternative which is the

alternative to “dig a hole.” He said ‘You are going to listen to Satan, ha Baal and you are associating with one another. You stay here; I don’t want any part of you on that mountain.’

God has a proclivity for something that most people are very uncomfortable with because religions thrive based on popularity. Islam claims to be true because there are lots of Muslims. Christianity was the dominate religion and is still the largest religion in the world. Therefore, it’s hard to believe that religion is nothing but a pile of lies, even though it is. Truth is never popular.

Yahowah’s view is that He prefers to have a one-on-one conversation. He was really happy with His relationship with ‘Adam. Chawah wasn’t created because God wanted to add another person to His family, but because He wanted to keep ‘Adam entertained and to support him.

In all the world Yahowah found Noah, and said ‘You, your wives, your children and their wives are enough. I’m not looking for anybody else.’ Look at ‘Abraham and Sarah, the two of them. ‘That’s it. I don’t need a tribe or clan or nation. That will work just fine. Thank you very much.’ That’s God’s style. He would much prefer an intimate, one-on-one meaningful conversation and relationship than He would with a lot of people. He could have met on top of the mountain with all 600,000 Yisra’elites and certainly could have included the 70 elders, and the four that originally walked up the mountain. But no. With each revelation it was to Moseh alone. That’s something we don’t much think about when it comes to ... Yahowah.

I forgot to mention something last week. Kamala Harris, which is the only reason I prefer that Biden who remains senile and not die any time soon because she would be worse, was asked to give the graduation speech at the Naval Academy. Just like Obama, she couldn’t help but try to cast her world view upon the military. Obama tried to recast the government the way the military was run. They have an economic team called Communism. From the top down everybody follows orders, you have no free will or independence, and it doesn’t work. Obama would explain his policies in the form of the military. So, she gets there and says, “I look at you and know you are among the experts who will navigate and mitigate this threat.” What threat? The Chinese, Muslims, Ruskiies? No. As part of the U S Navy the threat she wants them to navigate and mitigate is climate change. She said, “Climate change is the real threat to our national security. You are ocean engineers who will help navigate ships through thinning ice. You are mechanical engineers who will help reinforce sinking bases. You are electrical engineers who will soon help convert solar and wind energy into power, convert solar and wind energy into combat power.” Delusional. Nobody is going to do anything about that.

And by the way, there’s been a comprehensive analysis of the consequence of the world’s reaction to climate change and its degradation of the conditions on which the world’s poorest live. The way we have overreacted to trying to combat against something we can’t have any effect on, climate change, has had a tremendous negative effect on the poorest around the world. It is demonstrable and yet the elitists that drive this agenda would claim just the opposite. It is one of the most overreaching problems currently in the third world, with the poorest people, and the consequence of the world’s reaction to climate change. It isn’t climate change; it’s the stupid policies that are associated with it.

It's like COVID-19. Many people die each year of tuberculosis. They didn't shut down the world for it. Many more people die of heart disease, lung disease, diabetes; we don't shut down for them. COVID gave liberal politicians the ability to completely undermine freedom, free will, and enterprise, the very things they despise. And they did. The problem wasn't COVID-19 but the response to it. Yes COVID-19 is a real virus, and you should be immunized because you will live longer. But it doesn't change the fact that virtually everything we were told about it was a lie, including the fact that it did come out of the Wuhan Lab in China. The Chinese are eminently responsible for it and the World Health Organization held their hands while they lied to the world about it. The consequence in sexual harassment, domestic abuse, suicide, drug and alcohol abuse, eating disorders, antisocial disorders, lack of education and resulting setbacks in terms of the progress of our youth in terms of socialization are staggeringly worse than what the disease itself would have been had we not imposed those restrictions. That's part of our problem as people, we view things in a vacuum, 'Well, we're shutting things down, fewer people are going to die.' That's not true; more people will die. It goes back to saying Saddam Husain was a really bad guy. We'll take him out of power, and it will be good for Iraq.' It was worse for Iraq. Why? Because you can't look at things in a vacuum. What are the other consequences of your actions? But we didn't do that.

One of the saddest things today is that science has become so politicized that it has lost its credibility. Scientific journals and those who speak for science are now so political that there is no credible voice coming out of the sciences.

With those things said, let's return to where we left off last week.

“And then (wa) Yahowah (יהוה) – a transliteration of YaHoWaH as instructed in His towrah – teaching regarding His hayah – existence) said (‘amar) to (‘el) Moseh (Mosheh), ‘So now (‘atah) you will see (ra’ah – you will witness) what I will do to show the correct path to the benefits of the relationship (‘asher ‘asah) with regard to (la) Pharaoh (Phar’oah). For (ky) even with his unyielding influence and pretense of power (ba yad chazaq – no matter how powerful he believes he may be),

Phar in Hebrew not only means “flea” it also means “bull” ... “ineffectual and broke.” So, it's a flea that's got a problem with bull.

For (ky) even with his unyielding influence and pretense of power (ba yad chazaq – no matter how powerful he believes he may be), with all of the resolve he can muster (ba yad chazaq), he will send them away (shalach hem) and drive them out (garash hem – expelling them) from his land (min ‘erets huw’).” (Shemowth / Names / Exodus 6:1)

In the intervening period, the flea/Pharaoh used his religious and political power to persecute God's People. His slave drivers became ever more brutal as production quotas were increased. If there were going to be a test between gods, Pharaoh believed he would win.

I had written here because I was so disappointed with the arrogance of the scholastic community as it relates to what's now called the Egyptian Standard Timeline. There is a book called *A Test of Time* by David Role; he does not know Yahowah. He is criticized in the historical community because he has a different timeline. Here's what I know. His reporting, which is now available online and in countless other resources of what occurred in Goshen and even what occurred prior

to Goshen with Yowseph and Yowseph's ___ reform, his relationship with Pharaoh, the timing of his entering Egypt, the timing of Egypt building storehouses for grain, seven years of plenty followed by seven years of drought. Then following that the Yisra'elites being enslaved; of males being killed, of them building edifices in Goshen, all of that has been unearthed and is readily provable.

To a large degree David Role said, 'The classic Egyptian timeline is spewed/elongated because all the fleas were liars.' That's one thing you can be assured of when you're reading a record written on behalf of a potentate. I'm constantly reminded when I read what Eusebius said about Constantine. His nose was so far up Constantine's tuckus that his eyes were certainly brown. It is the nature of things and was probably worse under the Roman Empire than any other time, and this is the time that we ought to have had better resources.

During the Pharaohs those who wrote on the inscriptions didn't live very long if they wrote the truth about the Pharaoh because he had to be a god, so if he reigned for ten years, you better write it down as 50. Everything about him was exaggerated, most certainly their reigns. So, if you used what they wrote about the timing of their reigns you are going to have an elongated history because they lied. Unfortunately the Egyptian scholars are enamored with the Egyptian Pharaohs, so they buy into an elongated timeline that doesn't make any sense. David Role did use things like water markings on narrow passageways of the Nile to show that the timing of high and low water and used a number of interesting evidences in Goshen to date all of this. I was impressed with his reasoning, but I recognized that there a lot of historians that, like Role, don't buy into the traditional timeline.

One of the more interesting now is how credible are the Amarna? We spoke of them on a program many years ago where we had done some research on the Amarna. They describe each of the Pharaohs, each of the plagues, their consequences and the priests' reactions to the plagues. It's a remarkable tome written from the perspective of the defeated Egyptians. But are the Amarna letters trustworthy? The Towrah is trustworthy. Is David Role trustworthy? Maybe, maybe not. Is the Egyptian Standard Timeline trustworthy? No.

KIRK: One of the things that makes Towrah interesting is that I don't know of any culture that would tell the story that is so demeaning to them. I agree with you. All these guys are going to lie and boast about how they've conquered everybody and did everything, and these guys are saying we were slaves, we didn't listen, they wiped us all until the next generation, we lost 40 years to the ...

YADA: All of them have flaws. Look at 'Adam, 'It's not my fault, she made me do it.' Then Chawah names her kids the enemies of God, Waste of Breath, My Revenge. Come on! We don't want to see that kind of stuff. Then you get to 'Abraham and he's pimping his wife out for ... Then Dowd for all his magnificence ... What a broken family. So many tragic mistakes. It's a real story about real people and is so different from the Egyptian Timeline. ...

As we move further in the timeline, and even in more antiquity in the timeline, like Nimrod is a king of Babylon, Yahowah names a lot of potentates. Senechereb, Nebuchadnezzar, and Cyrus are named and when we read what those kings wrote and their timing, it ties in identically with what God said. God did not name the pharaoh. He called him a pharaoh, which is pretty amazing, because we wouldn't have known how to pronounce that name until after the Rosetta Stone, and this was written over 3,000 years before the Rosetta Stone. He called him a pharaoh, but He didn't name him. This idea that he was Rameses is utter nonsense. God didn't say it was ... Pharaoh

Rameses ... Rameses which is a city dedicated to the sun god Ra.

I think I'm pretty comfortable with, because there's just too much evidence for it, the Exodus occurring in 1447 BCE. One of the ... I like about that too is, and you can credit Jackie on this. She did some research in terms of the days of the week that we have been trying to ascertain when Bikuwrym occurs. Is it the day after a natural Shabat following Matsah, or is Matsah the Shabat of Shabats and it's just the day after Matsah? In the big fulfillments Matsah is always a Shabat. In 33, for example, when Yahowah through His soul is fulfilling Pesach, Matsah and Bikuwrym, Matsah is a Shabat. Guess what. In 1447 Matsah is a Shabat. Everything God says about Matsah is a Shabat observance. I'd say that's just one aspect of it. Again, it's not a Yowbel year.

The timing of it is based nothing on the Yowbel. Yahowah is telling 'Abraham that his descendants are going to be slaves in Egypt for 400 years, and then He will bring them out. If you look at the 40 years they lived in Egypt as guests of the Egyptians for all that Joseph did for them and you add that to the 400 years they were slaves, God says He took them out of Egypt on the very day that He had promised. So, it's tied to the promise He made to 'Abraham and tied to 40 plus 400. It's not tied to ... a Yowbel or anything else in that regard. It's tied to His promise, and the 400 is the time of testing. So, I can't tell you if Duidmose was the pharaoh of the Exodus or not. God didn't tell us, so I guess it's not all that important. Alfred Edersheim proposed Thutmose II was pharaoh at the time of the Exodus. I don't know, and I'm not even sure that I care. The man is dead, and he was a nincompoop.

As their work multiplied, the Hebrews responded to the added pressure by lashing out at Moseh. Their lack of trust would become epidemic.

Yahowah's next statement is comprised of three integrated elements, each of which is controversial. The first contains a bold declaration of Yahowah's name. Since God had already introduced Himself to Moseh, there would have been no reason for Him to reiterate it this bluntly at this time unless it was intended to introduce what follows. But make no mistake, "God spoke to Moseh and said to him, 'I am Yahowah.'" He did not say that He was "the LORD," and He did not validate the English translations.

In the second part of His declaration, Yahowah reemphasizes His relationship with 'Abraham, Yitschaq, and Ya'aqob whose point of connection is that they were the recipients of the Covenant's promises. God not only reveals that He was actually seen by them; something which is considered impossible in Rabbinic Judaism, He says that He was "*Shaday*." It is then odd that *shaday* is universally mistranslated as "Almighty" when it means anything but "overwhelming."

Then to understand part three of Yahowah's declaration, we must recognize that there are only two words in the next statement which are extant in the Qumran scrolls. Therefore, we cannot be entirely certain that the negation of knowing found in the 11th century CE *Masoretic Text* rendering is correct – especially since the Masorettes' intent was to conceal the proper pronunciation of Yahowah. The question as to whether *lo*, meaning "no" or "not," actually appears in the text was mitigated, however, by the perfect conjugation. It indicates that there was a period in time when Yahowah's name was not known or acknowledged. The inference is then that before Yahowah revealed Himself to them, they were unaware of His name. This explanation makes perfect sense in this context because Pharaoh had made a similar claim, one which Yahowah would resolve through introductions and demonstrations.

“And then (*wa*) God (*'elohym*) spoke (*dabar*) to (*'el*) Moseh (*Mosheh*), and (*wa*) said (*'amar*)

to ('el) him (*huw*'), 'I am ('any) **Yahowah** (יְהוָה – the pronunciation of *YaHoWaH* as guided by His *towrah* – teaching regarding His *hayah* – existence). (5:2)

I appeared to and was seen by, becoming visible to (*ra'ah 'el* – I became visible to and was observed by) 'Abraham ('*Abraham* – Merciful and Enriching Father), to **Yitschaq** ('*el Yitschaq* – I Laugh), and to **Ya'aqob** (*wa 'el Ya'aqob* – My Footsteps), as (*ba*) **God** ('*el Shaday* | **Who is Satisfactory and Sufficient** (*Shaday* – Who is Acceptable and Reasonable, Who is Necessary and Enough, Who is Adequate and Appropriate; from *she* – who is and *day* – enough, sufficient, suitable, fitting, appropriate, acceptable, and pleasing), **when** (*wa*) **My name** (*shem 'any*), **Yahowah** (יְהוָה – a transliteration of *YaHoWaH* as instructed in His *towrah* – teaching regarding His *hayah* – existence), **had not previously been revealed, respected, acknowledged, or understood concerning them** (*lo' yada' la hem* – up until that moment, it had not been shown, known, or discovered by them nor appreciated for its association with them (nifal perfect – with the perfect conjugation and nifal stem there was a point in time before which Yahowah had made His name known and after which it was not understood)).” (*Shemowth* / Names / Exodus 6:3)

Shaday is universally rendered “Almighty” in English Bibles even though that rendering cannot be deduced from the word’s etymology or context. Since '*el* means “Almighty God,” '*el shaday* would be like saying “almighty Almighty.” Further, its definition cannot be predicated upon *shad* because it means “to devastate and destroy, to loot and oppress,” the opposite of what Yahowah accomplished in establishing the Covenant with 'Abraham, Yitschaq, and Ya'aqob. Moreover, vocalized as *shed*, it would make God a “demonic spirit.” There is nothing “almighty” about such things.

On closer inspection, we discover that *Shaday* is actually derived from *she*, a relative pronoun based upon '*asher*, and *day* (*Strong's* H1767). With *day* conveying the ideas of “being satisfactory and sufficient,” we find God to be “acceptable and reasonable,” both “adequate and appropriate,” even “necessary.”

Rather than bragging, Yahowah is revealing to Moseh that He is reasonable and that He was more than sufficient for 'Abraham, providing whatever was needed to satisfy the promises to make him acceptable. ...

KIRK: Is not shad also the root, the shin and the dalet, breast or mother’s milk?

YADA: Yes.

and because He had done this for 'Abraham, Yitschaq, and Ya'aqob, He could be relied upon to do the same for their descendants – the Children of Yisra'el.

And right at the moment when you’re being pounded by this religious and political regime, someone who was adequate, that’s looking pretty appealing to me.

This is to say that God would not be showing off. His intervention would be appropriate and adequate, sufficient to satisfy the promise to free His People. Because of what He said to 'Abraham, Yahowah would “'*asher day* – show the way to the benefits of the relationship by satisfactorily satisfying His promises, doing whatever was appropriate and necessary.” He would do enough to rescue them without overwhelming either side, allowing for the maximum expression of freewill while still accomplishing the mission.

God had just told Moseh that Yahowah is His one and only name – the single and solitary designation by which He wanted to be known. His statement was for all time, for all people, and

in all places – and that would have included His meetings with ‘Abraham, Yitschaq, and Ya’aqob. There would be no reason for Him to conceal it then or now.

Moreover, without stating the alternative moniker, the declaration becomes irrelevant at best and perhaps even conflicting. If He wasn’t known as Yahowah to ‘Abraham, then what was He known as?

KIRK: Abba.

YADA: No, He wasn’t known as Abba to ‘Abraham. If you read the text, every discussion between ‘Abraham and Yahowah, Yahowah’s name is used exclusively, no other name is used.

KIRK: ___ so obvious. I highlighted that as I was reading it. Yahowah’s one and only name; I know that, and we would have no reason to ___ it then or now. That should be so obvious.

YADA: Yahowah is the only person who could have told Moseh and therefore could have told us about His relationship with ‘Abraham. ‘Abraham is wholly unknown apart from Yahowah’s description of that relationship to Moseh. With Moseh He says Yahowah, Yahowah, Yahowah and nothing else.

I was talking about just Moshe going up to receive the Towrah. Who actually scribed the Towrah?

KIRK: I assume that Moseh was taking dictation. Is that not correct?

YADA: That’s what I already said, but that’s not what the text says. It says that Yahowah wrote the Tablets, the Towrah, and the Mitswah – the Terms and Conditions of the Covenant. And that ... He wrote the Tablets, the Towrah, and the Mitswah – the Terms and Conditions of the Covenant to teach His people, to *yara*’ them. But yes, it says that Yahowah wrote them.

There are, I’m sure places where Moseh wrote a copy of what Yahowah said. I think it’s very much like Yahowah’s instruction to ___, ‘If you’re going to lead My people, write it down.

I’ve been writing Towrah now for 20 years, and can tell you one of the best ways to learn something is to write it. Writing it would have reinforced Moseh’s understanding and his ability to recall what God had said. So, there is likely a copy of the Towrah written in Moseh’s hand. But there’s also a copy of the Towrah written ... and that takes us to another level because Yahowah would not have written the Towrah ... copy on a piece of parchment. He’s not going to sit there with a dead animal in Heaven. He didn’t write it on a piece of parchment. It was on a scroll in His penmanship. Can you imagine what that thing looks like? We would love to see it in that pictographic Paleo Hebrew, and I think we will. It says a lot of things. One of the things it says is that the original autograph not only exists but is as legible, brilliant, and as perfect as it ever was. The original autograph if God wrote it, and He said He did, would not have deteriorated.

It also suggests something else I hadn’t thought of. Since God said He wrote it means that the material that it is written on and the way the words are inscribed onto that material makes it very easy for God just to plug it into us when He comes to reaffirm His Covenant with Yisra’el and Yahuwah at the cusp of His return in 2033. It is a program, really, that He could incorporate right into us, although I don’t think it’s going to be the existing Towrah. ...

There’s so much stuff in the Towrah like Yahowah’s dealings with Pharaoh. Going back prior to that you’re dealing with the way the Children of Yisra’el responded to Moseh’s attempt to save them. Why would you want to know about the plague or the flood which was very frustrating to God and sad in eternity? Why would you want to know in eternity that ‘Abraham not once but

twice pimped out his wife for material goods? The relationship turned out great, but it had some serious warts along the way. Why would you want to know that? Why would you want to know when Dowd is our shepherd and is leading us that he made some really bad decisions and had all of this family strife. I realize that's not in the Towrah per se, but I think there is Towrah throughout the Prophets, there is prophecy throughout the Towrah, and it's all just one testimony. I think God's Towrah will be His teaching, directions, guidance, and instructions for how to capitalize, enjoy, survive and thrive in six and seven dimensions. The fact that He has written it would indicate that He has written it all including what He's going to give to us.

Without stating the alternative moniker, the declaration that He was known by some different name perhaps becomes irrelevant at best and perhaps even conflicting. Then a contradiction would exist because Yahowah's name was presented frequently throughout the narratives with 'Abraham, Yitschaq, and Ya'aqob. Therefore, in this context, and with *yada'* written in the finite perspective of the perfect conjugation, I'm comfortable with the preceding rendering which was, **"There was a period of time, now over, that God was not known by Yahowah."** It could also suggest that thereafter there was a finite period of time where Yahowah's name was not understood. Clearly today apart from our research and study of the Towrah, Yahowah's name is not well understood. It is easily deduced from the text.

"And (*wa*) in addition, I established at that moment in time (*gam quwm* – stood upright on behalf of (hifil perfect – I set up and confirmed, enabling and facilitating then)) My Covenant Relationship (*'eth beryth 'any* – My Family-Oriented Agreement) with them (*'eth hem* – in conjunction with them) to approach and to give to them (*la nathan la hem* – to grant, deliver, and bestow on their behalf) the land associated with (*'eth 'erets* – the region and realm) Kana'an (*Kana'an* – to subdue, subjugate, and humble).

In association with this land (*'eth 'erets*), they resided as immigrants (*magowr hem* – they did not yet have full custody) to show the way to the benefits of the relationship (*'asher*), dwelling as a guest within it (*guwr ba hy'* – living together in it). (6:4)

Thereafter (*wa gam* – so now in the sequence of events), I (*'any*) heard (*shama'* – received the news of and listened to) the painful suffering and groaning (*na'aqah* – moaning) of the Children (*ben* – sons) of Yisra'el (*Yisra'el*), whom (*'asher*) the Mitsraym | the Egyptians/those in the Crucibles of Political and Religious Oppression (*Mitsraym* – the Mitsry in the cauldrons of persecution and cruelty in Egypt confine, besiege, assault, and anguish) had chosen to work as slaves (*'abad* – reduce to servitude).

Concerning them (*'eth hem*) I remembered (*zakar* – I am reminded of and will honor) My Covenant Relationship (*beryth 'any* – My Family-Oriented Association based upon children living at home)." (*Shemowth* / Names / Exodus 6:5)

That's what this is all about, the Covenant. Yahowah made a promise to 'Abraham and said this is what's going to happen to your descendants but I'm going to rescue and liberate them, and it will happen exactly in this many years. That's a big part of it. God made a promise, and He honors His promises. That's important because God made a promise to lengthen our days, to perfect us, to adopt us, to enrich and empower us so that He can reconcile His relationship with us so that we can live with Him forever. So, the very fact that He honors His relationships is important.

But there is something far more about all of this. If God had not liberated the Children of Yisra'el there would have been no Towrah. You can't just give it to one person and have them flail it

around in the air; it's not going to do any good. It had to be manifest through a people group and to see how it prevailed for them when they paid attention to it and how they failed when they ignored it. Without the Children of Yisra'el ...

KIRK: He had to wait that long to get enough of a crowd to make an impact.

YADA; That's part of it. The other part is it made a specific time commitment and He knew how He wanted history to play out over 6,000 years, so it was all laid out. But the Children of Yisra'el had to be free because if they were not free there was no one else. He had formed this relationship with 'Abraham who gave birth to Yitschaq who gave birth to Ya'aqob who became Yisra'el and these people. He formed the Covenant relationship and the Towrah exists to convey the Covenant. In fact, the very first time that the Towrah is mentioned in association with Moseh during the *yatsa'* / Exodus in the first sentence Yahowah says that the Towrah is the Towrah of the Covenant. So, the Towrah exists to present, to introduce, and to explain the Covenant.

As the Father of the Covenant, it is Yahowah's responsibility to protect His children. He told 'Abraham He would do so, which is why He was having this discussion with Moseh. They could rely upon Him then, just as Yisra'el can trust Yahowah today.

And let's be clear, Yahowah, not Jesus or Allah, is offering to save Yisra'el, not Egyptians, Greeks, Romans, Christians, Muslims, or Palestinians. If we want to participate, we have to join Yisra'el – not oppose them.

Every bit of this is devoted to and predicated upon the deliverance and salvation, the withdrawal from religious and political oppression of the Children of Yisra'el. No one else is mentioned. As we go through time and Yahowah wants to reconcile His relationship prior to His return it's with Yisra'el and Yahuwdaym. No one else is mentioned. Everyone that wants to believe that God changed teams and that He went from Jews to Arabs as some would claim, or that He went from Jews ... gowym to Christians as Paul ... would claim are completely inconsistent with God's message, which means they lied.

“Therefore (*ken* – pertaining to what we have been discussing), **say to** (*'amar* – you should choose to declare and to promise (qal imperative)) **to the Children of Yisra'el** (*la Beny Yisra'el* – concerning the approach of the Descendants who want to Engage and Endure with God),

Don't say to the Romans, Egyptians, Babylonians, Assyrians, Christians, or Muslims. Say to the Children of Yisra'el.

'I am (*'any* – I am here and I affirm that I am) **Yahowah** (*Yahowah* – God's name transliterated as guided by His *towrah* – instructions on His *hayah* – existence and His role in our *shalown* – reconciliation as *'elowah* – Almighty God).

I'm not ha Shem, I'm not the LORD, I am Yahowah.

And I will bring you out (*wa yatsa'* *'eth 'atem* – so I will descend to lead you out and serve to deliver you) **from under** (*min tachath*) **the burdens of forced labor and compulsory service** (*sabalach*) **of the religious and political oppressors** (*Mitsraym* – the cauldrons of military and economic subjugation, the coercive cruelty experienced in Egypt where you are confined and restricted by political persecution, considered a foe, besieged and assaulted as if shut up inside a concentration camp).

Wa yatsa' *'eth 'atem*. *'Atem* is the plural for you. *'Eth* means “with,” *yatsa'* means “to withdraw, to remove, to bring out.” (I will descend and lead you out and serve to deliver you)

from under (*min tachath*) **the burdens of forced labor and compulsory service** (*sabalach*) **of the religious and political oppressors** (*Mitsraym* – the cauldrons of military and economic subjugation, the coercive cruelty experienced in Egypt where you are confined and restricted by political persecution, considered a foe, besieged and assaulted as if shut up inside a concentration camp).

Yatsa' is the actual word that we should have been using to describe the Exodus. We'll come back to this. It's not Exodus, it is Shemowth/Names. It's a pretty good name mentioned in the midst of it. That would be Yahowah's name. Yisra'el is an important name in the midst of it. Mitsraym is an important name on the negative side, but the exodus itself is a Yatsa'. If we're going to apply titles to the things that God does, we ought not apply a Greek one. God didn't speak Greek. God called the Greeks a beast. He didn't speak Latin; He called the Romans a beast. God spoke Hebrew. The Hebrew word that is convoluted into Exodus is yatsa'. We ought to know it because it is what Yahowah was withdrawing and removing His people from. The yatsa' is always from Mitsraym and mitsraym is the thing that God opposes the most. It is the thing that is most dangerous and destructive to His people. Mitsraym is religion, politics, militarism, economics on a national or institutional scale. **It is so essential that we stop using exodus apart from yatsa' and that we understand that yatsa' means "to remove, to withdraw, to bring out."** **We are not being brought out from Egypt, we are being brought out from Mitsraym ... the cauldrons of persecution ... the crucibles of oppression.** God was so clear on what He hated there. It was a place of religion and politics, economic schemes, militarism and conspiracies. It is what man does when man gets together in large institutions. The larger the institution the worse man becomes. Mitsraym is what Matsah was designed to cure.

And I will bring you out (*wa yatsa' 'eth 'atem* – so I will descend to lead you out and serve to deliver you) **from under** (*min tachath*) **the burdens of forced labor and compulsory service** (*sabalach*) **of the religious and political oppressors** (*Mitsraym* – the cauldrons of military and economic subjugation, the coercive cruelty experienced in Egypt where you are confined and restricted by political persecution, considered a foe, besieged and assaulted as if shut up inside a concentration camp).

That might sound too extreme to say “shut up inside a concentration camp” but *mitsraym* is the plural of *matsowr* – to be delineated as a foe and besieged during a time of testing and tribulation, from *tsuwr* – to shut up, to constrict and constrain, to torment and abuse. It's as much as words will allow to describe what it would be like to live in a concentration camp. You are concentrated into a highly troubling environment and that is what mitsraym means.

I will deliver you, rescuing and defending you, saving you (*wa natsal 'eth 'atem* – I will remove you and save you, protecting and sparing you) **from being enslaved to them** (*min 'abodah hem*).

And I will redeem you (*wa ga'al 'atem 'eth 'atem* – I will ransom you, paying the price to free you) **with** (*ba*) **the sacrificial lamb and the productive arm shepherding the flock** (*Zarowa''*) – the prevailing and effective nature, the strength, resolve, and overall ability of this remarkably important and impactful individual of action who, as a leader and fighter is engaged as a shepherd among his sheep, who is fruitful in his ways, accomplishing the mission, especially when sowing the seeds of truth while denoting and advancing the purpose of the arm of God, of His shepherd and sacrificial lamb) **engaged and extended** (*natah* – reaching out, inclined, and outstretched) **along with** (*wa ba*) **many important decisions and extraordinary judgments** (*shaphat gadowl*

– enabling verdicts, acquittals, and condemnations).” (*Shemowth / Names / Exodus 6:4-6*)

We can take credit for ascertaining many hundreds of insights, maybe thousands, that Yahowah placed in His words for us to find. One of them, and I think among the more important ones, is *zarowa*’. In English bibles it is written as “arms.” Could you deduce arms from maybe ten percent of the translations? Maybe, but not likely. The root of *zarowa*’ is pretty straightforward, *zara*’. There’s nothing hidden about that. Did you look up *zarowa*’?

KIRK: I got pretty much the same things you did on it. You’re clearing a path with a plow to head that is taking us in the right direction, observing, and then the increase, and I can’t remember the last one.

YADA: You have a rosh ... the aleph the ram ... You’ve got the ram because it is the sacrificial lamb. When *zarowa*’ is first introduced in the Towrah Yahowah is describing how the kohen are to prepare the Pesach lamb. So, the *Zarowa*’ is the sacrificial lamb of Pesach as it is described and introduced in the Towrah. That’s pretty straightforward. It’s really hard to counteract it.

There are three *Zarowa*’. Obviously one of the three is leading the Children of Yisra’el out of - yatsa’ - removing them from Mitsraym, and that *Zarowa*’ would be Moseh. There are other places in the Towrah where it just flat-out says Moseh is a *Zarowa*’. There are other places that name Dowd as a *Zarowa*’. ... important to ... and then while there is no place that actually said that Yahowsha’ is a *Zarowa*’, because there is no prophecy that actually says Yahowsha’ is anything, the presentation of Yahowsha’s role as the Sacrificial Lamb made him the epitome of a *Zarowa*’. Plus ... the most demonstrative prophecy on Yahowsha’s purpose as the Passover Lamb is Yasha’yah/Isaiah 53. It opens by saying, **“Who is it that came to understand who the *Zarowa*’ are?”** So, obviously since it’s talking about Yahowsha’ as the Passover Lamb, one of the *Zarowa*’ is Yahowsha’. One is Moseh. One is the leader who is shepherding the flock leading them away from Mitsraym, that *Zarowa*’ is Moseh. The other *Zarowa*’ is that protective strong arm of God that is protecting God’s people. That *Zarowa*’ is Dowd. And the Sacrificial Lamb is Yahowsha’. They all sowed the seed of life. *Zara*’ means “to sew” which is to plant something that you expect to root, to grow, and to bear fruit. *Zara*’ is a powerful term. It also means “offspring.” So, you are planting the seeds that you expect to grow with the fruit of those seeds being the Children of the Covenant _____. *Zarowa*’ is a powerful word and to render it as just one thing is sad.

I was dealing with a passage that was clearly talking about Dowd. They called him a *Zarowa*’. He was not the Sacrificial Lamb, Yahowsha’ was. Then you realize, well wait. Who did a better, more prolific job of sewing the seeds that would take root ... Dowd and Yasha’yah’ ... Dowd and ... You need the Towrah that is the *Zarowa*’ of Moseh. You need the First Born of the Covenant, you need the Shepherd. You need the Ma’aesyah, the Son of God. That is the author of the Mizmowr/Psalms and Mashal/Proverbs. That’s Dowd. He’s the First-Born of this Covenant relationship. And then you need the Sacrificial Lamb, Yahowsha’. They are the three *Zarowa*’.

We have to be a bit careful with Yahowsha’ because there’s a reason for two things. One is that even though the role that was played by the Passover Lamb was exceedingly important to our longevity and responsible for our ability to prolonging our days, there isn’t a single prophecy that names Yahowsha’, not one. Why would God have someone this important and not have a single prophecy where he is named? Dowd is named in over a thousand prophecies and Moseh’s name is second only to Dowd’s and Yahowah’s in prevalence in the Towrah, Prophets and Psalms. Yahowsha’s name is nonexistent. There are ... 220 references to Yahowsha’ with 200 of them being to Yahowsha’ ben nun and the others just to miscellaneous people named Yahowsha’ over

time. Not one of the Yahowsha's is the Passover Lamb. ... There's a reason for that. The reason is that Yahowsha' is not a name but rather a job description, a mission statement. Yahowah is saying, 'I am the One who delivers, who liberates, who is saving you ... it's Yahowah doing it. Why? Because the soul of Yahowsha' ... Yahowah's. When Yahowah told 'Abraham, 'I will provide the Lamb,' He was the Lamb. It was His soul. His soul experienced all of the ruthlessness of the Romans. It was His soul that fulfilled Matsah, and the Set-Apart Spirit took His soul from Pesach to She'owl on Matsah and then removed His soul on Bikuwrym.

Realistically we must again be careful to recognize that the role of the Passover Lamb is enormously important, but the name of the Passover Lamb is not. The mission of the Passover Lamb is exceedingly important, and the name of the Passover Lamb is important only as you know it as Yahowah Saving.

The other thing is we don't have a single word that Yahowsha' spoke in the language he spoke, not one. With Moseh we've got all of his conversations with Yahowah in the first four books of the Towrah and we have this magnificent treasure in Dabarym of Moshe's explanation and presentation of the Towrah in words. We have words for Moseh. The only thing that there is more of than Dabarym in the Dead Sea Scrolls is Dowd's Mizmowr. With Dowd, we have more words that were spoken of him and written by him than anyone else who was related to Yah by far. And so, they are one and two. And then the third Zarowa' is Yahowah Himself saving us when we consider that Yahowsha' had Yahowah's soul. We go into this as we go through these books.

To you and me, Kirk, and to everyone who is listening, our soul is the totality of who we are. Our bodies are so irrelevant of who we are. Sometimes we have aches and pains and that part matters to us and who we are physically matters to our loved ones, but we are really our souls. And it is our soul that is the repository of our personality, our attributes, our attitudes, our memories, of our personalities; all are encapsulated in our soul. Our soul is the essence of who we are. Yahowah has a soul, but it is more like we as humans could send the Perseverance rover to Mars. Perseverance's trip to Mars extends our reach. It gives us the opportunity to hear, smell, see, and what it feels like to be on Mars. On Mars we can move, roll, dig, hear the wind blow, see and feel what it's like to be there. That rover ... is a very sophisticated probe that extends our reach and experience so we can experience what it's like to be on Mars.

If you were to take the best probe in human development which would probably be the rover Perseverance, and magnify that by billions of billions of times, Yahowah's *nepesh* / soul would be that sort of thing where it conveyed Yahowah's personality, some of His intellect, attributes and attitudes. I like that we know in reading the Towrah that given the choice between lots of people and one person, 2 people or 2 million people, 8 people or 8,000 people, Yahowah always chose the smaller numbers. He's a bit of an introvert. He likes one-on-one conversations, relationships, small groups, and families. If you look at what we know of Yahowsha' he was a bit of a ... He'd give his sermon on the Mount and say, 'Okay I'm going to be here at the top. I'll give my sermon and you all sit down there.' And afterwards he disappeared. He would meet with people, say a few things and then disappear ... It conveys that same kind of persona. And God is smart. I'm here translating trying to figure out what God's deal is with the elders of Yisra'el. It says, 'I didn't even extend My hand toward them. I want to make a special point of this. I'm leaving 'Aharown, which is the Alternative, who has the free will, also 'Aharown, and ___ which means "dig a hole" with the elders who have decided they are going to stay over here and listen to the Lord Baal. When they do, just keep it to yourselves.' And what did they do but build the ... damned golden calf. That's snarky. God has an interesting personality in all of this, which I think is marvelous.

So, He says, I'm ... go ahead.

KIRK: He's more excited about lifting the other Zarowa' up; He doesn't need any acclaim. He does what He has to do and is always doing the lifting.

YADA: There is one bit of acclaim that He wants. He is clearly saying that Moseh is a Zarowa'. He's the strong arm of God, the protective shepherd, the one who is sewing the seeds that are going to take root, grow and be fruitful. Moseh is spectacular; he's a man's man. That guy has character, fortitude. God fell in love with Moseh. Moseh is a great guy and may be the best of us who has ever been.

With Dowd, I even lost His perspective on Dowd. To be fair, God is so in love with Dowd. Dowd is His first-born, His Chosen One, the Messiah, the Son of God, the Branch, the Shepherd, the King of Kings, coming back he will be more glorious than the sun. I mean God loves ... Dowd! Every ... doting father goes a little bit overboard ... ___ my boy and God does this with Dowd. That's His boy. So, the ultimate Zarowa' is, of course, Dowd.

The one place though where He is really serious about crediting Yahowsha' who is Yahowah saving us as a Zarowa' is that God made a promise to 'Abraham, 'I will provide the lamb.' And He did. That's why the Zarowa' as Yahowah saving us is important and why Yahowah is taking credit for it, and why Yasha'yah 53 begins by saying 'You want to understand this? There is somebody out there that has figured out who the three Zarowa' are. You might want to listen to his rendering and take on what follows because it's not consistent with what you're getting from any religious institution, and it is consistent with My message.' Zarowa' is a very important term. Moseh here is called a Zarowa'.

And I will redeem you (*wa ga'al 'atem 'eth 'atem* – I will ransom you, paying the price to free you) **with** (*ba*) **the sacrificial lamb and the productive arm shepherding the flock** (*Zarowa''*) – the prevailing and effective nature, the strength, resolve, and overall ability of this remarkably important and impactful individual of action who, as a leader and fighter is engaged as a shepherd among his sheep, who is fruitful in his ways, accomplishing the mission, especially when sowing the seeds of truth while denoting and advancing the purpose of the arm of God, of His shepherd and sacrificial lamb)

He's talking about the first Pesach and the fulfillment of Pesach here also. He's talking about Moseh as a shepherd and His people as his flock.

engaged and extended (*natah* – reaching out, inclined, and outstretched) **along with** (*wa ba*) **many important decisions and extraordinary judgments** (*shaphat gadowl* – enabling verdicts, acquittals, and condemnations).” (*Shemowth* / Names / Exodus 6:4-6)

It is the purpose of the *Talmud* and *New Testament* to hoodwink the unthinking into believing that God has established a set of laws that the religious must obey to earn His favor. Nothing could be further from the truth. Yahowah is a liberator, not an authoritarian despot. He is committed to freeing us from religious oppression, not subjecting us to it.

Here's why if you want to understand the Towrah understand *yatsa' mitsraym*. Yahowah is here to free us from religion. He's not here to subject us to it. He doesn't want us to obey Him. He wants us to be liberated so that we can choose to love Him.

Leah loves documentaries. She watched one a couple weeks ago about two of the world's most acclaimed scientists' discussion about what represents consciousness. These guys were clueless.

Mumbling “seems so me” back and forth, they got everything wrong. They had nothing but opaque opinions. The fact is that if you don’t know Yahowah you have no idea what consciousness is, and you don’t understand what a nepesh / soul is and what it represents.

Then she starts my day off here, which began about 5:30, and says, “I want you to watch another documentary.” This one was with two of the most brilliant, stunningly brilliant people. Of course neither one knows Yahowah, but nonetheless they were brilliant. They were talking about being judgmental. Their unified conclusion on being judgmental is that if you are not judgmental you have no purpose of free will. Free will only matters if you are judgmental. If you are part of this cancel culture and political correctness telling people they should not be judgmental, that is a judgment, and you are nothing but a hypocrite. One scientist said that if you want a correlation between success and failure in this regard, one highly determinant thing if a person is going to be successful is their conscience. Not consciousness but conscience. Does this person have character and know the difference between right and wrong? Will they take a stand to do the right thing for no other reason than it’s the right thing to do? They didn’t know the word neshamah.

Neshamah is our conscience where nepesh is our consciousness. They discussed psychological studies where there are three things that determine whether or not a young man or woman is going to be successful in life. Those three things are: conscience / neshamah – whether you are able to use it or not, whether you are judgmental in a rational and reasonable manner where you are going to be responsible, accountable, and are committed to do the right thing – consciousness.

The second one, and this is not in this order, is intellect. If you are born between one and three deviations into a family that is wealthier than the norm by the standard deviation of one, two, or three, or if you are born with an intelligence that is one, two, or three standard deviations from the norm, by the time you are forty the person who is smarter will have much greater success than a person who is born into it. So, this idea that wealth is passed on know that intelligence really matters.

The third is that you need to be a child in a committed relationship where one of the two parents is a man. The evidence is overwhelming and does not in any way diminish the role that mothers play because it is huge, and no man can fulfill that role. It just says that in success of life the mother is going to keep you alive and show you love, but if you’re going to be successful in life you need a father. You must have a positive, committed, male role model in your life.

KIRK: There’s a reason there are two Heys in Yah’s name.

YADA: That’s exactly right. There’s a reason that Yah presents Himself as our Heavenly Father and Spiritual Mother. Throughout the presentation of the Miqra’ey He uses Mal’akah. In Bible translations they try to hide the fact that they call it “work work.” Mal’akah is not based on a Hebrew word for work. Ma’aseh, ‘asah, and ‘abodah are all Hebrew words for “work”, but mal’akah is not the Hebrew word for “work.” Mal’akah is the feminine of mal’ak. Mal’ak is a male messenger; Mal’akah is a female messenger. The Mal’ak are mostly associated with the Heavenly Hosts, and are Yahowah’s spiritual implements and heavenly representatives. Mal’akah would therefore be a feminine, maternal messenger, so a spiritual counselor and maternal messenger ... ___ Ruwach Qodesh, of course. Although there are men who are mal’ak and women who can be mal’akah because God’s message is there for us to convey and when we are conveying it, we are messengers.

So, mal’ak permeates it and when you’re looking at the Covenant the Miqra’ey, which enable us

to get there, is always a mother and father. If you read Dowd's Mashal / his Proverbs almost all of them are written from the perspective of a mother and father guiding the child. The Mother is the Ruwach Qodesh, the Set-Apart Spirit, the Father is Yahowah, and the Son is Dowd. It's God's idea of what works in terms of raising our families. If we raise our families after how He raised His family, we will have greater success.

That is not to say we won't have broken families. Dowd's family was shattered. 'Abraham's family was to some degree shattered, and Yahowah's family is the epitome of shattered. It's not to say that we're going to have nothing but rose petals and butterflies. Life is tough and families are challenging. They're ... highly rewarding but also enormously frustrating. That's God's perspective. As we end here, God is saying

And I will redeem you (*wa ga'al 'atem 'eth 'atem* – I will ransom you, paying the price to free you) **with** (*ba*) **the sacrificial lamb and the productive arm shepherding the flock** (*zarowa'* – the prevailing and effective nature, the strength, resolve, and overall ability of this remarkably important and impactful individual of action who, as a leader and fighter is engaged as a shepherd among his sheep, who is fruitful in his ways, accomplishing the mission, especially when sowing the seeds of truth while denoting and advancing the purpose of the arm of God, of His shepherd and sacrificial lamb) **engaged and extended** (*natah* – reaching out, inclined, and outstretched) **along with** (*wa ba*) **many important decisions and extraordinary judgments** (*shaphat gadawl* – enabling verdicts, acquittals, and condemnations).” (*Shemowth / Names / Exodus 6:4-6*)

Shaphat means “to decide.” ... really wonderful means to decide, to make a decision to differentiate between right and wrong. To exercise good judgment – *shaphat*.

Today I think it's one of the most lacking in our world – people exercising good judgment, people making a conscious decision. It's so bad ... that the cancel culture and political correctness will insist that you accept that which is not true and that you reject that which is true.

We talk about how so much of this now is myth that African Americans have somehow been mistreated and that their plight is because Caucasians have held them down. It's wholly untrue, but if you say it's untrue your voice is ... silenced. Listening to this interview, everything I've said has been validated that if you're going to solve problems in the community which need to be resolved, you have to start with the fact that men are not men; they are not fathers, and the children grow up to repeat the cycle, and that women without men in their lives are way too aggressive and way too prone to abuse their own children.

Then we have created this culture that has thrown money into the problem not only promoting failure but encouraging more children to be born ... relationship, and then try to blame everyone except hold the people accountable that are responsible for the problem. That is society losing the ability to exercise good judgment. And boy do we need that.

Yesterday or today was the anniversary of one of the worst episodes of Muslims being Muslims and their hatred of Jews. In 1941 there was a conservative group of Muslims in power in Baghdad. As they rose to power, just like Hamas, they thought they would win favor by telling their fellow Muslims to rape, rob, and murder Jews. Six to seven hundred Jews were murdered, countless women were raped, and thousands of businesses and homes were plundered by out-of-control Muslims. Finally when it was all over there was only one opportunity for the Jews who had been there for thousands of years, and they had to leave with nothing. They were pushed out and had to leave their land, businesses, possessions after having been raped and murdered.

Then you have these Muslims as the Fakestinians in Israel demanding that they get everything imaginable ... the Jews. The reality is that Muslims for the 1400 years that they existed have been savages and have treated Jews as badly as any people has treated another. And now to call the Jews terrorists as ... absolute dunderheads are doing shows a complete ignorance of history, in forcing the play.

Speaking of forcing the play, Netanyahu was finally ousted as Prime Minister of Israel. He was the longest serving Prime Minister. Part of it is sad because he did a nice job of standing up against Islamic terrorists and the Iranian threats to go nuclear and destroy Israel. He did a good job in getting more settlements throughout Israel and to get the U S Capital moved into Jerusalem. For Israel to throw out a politician who has done that much for his country, probably more than any Jewish leader in history, speaks of the lack of judgment in Jews today.

There is part of me this thrilled that he is gone. His power base was largely ... religious ... party. Without the Haredi he's not Prime Minister. These are amongst the worst people in the world. ... Ultra-Orthodox ... Jews are probably the people God detests most in _____. And they are having a conniption fit today because one of the fundamental purposes of this coalition to oust Netanyahu was to break with the support of the religious parties.

The religious parties don't work, or pay taxes. They receive stipends to be religious, they don't support the State, they don't engage in the IDF. They are just a total waste of lives. And they supported the waste of lives. The coalition said 'No, we're not going to do that anymore. We need to reduce the role of religion in government.' So, the rabbis are having conniption fits. It is good to see that because unless there is clean distinction between what it means to be a Jew and Judaism it will be very difficult for any material number of Yahuwdym and Yisra'elites to return to Yahowah, because to return to Yahowah and be part of reconciliations ... Day of Reconciliation you're going have to completely disown any connection to Judaism and Israeli politics. You can support Israel without being political. This very sharp divide taking place post Netanyahu between the rabbis who want to control everyone's lives and want to make Judaism and a Jew synonymous and this coalition that says, 'No. Being Jewish is ... an ethnicity, we're part of a country, and 80% of us are not Ultra-Orthodox.' So, I think that is an exceedingly positive move and that the degradation of freedoms and economies of the world, the stupidity of the reaction to COVID and to climate change, and the current administration in Washington, that all those things are going to expedite our decline such that the timing of Yah's return is certainly looking eminent.

Particularly this big move in Israel because there had to be a sharp divide between the Ultra - Orthodox who wish to control every aspect of Jewish life in that Israel as a nation and Jews as a people. We are seeing that take place and also seeing a greater influx of Jews to Israel than ever before. That is particularly remarkable with all the Hamas rockets, with ... but I think that while Blacks are making noise claiming to be the victims of racism, which clearly existed in some parts of the country; major portions of the south for a very long time, and was clearly wrong, they are not racially abused to nearly the extent that the Jews are. Anti-Semitism is grossly under-reported in the world right now, and it is a world-wide phenomenon growing exponentially and something we should expect to get worse, which can be the silver lining that turns this dark cloud is that it is time for Yahuwdym and Yisra'elites to return to Yahowah.

Your rabbis have lied to you. You've tried one approach now for the better part of for now 3,000 years. It has not worked. The definition of insanity is doing the same thing and expecting a different outcome. If you want to know about what works, read about Dowd or Hezekiah or Noah

and you will find out what works. Try it. That's what works. What you are doing doesn't work. Our hope is that more and more Yahuwdaym will listen.

A large number of people have worked with me to create now 16 volumes of *Yada' Yah*. The books are so much richer, more accurate, more insightful, and so much better. Jackie in particular and her team, Mike, Jake, and Molly, have done marvelous jobs to make it possible that we have some spectacular books that we can be proud of that do the job of the (Nec)? the way that Yahowah intended. ... but particularly David working with Jackie have created a web site at yadayah ... yadayah.davidsgod.com. It's an absolutely beautiful website in the way it presents the material. We're going to eventually link directly to it from yadayah.com and yadayahowah.com, but right now it is yadayah.davidsgod.com, and what you'll find is ... all of the books including *Invitations* which has just come out, are all presented on a bookshelf. You can click on any one of these books and they will open up for you to read. You can listen to the audio archives and search the books in many different ways. You can go to the community of the various sites that support the books. It's all there for us in a beautiful presentation. It opens with the prelude to the first volume of *Yada' Yahowah* which begins, "Were embarking on life's greatest adventure that will take us places that few have experienced." And it has the Lion of Judah sitting there. It's a beautiful presentation and I think ... about ...

So, that is where we are on that. I would thank all of them for their work and ... many thousands of pages of new material.

Thank you one and all. Happy Shabat. We look forward to being with you next week. Take care. Shabat Shalom.