## Shabat Study June 11, 2021, by Yada'

Please Note: I have transcribed this to the best of my ability. Sound bites of parties speaking simultaneously and those which were inaudible to me have been deleted from the transcript. If I guessed at a word, it was followed by ()? A \_\_\_\_\_ (blank line) indicates I could not understand the word or phrase and three periods ... indicate an interruption in the dialogue of one party by another. Thank you for your understanding. MK

Good evening. Happy Shabat. It's with great pleasure I'm able to tell you we were able to lure our mutual friend Kirk to Paradise, and that having spent two years living on Qara' that Kirk is right now camped out in my sky office at the top of Qara', and I have been elevated here to Shamaym which is probably 100 feet above and looking down over Qara'. So, I'm looming down over you, sitting in our home above the Marina looking down over you. We are both coming to you suffering greatly here in the U S Virgin Islands.

It is, as you've found Kirk, a little primitive here. We don't have all the accoutrements of the Continental United States. but it is really a marvelous life with wonderful people and great friendships to be had. People here are approachable, unpretentious, the island is beautiful, and the pace is charming. It is a lovely place.

KIRK: I like it very much.

YADA: We are going today to jump into what is now Volume 2 of the *Miqra'ey* which is Volume 5 of the overall *Yada' Yahowah* series, called *Appointments*.

As a bit of news, the previous volume, *Miqra'ey Invitations* of the Yada' Yahowah series is now available at Amazon. I received my first copies today; they are really beautiful. Thank you, Jackie. It's probably now my favorite book of the series. It is longer compared to some of the others because God has a lot to say about the first three Mow'ed Miqra'ey. It's filled with all new insights and translations, and is a marvelous read. So, for those who are interested it is available at Amazon.

Also for anybody who wants to get to yadayah.davidsgod.com, it is the website that is the perfect match now for the books. It's all the same consistent look and is a marvelously interactive site that has everything that we have written and rewritten available at your fingertips. There's an entire bookshelf of all the books, including the most recent ones, all there for free. They are beautifully presented and exceedingly easy to navigate, and I'm very pleased with it.

In the beginning of what is now the third chapter of *Mow'ed Appointments*, Volume 5 of the *Yada' Yah* series I finished a review of the what the fourth Mow'ed Miqra'ey represents by this time. I had in a previous volume then transitioned into the fulfillment of the Mow'ed as it's chronicled in the book of Acts with Shim'own talking to the people and telling them what had happened. I'm embarrassed to tell you that as I did that, I was convinced that Shim'own (Peter) had done a marvelous job of citing things making his case for Yahowsha', who he was and what he represents. I'm going to tell you it would have been hard to be wrong than I was. It wasn't spoken by Shim'own; the whole thing was made up by Luke on behalf of Paul and it is an abomination from beginning to end. They didn't get anything right.

Leading up to this we know that Pesach | Passover is Yahowah's means to allow us back into the Garden. It is to provide life everlasting for His sons and daughters who are members of His family, and it is with Him providing the Sacrificial Lamb. Yahowah's soul resided within Yahowsha' so that He Himself could endure all of that pain of that sacrifice.

Matsah is the process of Yahowah removing religion and politics from us. It is defined literally by Yahowah removing us and His children from mitsraym; withdrawing us from the stain, stigma, and the malignancy of religion and politics so that we can appear perfect in Yahowah's eyes.

Bikuwrym then is about our adoption into God's family and for Him opening the doors to Heaven not only allowing us in, but allowing us in as His children.

Then Shabuw'ah follows Seven Sevens thereafter. The purpose of Shabuw'ah is so that God's Covenant Children can grow by becoming enlightened, empowered, and enriched such that we become not only far more interesting to our Creator just as though those who have had children know that while children are charming, cute and loveable before they learn to speak and walk as they approach the age of two years, from that point on where they can choose where they want to be because they can crawl or walk, and they can respond to you in speaking. The enjoyment of that relationship grows exponentially. So, we are much more interesting to God as we grow from babies into young men and women in His family. As growing adults into His family we are prepared in Shabuw'ah by being enlightened, enriched and empowered so that we can take some responsibility because the first four Migra'ey Yahowah is doing everything for us. On the fifth Migra'ey we have an opportunity to do something for Him in return which is to share His message, to cast out a warning to people going in the wrong direction who are involved in religion and politics, and to tell people there is a better way, Yahowah's way where we walk away from such things. We capitalize on the Terms and Conditions of the Covenant, each of the seven Mow'ed Migra'ey, trust in His Towrah, come to know God, appreciate what He's offering, and respect what He's asking in return so that ultimately Yahowah can reconcile His relationship with Yisra'el and Yahuwdah and that we are given the opportunity to camp out with Him collectively for all Eternity.

Having added a new book to our shelf today, I'm now looking at what is every bit of two feet of books just from the spine, I can't imagine that Yahowah's not anything other than thrilled with what we have accomplished together and the benefits it will have for His people. We have so little time left and can make such a wonderful difference on the richness of the eternal experience in Heaven that this has become such a wonderful experience and a labor of love. As we continue through the Mow'ed and doing Shabuw'ah, or Volume 5, I made the transition into covering Acts 2, and this is how it begins.

It is with considerable trepidation that we venture into the pages of the Christian New Testament and yet Shim'own's accounting of the fulfillment of Shabuw'ah in 33 CE as it was scribed by Luke in the Book of Acts may be worth the risk.

Having lived alongside Yahowsha' for three years, and having been in Yaruwshalaim during Pesach, Matsah, and Bikuwrym experience, the Disciple would have been prepared by the first three *Miqra'ey* to capitalize upon the fourth – *Shabuw'ah*. Shim'own had been in the right place,

for the right reasons, at the right time, with the correct expectations as a beneficiary of such that he should have been able to share his experience with us. As a beneficiary of Passover, UnYeasted Bread, and Firstborn Children, he would have been enriched by the Covenant, enlightened by the Towrah, and empowered by the Spirit, causing him to be an especially effective witness.

In the opening line of the second chapter of the book of Acts, it appears as if the *Miqra' of Shabuw'ah*, like the three preceding it, was fulfilled in accordance with Yahowah's *Towrah* | Instructions. This known, understand that Acts like the Gospel of Luke is a work of fiction far more wrong than right. Neither book was inspired by God. And while they purport to be historical documents, \_\_\_\_\_ towards the inconclusion of the lifetimes of the eyewitnesses, to the events they chronicle, both books were heavily influenced by Sha'uwl, the Plague of Death.

It is only because the first seven chapters of Acts precede Paul and attempt to convey the testimony of Yahowsha's disciples, especially Shim'own, that any of this is presented for your consideration.

With this in mind, the second chapter of Acts begins...

"Indeed (*kai*), on (*en*) the Day (*hemera*) of Fifty (*Pentekoste* – feminine form of *pentekonta*, meaning fifty), it was fulfilled (*sumpleroo* – was fully completed).

It's amazing that Christians will transliterate the word for fifty in Greek and celebrate Pentecost, but they won't acknowledge Shabuw'ah because 'that was a Jewish thing.' They Christianized it by calling it Pentecost, that was not \_\_\_\_\_ a celebration.

It came to exist (*eimi*) that all were assembled in harmony (*pas homou* – everyone was like-minded, like-natured, and unified in an assembly) in the presence of (*epi*) it (*auto*)." (Acts 2:1)

*Auto,* which is a neuter noun, a singular pronoun because unlike Hebrew where *ruwach* | spirit is a feminine noun, in Greek *pneuma* is neuter. And while it sounds odd to our ears to refer to our Spiritual Mother as "It" rather than "She" or "Her," such is the liability of Greek compared to Hebrew and with regard to spiritual understanding.

When Yahowah speaks about Shabuw'ah He constantly reinforces that it is a meeting with Him and that it celebrates the empowerment, enrichment and enlightenment of His First-Born Children. In fact, First-Born Children – Bikuwrym and Shabuw'ah are constantly interwoven and spoken of as one flowing into the other and being inseparable. But here, nope, it was Pentecost Day and all assembled were in harmony in the presence of "It." Not with Yahowah, not with the Set-Apart Spirit, not in harmony with Bikuwrym, not in harmony with the Towrah, just with "It."

"And immediately (*aphno*) there came into existence (*ginomai*) out of (*ek*) heaven (*ouranos*) the sound of information and news (*echos* – of a spoken report), like (*hosper* – corresponding to) a compelling force (*biaias* – a powerful source of energy), moving inwardly and upholding (*pheromai*) the breath of spiritual life (*pnoe* – feminine derivative of *pneuma* Spirit), and filling (*pleroo* – supplying) the whole (*holos*) household (*oikon*) where (*ou*) they were residing." (Acts 2:2)

"And languages (glossa – the various dialects of human speech) became apparent to them (*horao* – they came to know and understand them), like a fire burning (pyros) in them.

And they were distributed (*diamerizo* – dispersed), conferred, and appointed (*kathizo*) upon (*epi*) each one (*hekastos*) of them (*autos*).

And they were all individually (*pas*) filled with (*pimplamai*) the Holy (*hagion* – gender neuter of *hagios*) Spirit ( $\Pi N\Sigma$  – placeholder for *pneuma*), and began (*archomai*) to speak (*laleo*) other (*heteros* – different) languages (*glossa* – dialects), just as (*kathos*) the Spirit 118( $\Pi NA$ ) gave (*didomi*) them the ability to convey the spoken words (*apophtheggomai* – the ability to pronounce words)." (Acts 2:3-4)

Let's say this happened. I don't doubt that it's quite possible that the Spirit would have given the Disciples and those who were the beneficiaries of Pesach, Matsah and Bikuwrym the ability to speak in the languages of the communities that were in and around Yisra'el of which there were only four languages, Hebrew, that you would need to speak in order to converse with everyone that was there. Aramaic which was the language of Mesopotamia, Babylon, and Assyria. The Greeks who had conquered this place as well as so many others, and Latin, a Roman language. If you spoke Latin and or Greek, and or any one of the Aramaic dialects (which is very similar to Hebrew) you would pretty much have it nailed.

While the ability to speak languages is important in communicating God's message, what they didn't mention here is what's far more important than that is what good is it to be tri, quad, or any other lingual if you don't know what you're saying? Why not first say they were given an understanding of how the Towrah was fulfilled but that they could convey this useful information to people in the languages in which they spoke? Just the fact that you can speak another language doesn't mean that you make any more sense in it than you do in any other language. So, whoever wrote this missed what was important and just wobbled all over the fact that they were able to speak different languages. That's not a miracle. The preponderance of people of any substance spoke both languages. Those who spoke Latin spoke with those who spoke Hebrew who conversed with those who spoke Aramaic who could speak with those who spoke Greek because those four languages were spoken throughout the known world. It doesn't mean that many of them spoke the Germanic languages; that would have been very rare. But they all spoke those four languages, and that was enough to reach most of the enlightened world. But what good was it if they didn't start with the purpose of Shabuw'ah, which is to enlighten us so that we would understand how this relates to the fulfillment of the Towrah and to the enablement of the Covenant's promises? That's why I'm so frustrated when you read something that has Yahowah speaking different languages. That has merit and certainly plays into our hands where we are conveying God's message in the language more used than any other - English. But it doesn't help if first you're given an understanding and when you can consider even the Disciples, they were quasidunderheads all the way up to the fulfillment of Passover, and they weren't all that bright afterwards.

Remember, they had this alleged conversation with Shim'own where he says, "God forbid you're going to Jerusalem. You're not going to do any of that stuff. This fulfillment of the Miqra'ey, that's nonsense." These guys didn't seem to get any of it. And if you didn't believe the text, they

are all huddled in the upper room and Yahowsha' (Yahowah now, really) walks through the wall and said, "Howdy fellas" and they don't even know who it is.

They were not inspired like Dowd. To compare any of these numbskulls to Dowd would be a great crime. But nonetheless, this is what we're allegedly told happened and part of it has some merit. The world would benefit from understanding the Mow'ed | Enduring Testimony regarding the Appointments which are Miqra'ey | Invitations to be Called Out and Meet. And the only way for people around the globe to understand what the Towrah teaches would be through accurate translations. They didn't all speak Hebrew. This is true even today.

This is largely why Yada Yahowah exists. We recognize the value of rendering the testimony Yahowah conveyed in Hebrew in the languages known and spoken by men. The most universal ...

KIRK: The way you have written from day one has given us all the clues in Hebrew, so even people who don't speak Hebrew, which I probably couldn't go to the store and buy groceries, I can look up every word that was spoken in the Towrah and I can examine every one of those things. So, yes, it's written in English, but all the information is right there.

YADA: And it's from a Hebrew mindset. I think the thing that has probably made the biggest difference in line of doing this is the ability to think in Hebrew so that when we're doing a translation and then writing the commentary, we're doing so from the perspective of the Hebrew language from what the Hebrew terms mean, and the relationships, volition, conjugations, and just the terminology and the whole mindset that is conveyed by the infinite nature of Hebrew verbs. We come at all of this from a Hebrew perspective and then discuss those Hebrew terms in the language that is most understood by the people in the world. So, we really are working hard to have a Hebrew mindset.

If it were not for the popularity of the Pentecostal movement, where "speaking in tongues" is interpreted to be "praising God in a heavenly language," I would not be required to state the obvious. The Spirit of Seven Sevens empowers those who observe Shabuw'ah to enlighten others by speaking their languages, not God's. They wouldn't need any help if they were going to be speaking God's language because all the people there were Hebrews, and they spoke Hebrew. So, they were already speaking the language of Heaven. The language spoken in Heaven is Hebrew, rendering the notion of "speaking in the tongues of angels" by muttering incomprehensible gibberish – as nonsensical as the sounds themselves. What's more, the people who advocate this appear to be out of their minds, they have no credibility. The worst thing that the religious people do is they pretend to be talking about God and yet they are making absolute idiots of themselves and are undermining their positions.

Speaking of making idiots of themselves, Mike, the fact checker of the *Yada Yah* series, who does a marvelous job, sent me an article on a small-based study (less than 500 people participated) at either the University of Oregon or Oregon State, one of these two institutions, on those who are involved in conspiracies. They found that those who are involved in conspiracies are worse than just losers. All the studies up to this point said that if you are promoting conspiracies the one universal common denominator among most people who promote conspiracies is that you've got

a crappy life, and you are trying to find other people to blame because you don't want to take responsibility for your crappy life. Their lives are unsatisfactory, they want someone to blame and come up with a conspiracy to blame others. This is far more sinister. It says that the people who are promoting conspiracies tend to be narcissists, psychopaths, and are highly troubled individuals that really have a dark side to them. What we saw with the conspiratorial types that were once affiliated with this program is that they are very, very troubled individuals.

It appears, therefore, that Yahowah fulfilled the Miqra' of Shabuw'ah by dispatching His Set-Apart Spirit to equip His children to be more effective communicators. What is not true, however, is what follows. It was described by Luke on Paul's behalf to besmirch Jews. For his new religion to prevail Yahuwdym would have to be swept off center stage and replaced by the Gentile Church.

As we go through Acts 2, we are going to find something so compelling that it is why I wanted to go into this. I found evidence elsewhere that Paul and his pal Luke and then their pal Mark and then their pal Matthew had come up with this scheme of transferring every promise made to Dowd to Yahowsha'. Recognizing that Dowd is everything; the Son of God, the Messiah, the King of Kings, the embodiment of the Second Coming, the Chosen One, the Prophet; he's everything. Yahowsha' is just the Passover 'Ayl. You can't make a religion out of the Passover Lamb. So, what you must do is say, if there's no prophecies about the Passover Lamb then the Passover Lamb isn't anything more than the Passover Lamb. The only way to make the Passover Lamb look like he's the end all and be all is to take every promise that was made to the end all and be all, Dowd, and rip them from him and say they weren't really talking about him. Just because they call him Dowd doesn't really mean he's Dowd. What we're going to find ... 2 Samuel 7 is one of my favorites, we're going to get to it, where it says, 'This is about Dowd." They say, "No, it's about Jesus." Then we'll go to Psalm 110, which is the most quoted Psalm throughout the Christian New Testament, and they say, "It's proof that Dowd is not in Heaven and that the Lord Jesus Christ is there, therefore there is a Trinity, and this is speaking of our Lord." But it begins 'This is a Psalm regarding Dowd' and then it talks about Dowd.

What part of this don't you get, folks? We are going to find that Acts 2 exists for a singular purpose which is to rob Dowd of all the promises that God made to him to give the Christian Jesus credibility that he does not deserve that is inappropriate by scribing all of Dowd's promises to him, and then once you've taken everything from God's Chosen, the Messiah, the Son of God, and given it to this religious icon Jesus Christ, then you're just fill in the rest by saying the Jews are really bad, they irked God and He decided that He didn't like them anymore and He is now going to form a relationship with the Gentile Church and do exactly what He told His people not to do. And so the story goes.

"And now (*de*) existing (*eimi*) inside (*eis*) Yaruwshalaim (*Ierousalem* – Jerusalem), resided (*katoikeo*) Yahuwdym (*Ioudaios* – Jews), overtly pious (*eulabes*) men (*andros*) from (*apo*) every (*pas*) ethnicity (*ethnos* – race) under (*hypo*) heaven (*ouranos*).

There are a couple of flaws just in that statement. Isn't Yahuwdym / Jews an ethnicity? ... Isn't Yahuwdym an ethnicity, a very specific ethnicity representing something around one tenth of one percent of the world's population? So, Yahuwdym is an ethnicity. How can Yahuwdym be from every ethnicity? Isn't every ethnicity other than Yahuwdym Gowym? So, you can say in

Jerusalem were Gowym of every ethnicity, but he says, Yahuwdym is every ethnicity. That's so ... The second part of that is that at this point in time, let's just forget about he said Yahuwdym; let's just say there were every ethnicity under heaven. Did they have Mayans, Aztecs, Incas, were there Gauls there at the time, Germanic tribes, the Norse, the Ruskies, Chinese, Indians, South Americans? Come on, please! ... How can you be a Christian theologian, see that, and not recognize there are two fundamental flaws in that statement alone? And how can this be the inerrant Word of God when there are two massive errors in the first statement?

"And now (*de*) existing (*eimi*) inside (*eis*) Yaruwshalaim (*Ierousalem* – Jerusalem), resided (*katoikeo*) Yahuwdym (*Ioudaios* – Jews), overtly pious (*eulabes*) men (*andros*) from (*apo*) every (*pas*) ethnicity (*ethnos* – race) under (*hypo*) heaven (*ouranos*).

If you think I'm making a mistake by saying "ethnicity," the Greek word for ethnicity is *ethnos* Where do you think we get the English word …? You think the English word ethnicity might be from *ethnos*?

And so now when (*de*) this (*houtos*) voice (*phone*) came to exist (*ginomai*) in large assemblies of people (*plethos*), they were confused (*sygcheo*), because (*hoti*) each and every one (*hekastos heis*) heard (*akouo*) what was spoken (*laleo*) in his (*autos*) own (*idios*) language (*dialektos*)." (Acts 2:5-6)

As is the case with so much of the Christian *New Testament*, the writer's attempts to paint the Jews as conniving, undeserving but cunning, *Yahuwd /* Jew is a unique ethnicity, just as we shared, and it is the anthesis of being every race under heaven. Every race under heaven would be Gowym. It would have been impossible for them to have been a gathering representing every race on Earth in this place at this time.

Further, (just pointing out the obvious) people are confused by languages they do not speak but not by their native tongue. I know I'm just pointing out what we should all be able to determine, and yet without someone having done so billions upon billions have been misled by this malarkey for nearly a score of centuries.

Now, lucky for me, and probably for you Kirk, we don't live under the influence of the Roman Catholic Church. Given the opportunity, they would have tortured us to death as they did Towrah observant others to keep them from telling the truth. And yet sadly today they are busy tormenting little children instead.

It is bewildering and belittling that so many believe that this irrational rant was inspired by God. Even worse than inferring that your god is a nincompoop, through this verbal diarrhea, Christians somehow think that God withdrew the countless promises He had made to Yisra'el and transferred them to Gowym. I have a problem with that. Beyond the fact that ... He would not be trustworthy: He would be as untrustworthy as the people who created Him. Do you know that the overwhelming preponderance of promises that God made to Jews are in our immediate future and thus yet unfulfilled? For God to have made those promises and then to have changed His mind because He didn't like how the Jews behaved suggests something really bizarre, and that means that God's prophecies are not even accurate of themselves because that would mean that He

Himself did not foresee that He Himself would renege on those promises because if He knew that would renege on them, He wouldn't have made them, or if He knew He ... He would have prophesied that I'm going to change them. So, you have a serious problem here with this change thing, since the overwhelming percentage of them are promises that are fulfilled in the last days. In fact one of the most famous is that God is saying that He is going to renew His Covenant relationship with Yisra'el and Yahuwdah and is going to write His towrah inside of us, and that is going to be upon His return. If He's going to instill the towrah inside of us it will not be replaced with the Christian New Testament. If He is renewing His relationship with Yisra'el and Yahuwdah it sure as hell isn't with the Roman Catholic or Christian Church.

Not only would such claims have made God a liar and an anti-Semite, like themselves, He would have become completely untrustworthy – giving ever more credence to the realization that the religious gods are made in man's image. And, in reality (just to a little common sense into this whole thing) the Romans who controlled Judea, and who evolved into the Roman Catholic Church, and as bad as Jews have been the Romans have always been far worse.

Continuing to slander the Chosen People on behalf of the worst of people, we read...

"And (*kai*) besides (*de*), they were out of their minds (*existemi*), and they were surprised (*thaumazo*), saying (*lego*), 'Look (*idou*), are not (*ou*) the entirety (*hapas* – the whole and all) of them who are (*eimi*) speaking (*laleo*) Galileans (*Galilaios*)?" (Acts 2:7)

Pointing out the obvious again, the Disciples were entirely Jewish – as were each of the celebrants of this day, thereby rendering this entire diatribe absurd. Galilee was used as a pejorative because it was a swampy, mosquito-infested lowland, beneath Jerusalem in status and elevation. It was inserted to make the Jews in Jerusalem appear like snobs. That's kind of like me saying, "I'm looking down on you today, Kirk, as you're bobbing up and down in the Harbor." Of course, I said that as a poor attempt at being funny. They were being serious. It demonstrates the inherent fraud that is associated with the Christian New Testament.

At the very earliest, Acts was written forty to fifty years after the fulfillment of Shabuw'ah – well beyond the time a specific conversation could have been accurately recalled. Luke, its author, was not an eyewitness to any of these events – making his account hearsay. Moreover, "pious Jews" would have spoken Hebrew, not Greek. Further, the Roman legions which occupied Yaruwshalaim were comprised of conscripts and slaves from throughout the Empire. Under such circumstances, the sound of a foreign language would not have driven anyone crazy.

Much of the Christian *New Testament* was written to include awkward and unattested conversations. Not only were they contrived they were projected upon the entire population – all to condemn the Jewish people.

"Somehow (*pos*), each and every one (*hekastos*) hears them speak (*akouo*) in the distinct (*idios* – individual and unique) language and dialect (*dialektos*) of their birth (*gennao*): Parthians, Medes, Elamites, and those who live in Mesopotamia, Yahuwdah (*Ioudaia*), Cappadocia, Pontus, and Asia, Phrygia, Pamphylia, Egypt (*Aigyptos*), parts of Libya toward Cyrene, and visitors from Rome (*Rhomaios*), not only Yahuwdym (*Ioudaios* – Jews) and newcomers (*proselutos* – visitors from

other nations), Cretans and Arabs (*Araps*), listening to (*akouo* – receiving the news and hearing) them speak 123(laleo - talk) in their own tongue (*glossa* – language and dialect) the magnificent things and powerful works (*megaleios* – the great deeds and miracles) of the God ( $\Theta$ Y – placeholder for *theou*)." (Acts 2:8-11)

It would have been absolutely impossible, even for a multilingual Disciple and eyewitness to know if this had occurred. The overall point is possibly true at least the void of pretense of authenticity inherent in the details. Some of the most glaring issues include however that the Romans were not "visitors" and Yahuwdym were listed twice (a little preoccupation on the Jews there). Most everyone from Mesopotamia would have spoken Aramaic, a language which was very similar to Hebrew, making "Parthians, Medes, and Elamites" verbose while the absence of the Persians was a significant slight. Arabs speak a corrupted form of Hebrew. Similarly, insignificant places like "Cappadocia, Pontus, Phrygia, Pamphylia, and Cyrene" were listed, while the people responsible for the lingua franca of this region – the Greeks – were not. Think it through, folks.

Had this been written: "the Disciples, in addition to their native Hebrew, now spoke Greek, Latin, and Aramaic" it would have made far more sense, been more effective and far more credible. One would have been hard-pressed to find anyone in Yaruwshalaim who was not conversant in one or more of these languages.

You might say I'm being picky, but three and one half billion people had their souls robbed from them because they believed that this rubbish was inspired by God, and holding them accountable and saying, "This is a pile of manure and isn't true?" And you want to say that I'm being picky and harsh? I'm going to tell you I'm upset, God is upset, and you should be upset. That's one of our problems; we're just afraid to hold people accountable. If somebody scrapes my bicycle, I'm just not going to have a conniption fit. But if somebody harms, truncates, billions of souls based on lies then I've got a right to be upset.

In this passage, the Greek word, *proselutos*, is transliterated in most English Bibles as "proselytes." Then, those who aided and abetted this misleading transliteration, erroneously defined that word as "converts to Judaism," and thereby misconstrued Yahowah's intent. This day marked neither the birth of the Church nor the transition from Judaism to Christianity as Catholics and Protestants profess, nor has there ever been "Judaizers", as Christians would have you believe.

These beneficiaries of Passover and UnYeasted Bread had been born anew from above, becoming spiritual children, adopted into Yah's family, fully seven Shabat days ago. Now they were growing grow up, being enriched, enlightened, and empowered by the Towrah's promises. They were being prepared for Taruw'ah. There were no religious converts to be found, only empowered and enriched relationships.

Sporadically, from this moment to this present day, the Word of God has been conveyed to the peoples of the world by Spirit-filled men and women who are cognizant of the Towrah. Yahowah's family is inclusive of people from different races and places. Everyone is welcome. And all arrive the same way – by embracing the Covenant and observing its Invitations to Meet with God.

The *Miqra*' of *Chamisym* / Invitation to be Called Out and Meet of Fifty is qodesh | set apart, a special day for us to reveal the magnificent work performed by Yahowah in concert with the Set-Apart Spirit. It is an annual party where those who are related to Yah invite their friends to celebrate the good news – a path home has been laid out at great cost, its toll paid by God, so that we might journey free of encumbrances. This day of growth and enrichment will endure for all time, for all people, and in all places, serving as a rehearsal of what was to come and now has been done.

The Feast of Seven Sevens follows Firstborn Children by seven weeks. The pattern is seven Shabats plus one day after the special Shabat observance of UnYeasted Bread – the most essential day on the road to our redemption. As we have discovered, this opportunity to be Called Out and Meet on Shabuw'ah is a time to be enriched by Yahowah's Word so that we can communicate His testimony more effectively during Taruw'ah. It is open to all people, free and slave, *Yahuwdym* and *Gowym*, men and women, young and old, no matter how common or unclean. This is very different than this presentation. This presentation was designed to divide people. And particularly rather than bring Gowym who wanted to be part of Yisra'el into a relationship with Yahuwdym and having everybody feel welcomed at this Miqra', This was designed to make the Jews feel very unwelcomed under this new religion. Unlike the Catholic Eucharist, which is limited to their converts, this Festival comes with an open invitation.

Upon examining the nature and spirit of this day, one comes to understand that the best way to broadcast its message and celebrate its inheritance is to host a grand party replete with a medley of foods, including a variety of libations, and most especially breads and desserts baked with yeast. Invite everyone who is interested in knowing God, whether they are engaged in a relationship with Him, mired in a religious swamp, or deluded by a secular mirage.

It's really interesting that after Pesach, Matsah and Bikuwrym where everyone who has capitalized on them has disassociated from religion and politics and becomes part of Yahowah's family that by Shabuw'ah having yeasted bread as an integral part, God is saying, "Now that you have foregone man's religion and politics and have become part of My family, I want you to go back in there not to become one of them, but to communicate with them, to show how different you are." So, we are now prepared because we made that decision, that choice to disassociate ourselves from religion and politics, and God adopted, enriched and empowered us so that we can now shine our light in the darkest place. God knows we are not going to be corrupted by it, but He knows that for there to be more children in the Covenant, that is where we must go and that's why there is yeast in the middle of Shabuw'ah. That is where when we radiate Yah's light from being enriched by Shabuw'ah it shines within us.

Each *Miqra*' has been designed to memorialize an important event in the life of Yisra'el and to be prophetic of even more important events in the unfolding of Yahowah's redemptive plan. They speak poignantly of Yahowah's role in the reconciliation of His people, with detail after detail pointing directly and unambiguously toward the Towrah as the map and light along the way.

Thus far, each of the first four Mow'ed has been introduced and fulfilled in the proper order, and on the very day of its mandated celebration. That fact alone makes the odds against their coincidental fulfillment over sixteen billion to one. The lesson is: pay attention to dates and numbers – they are important to our Creator. And this pattern is important because there are three more annual Feasts yet to be realized. They all take place in the autumn, in Tishri, the seventh and final month of Yahowah's redemptive calendar. Each predicts a strategically essential event in the reconciliation of Yisra'el and Yahuwdah with Yahowah. And more to the point, they complete the picture God is painting.

The spring Feasts have come to pass; the fall Feasts are yet to come. Yahowah has given us carefully drawn prophetic word pictures to teach us and the rest of the world the most important lessons we can possibly learn.

While there is still much we can learn from this "historical portrayal of what "happened" during the fulfillment of Seven Shabats in the year of its fulfillment" in quotes – even if the primary lesson is to distrust the Christian *New Testament*.

"But (*de*) also (*kai*), all (*pas*) were astonished (*existemi* – astounded beyond comprehension), even (*kai*) perplexed and puzzled (*diaporeo* – embarrassed and in doubt, at a loss without sufficient information to render a rational conclusion), with different people (*allos*) saying (*lego*) to (*pros*) others (*allos*), "What (*tis*) is (*eimi*) the purpose of (*thelo*) this (*houtos*)?"" (Acts 2:12)

Let's be honest, there was nothing everyone in Jerusalem agreed upon – not among the Romans and Greeks, and certainly not among Jews. This monolithic presentation of Jews that they are all conniving, arrogant, anti-God and anti-Jesus is utter and complete nonsense. Do you want ten different opinions? Ask three Jews; they never agree on anything. Painting them this way just shows that the people who wrote this (A) didn't know them and (B) were lying.

The very history of Jews proves that Yahuwdym were divided among themselves. And it was their internal fractures which festered, bringing on the wrath of Rome upon Judea with Pompey's invasion in 63 BCE, Vespasian's assault in 67 CE following the revolt in 66, and again following the Bar Kokhba uprising under Akiba by Hadrian in 133 CE.

Any time we read something that says, "with people saying" we know that the writer's sentiments are being projected upon his perceived foes. An actual quote would have to be attributed to a specific individual who said it and to another who heard it, in addition to providing the names or conditions under which it was preserved accurately over the decades.

Moreover, the Jews knew the purpose of Shabuw'ah. It was Greeks, like Luke, who were clueless.

When it comes to knowing the mind of God there are several paths a person can take. Some simply ignore the quest, preoccupied with their mortal existence or overconfident that man is the highest form of life. Others, like the man who wrote this passage, do not know enough to draw an informed conclusion – or are driven by their own agenda. And while both roads lead to death, and to the destruction of one's soul upon the completion of their mortal existence, there is a far worse fate awaiting those who promote the false notion that "Pentecost celebrates the birth of the Church." There is no mention of a "Church" in the *Towrah* or in the *Naby* '. Only the *Beryth* and its *Miqra*'ey are presented. We have been called out of man's world and into God's realm, away from religion and into a familial relationship.

Even today, the secular and the sectarian ridicule the Mow'ed Miqra'ey, belittling them, concealing them, corrupting them, or counterfeiting them. It is why the narrow path to God remains unpopular. They would have you believe that animal sacrifice is a thing of the past, was primitive and cruel. Let's be really clear. We humans are carnivores. As part of the carnivore group of animals we need protein. We function on protein; our muscles and brains thrive on protein. We need protein, so we like other animals eat the flesh of animals because that provides protein. Man has been doing it for a very long time. The animal that dies and is eaten by another nourishes a life; it makes it possible for the lamb to suckle her babies. It makes it possible for children to be born and to live. The person who is preparing the animal to eat is not sacrificing the animal; they are devouring the animal and benefiting from it.

And that is the way Passover is. It's not like somebody takes the lamb and says, "Here, I'm going to give it to God. I'll throw it up in the air and He can take whatever He wants." No. The lamb is mercifully prepared to be eaten. It is cooked and then served to the family and only then are the inedible parts not consumed, they aren't even given to God. They are incinerated. There is no sacrifice here; it's a meal. When Yahowah Himself said, "I'm going to be the Lamb and am going to provide the Lamb." He made a sacrifice, but not at the end of Taruw'ah.

"Others (*heteros*), now (*de*) poked fun, scoffing, sneering, and mocking (*diachleuazo* – flapping their lips and running their mouths), saying (*legos*), 'It is because they are (*hoti eimi*) exceptionally full (*mestoo*) with sweet wine (*gleukos* – new wine which is still fermenting)."" (Acts 2:13)

If Luke had written about the correlation between the Towrah's presentation of Shabuw'ah and its fulfillment rather than spending his time trying to besmirch Jews as slanderous and demeaning, there would be a point to this other than the obvious which is not to trust him.

And speaking of someone who did not trust him, Shim'own, misnamed "Petros" in this account, stood up because we are called to confront lies, exposing and condemning them. We are never asked to be tolerant of deception. And that is why...

"But now (*de*) Petros (*Petros* – Peter, Greek for "rock") stood up (*histemi* – made a stand so as to enable others to stand) with (*syn*) the eleven; he raised (*epairomai*) his voice (*phone*) and he spoke to them (*apophthengomai* – he addressed them), 'Yahuwdym (*Ioudaios* – a transliteration of *Yahuwdym* – Beloved of Yahowah) men (*andros*) and all (*pas*) who dwell in (*katoikeo*) Yaruwshalaim (*Ierousalem* – a transliteration of *Yaruwshalaim* – the Source of Guidance on Reconciliation), listen carefully to (*enotizomai*) my voice (*rhema*), and let this (*houtos*) be (*eimi*) known (*gnostos*) to you..." (Acts 2:14)

By the way, I don't recall ever doing that. I've been associated with Yahowah now for 21 years. I don't ever recall standing on a street corner and saying: "Hey all of you, listen to me!" Ever. They make an idiot of themselves and make an idiot of God. If somebody is interested be prepared to tell them the truth, but don't go out to people in general and say, "Listen to me." You are wasting your breath, your time, you're going to make people angry, and gain nothing in the process. This idea of street corner preaching is utter and complete nonsense, and that's what they had Shim'own doing here."

KIRK: Yahuwdym never did that. Even today they don't proselytize because they don't trust them, and rightfully so, so that's not even characteristic of Yahuwdym.

YADA: Correct. What obviously Paul, who is writing through Luke, is projecting on Shim'own is what they are like; this is what they would do. Paul disowned his Hebrew name, Sha'uwl, not only because it was synonymous with *She'owl* | Hell, but because of the many prophecies where he was called out by name and condemned. As such, it served Paul's interests to change Shim'own's Hebrew name, which means "Listen to Him," to the Greek "Petros," for "Stone." Additionally, Paul both envied and despised Yahowsha's Disciples, which is why his pal, Luke, referred to them as "the eleven," rather than by their title, Disciple.

The notion that Shim'own bothered to confront the alleged rumor of "intoxication" is not likely, especially to say it in this way because it still inferred that they were inebriated. But at least we finally have something to investigate with the upcoming reference to  $Yow'el \mid$  Joel.

"...because (gar) they are not (ou) drunk (methuo – intoxicated) in the manner (hos) you suppose (hypolambano – are willing to accept), for indeed (gar) it is (eimi) the third (tritos) hour (hora) of the day (hemera).

When I trip over my own tongue, which I do regularly, he may be drunk but not in the way you suppose because it's so early. Give him time and then he'll be drunk in the way you suppose. That would be a lovely defense. Thank you "Stone."

To the contrary (*alla*) this (*houtos*) exists as (*eimi*) the pronouncement (*eipon*) of (*dia*) the prophet (*prophetes*) Yow'el (*Ioel* – a transliteration of *Yow'el*, a contraction of *Yahowah* and *'el*, meaning Yahowah is God, but improperly transliterated Joel):" (Acts 2:15-16)

To the contrary. What they are witnessing are people speaking in different languages here. It is a fulfillment of Prophet Yow'el. Why am I laughing? The Prophet Yow'el had one time and one line that he spoke of, the Last Days; Yahowah's return. To say this is the fulfillment of that misses the time by a scant 2,000 years. Yow'el is talking about what happens between Yowm Kipurym and Sukah. So, he's got the wrong Mow'ed Miqra' and he's off just by a smidgeon, a couple thousand years. Good grief!

While we are going to translate most of Yow'el, and we will go into it at great length, we're going to go into it at the time it applies. It applies to Kipurym leading to Sukah. It has nothing to do with Shabuw'ah. Shabuw'ah is the pronouncement of the Prophet Moseh. If you want to talk about Shabuw'ah prophetically you need to listen to Moseh. There is nothing in *Yow'el* / Joel to explain Seven Shabats. It speaks of Yahowah's return in 6000 Yah to fulfill Yowm Kipurym. Therefore, if Shim'own cited it as a retort to these supposed Jewish cynics, he was mistaken. It was the wrong Mow'ed and the wrong time. And if Luke arbitrarily attributed this mistake to him, he demonstrated that he and his Gospel ought not be trusted. Either way, Acts 2:16 is erroneous.

According to Luke, Shim'own is said to have quoted *Yow'el* / Joel 2:28 through 32. At least that is what is recorded in Acts 2:17 through 2:21. However, since Shim'own did not deliver his "rebuttal" in Greek, even if it occurred, but instead in Hebrew, to accurately render what the

Prophet wrote and the Disciple may have said, we will need to examine it in the original language – something we will do during our review of the events pertaining to the Day of Reconciliations. So for now, here is that reading from the *King James Version*...

"And it shall come to pass in the last days,

Did they think they were living in the last days? And if they thought they were living in the last days (and they really weren't living in the last days), they were wrong. So, the very passage they are quoting says "And it shall come to pass in the last days" and yet they are saying that pertained to their day. Now, it's okay to be mistaken but it's not okay to be mistaken and pretend to be speaking the inspired Word of God.

saith God, I will pour out of my Spirit upon all flesh: and your sons and your daughters shall prophesy, and your young men shall see visions, and your old men shall dream dreams: (17) And on my servants and on my handmaidens, I will pour out in those days of my Spirit; and they shall prophesy: (18)

Weren't we just told what happened here that the sum of it is that they spoke in all these different foreign languages? Wasn't that the explanation? And they say yet that this explains it. Does this even mention speaking in foreign languages? Is there any mention here of Greek, Latin, or any other language? No. It speaks of being able to create prophecy which means to speak accurately about the past, present and future from God's perspective. Does it say anything other than prophesy, visions, dreams? "I will pour out my Spirit and they shall prophesy." So, by their own definition of what occurred, this does not apply. Wrong time, wrong Miqra', and something entirely different ... Yep.

And I will shew wonders in heaven above,

Were there any wonders "shewed" in the heavens about in 33 CE? Does that help explain why the people were perplexed because they were speaking foreign languages?

and signs in the earth beneath; blood, and fire, and vapor of smoke: (19) The sun shall be turned into darkness, and the moon into blood, before the great and notable day of the Lord come: (20) And it shall come to pass, that whosoever shall call on the name of the Lord shall be saved." (Acts 2:21)

There was no blood, fire, vapor of smoke, the sun was not turned into darkness, and the moon didn't turn into blood. How in the world could somebody like Luke write this and then billions of people believed that was inspired by God when none of it applied? Let's not dispute that that's the Hebrew (we are going to translate the Hebrew) but when it comes to the fulfillment of Kipurym, and Dowd's return it's going to be like that; this is going to happen. The sky is going to be darkened, the moon is going to be blood red and people are going to die in masses. Fire; vapor of smoke, it's going to be an extraordinary time. It's not so much that this is an errant translation of Yow'el as it is that Yow'el is about an entirely different event. None of these things happened then. And yet they put it here and you don't have a single Christian saying, "Whoops! These

weren't the last days. The moon didn't turn into blood, the sun was not darkened, these signs in the heavens did not occur." And by the way, no one called him the name of Yahowah.

This is yet another nail in the coffin of souls known as the Christian *New Testament*. Even when its authors turned to the Towrah and Prophets for credibility's sake, they embarrassed themselves. This did not occur in 33 CE but will in 2033. To understand Shabuw'ah, we must turn to Moseh, not Yow'el. Yow'el's prophecy is spectacular, confirming many of the things we have surmised while offering new insights regarding what we should expect as the world is transformed before our eyes during the transition between Kipurym and Sukah.

One of the things I think is so marvelous is that I became convinced that the story of 'Eden wasn't just about what happened 6,000 years ago but was far more about what is going to happen in just a matter of twelve years from now, and that the whole story of the Miqra'ey brings us back to where we began; that Sukah is camping out with God in 'Eden. So, I figured that when Yahowah returns with Dowd, they are going to transform this earth by cleansing it of all religious and political corruption, and then turn it back into the conditions experienced in 'Eden. And guess what Yow'el says. "On one side there is this enormous fire; on the other side 'Eden awaits." I can't wait to translate it all and comment on everything that Yow'el wrote. So, while the prophesy is really spectacular, it just doesn't apply.

Luke's late 1<sup>st</sup> century assessment of Shim'own's protestations against his fellow Yahuwdym during the fulfillment of Seven Sevens continued with him giving religious zealots who were mocking these events an earful.

"Yisra'elite (*Israelites* – a transliteration of *Yisra'elite*) men (*andros*), listen to (*akouo*) these words (*logos*): *Iesou* (INY – a placeholder for Iesou which became "Jesus," whose actual designation was Yahowsha') the Nazarene (*Nazoraios*), is a man (*andros*) who publicly demonstrated (*apodeiknymi*) to (*eis*) you (*sy*) that He is from (*apo*) *Theos* ( $\Theta\Sigma$  – placeholder for *theos* – god) using supernatural powers and abilities (*dynamis*) to perform extraordinary miracles with prophetic significance (*teras*).

These are signs (*semeion*) which (*hos*) Theos ( $\Theta\Sigma$  placeholder for theos – god) performed (*poieomai*) through (*dia*) him (*autos*) in your midst (*mesos*) as (*kathos*) you know (*oida*)." (Acts 2:22)

This is just pathetic. At this point, (keeping it real) the ten tribes which comprised Yisra'el were long gone – hauled off to Assyria as slaves. Therefore, it is very unlikely that Shim'own would have used Yisra'el as this designation.

Having spent three years with Yahowsha', Shim'own would have known his name, rendering "Iesou" absurd. The Greek rendering does not share a single letter in common with Yahowsha'. Even the Shin in Yahowsha' is a sh, not s, which would have been from a Sin or Samech. Not one letter in common.

Worse, Nazareth. A town would not be established by that name until the 2<sup>nd</sup> or 3<sup>rd</sup> century. The designation, "Jesus of Nazareth," conveys the wrong name and wrong place at the wrong time.

*Iesou* of the Nazarene had to be written by Eusebius; it could not have been written even by Luke because Luke would not have ... 325ish when Eusebius would have started to scribe Vaticanus and Sinaiticus is when he added Iesou of Nazareth because Luke would not have even known the name because the name didn't exist. It was Constantine's mommy that came up with it and Eusebius was the chief bootlicker for Constantine. So, he would have believed anything Constantine's mommy said.

If Yahowsha' demonstrated that he was from God, and proved it in their presence as this states, there would have been no reason for this speech. If those among the hecklers had witnessed supernatural powers and extraordinary miracles, they would not be criticizing the Disciples, but instead, would be apologizing to them.

And yet, none of this would have made Yahowsha' "*Theos* | God," as the passage concludes. Moreover, it was not the purpose of the Passover Lamb to show off and not in God's nature to dazzle us with signs and wonders. His preference is to impress us with His words – of which we have almost nothing credibly retained from Yahowsha'. The miracles are in the words, and the Towrah leads to the ultimate miracle of being born into Yahowah's family and becoming immortal, perfected, enriched and empowered. Those are the miracles, and the miracles grow out of the words.

With Calvinism on the rise in the West, this next statement is a problem too. He was appointed to the prearranged and prophetic plan and purpose of Theos. He was devoted to carrying out Pesach. Pesach was the prearranged and prophetic plan and purpose of Yahowah. But there's no mention of the Pesach Lamb or of Yahowah, and that's a problem.

Another problem is, guess who wasn't even here for Shabuw'ah. Yahowsha'. Yahowsha' had nothing to do with Shabuw'ah. Yahowsha' has to do with Passover and if this was a celebration of Passover, you could be all over Yahowsha'. Yahowsha' did this and he did that, and he was a wonderful Passover Lamb in what he did for us. He fulfilled the prearranged and prophetic role, but he's nowhere to be found on Shabuw'ah; he's gone. He has nothing to do with Shabuw'ah. Yahowsha' is the Passover Lamb; he is not the fulfillment of Shabuw'ah, he's not the Set-Apart Spirit. It is the Set-Apart Spirit that is fulfilling Shabuw'ah. If you want to talk about God's emphasis behind Shabuw'ah, stop talking about the Passover Lamb. One and done; it's over. This has nothing to do with Yahowsha'. Stop it, you idiots! You can't understand any part of the program.

What follows is as essential to Paul's claim that Christians replaced Jews because Jews betrayed God as it is errant in every regard. The purpose of the Pesach Lamb was to be the Passover Lamb. And by the way, we are now talking about Shabuw'ah. Had Yahowsha' not served as the Passover Lamb there would be no hope of eternal life nor any reason to trust Yahowah. If we don't have our day prolonged, what's the purpose of Matsah and being perfected; what's the purpose of being adopted into Yahowah's family if we're going to curl over and die? What's the purpose of being God's children if we're going to stay dependent infants forever? You move from one step to the next. We're not on step one anymore; we're on step four talking about Shabuw'ah and he goes right back into Passover.

"...he was betrayed and given over (*ekdotos*) to (*dia*) the hand (*cheir*) of the Towrahless (*anomos*) who chose to take control and lift Him up (*anaireo*), affixing Him (*prospegnumi*)." (Acts 2:23)

If a modicum of Yahuwdym actually handed Yahowsha' over to the Romans then, rather than blaming them and shaming them, we ought to be thanking them. Fulfilling Pesach was essential for us to live, and for that to occur, the lamb must die.

Everyone was "Towrahless" at this time. The Jews turned to their *Talmud*, the Greeks to the *Odyssey*, the Romans to the *Aeneid*, and the Christians to Paul's Epistles. There wasn't anybody who was observant of the Towrah.

While the word for affixing him was *prospegnumi*, it's almost always translated or rendered "crucify," but this Greek word simply means to "affix and to fasten." I bring this to your attention because the Roman cross and its piercing nails were irrelevant. Christianity has made a religion out of an inanimate object. It is like crediting the knife for the *Yatsa'* | Exodus. The fulfillment of Passover is about the who, where, why, and when, not the how or even the what.

It is an interesting aside worth noting that the preponderance of Catholic sculptures and paintings depict Yahowsha' in one of two ways, as a helpless infant attached to his mother, or helplessly affixed to a cross. It is as if the Catholic hierarchy has chosen to control him in these ways. They are quietly saying: "If he cannot take care of himself, why would you trust him to take care of you?" And that leads to: "Trust us instead with your soul."

"Theos ( $\Theta\Omega$ ) restored Him to life and raised Him (*anistamai*), releasing and dismissing Him (*lou*) from the suffering and pain (*odin*) of death (*thanatos*) because (*kathoti*) it was not (*ou*) possible (*dynatos*) for Him to exist (*eimi*) held and influenced (*krateo*) by (*hypo*) these things." (Acts 2:24)

On the contrary, the Passover Lamb is never restored to life. The Pesach '*ayil* does not make this sacrifice to save itself. Further, Yahowsha's body suffered the full impact of what the Romans mercilessly inflicted. He was not released or dismissed from the pain. And as we know, the excruciating anguish was perpetrated by the Romans who became Roman Catholics, not Jews.

There are few things as insightful when understood than what actually transpired on Passover, UnYeasted Bread, and Firstborn Children as it relates to Yahowsha's body, Yahowah's soul, and the Set-Apart Spirit. Those who have been with us from the beginning know that Yahowah honored His promise to provide the lamb by placing His *nepesh* | soul in Yahowsha'. Upon the completing Passover, the Set-Apart Spirit took Yahowah's soul to She'owl upon the death of Yahowsha's body. What remained of the Passover Lamb was incinerated later that night in accordance with Yahowah's instructions. Then during UnYeasted Bread, a Shabat in 33 CE, Yahowah's soul endured She'owl to redeem us, keeping us from separated from Himself. Having paid our penalty, the Set-Apart Spirit freed Yahowah's soul from the place of separation, fulfilling Firstborn Children.

The Apostle's alleged *Shabuw'ah* soliloquy was "advanced" by way of a second *Tanakh* citation, this time from the 16<sup>th</sup>*Mizmowr* / Psalm. But to better understand why he may have selected it,

let's begin our examination by studying the entire Song from which the excerpt was taken. Shim'own would not have cited it in a vacuum so we should not approach it out of context either.

It begins...

"A **poem** (*miktam* – a written piece of literature, inscribed by staining the parchment upon which it is indelibly conveyed) **concerning Dowd** (*la Dowd* – about the Beloved).

Watch closely over me and be concerned about me (*shamar 'any* – keep a caring focus upon, tend to, and be observant regarding me), God (*'el*), because (*ky*) in You (*ba 'atah* – with You) I confide and trust, so I am kept safe and comforted (*chasah* – I rely for protection and safekeeping)." (*Mizmowr* / Psalm 16:1)

It's a lovely presentation. The contrast is between trust and faith. Trust is the result of knowing while faith is its substitute. Dowd, as the exemplar of knowing, is God's Chosen One. Paul, as the advocate of faith, became the Plague of Death. My hope is that Shim'own may have cited Dowd's Psalm to make this very point.

Dowd knew where and with whom he stood...

**"I** say (*'amar* – expressing in words) to approach (*la* – concerning and about) Yahowah (*Yahowah* – God's name pronounced as taught by His *towrah* – instructions regarding His *hayah* – existence and our *shalowm* – reconciliation from *'elowah* – our God), **'You are my foundation** and support (*'edown 'any 'atah* – You are my Upright One who is the Pillar of my Tabernacle).

**I have nothing to offer which is worthwhile or useful** (*tobah 'any bal* – I have nothing good, satisfying, or beneficial) **apart from You** (*'al 'atah*).'" (*Mizmowr* / Psalm 16:2)

This is the most qualified individual who ever lived, the most brilliant man in history, the most articulate ever, probably the most handsome individual who ever lived, the greatest poet and songwriter, and I think overwhelmingly the most interesting Prophet, the King of Yah's People. He's God's Chosen Shepherd and the Son of God, the Messiah, and this dude says, "I have nothing which has any value that didn't come from Yahowah."

KIRK: Humble Pie.

YADA: No, no, it's not humble at all! It's humble pie for us.

KIRK: That's what I mean.

YADA: If you were to combine you and me together and multiplied that by a few billion ... we might be there to tie Dowd's laces on his sandals. If we had Jackie and ... a few others ... But here's the most remarkable person, and he's the most remarkable person in the world because he realizes that apart from God, he is nothing, That's true for all of us. Apart from God we are nothing; the minerals that comprise our bodies, our souls are fleeting, they die and evaporate to nothing. There's nothing left but the memory of us, should we not associate ourselves with

Yahowah. Everything we have is from Yahowah. If that is true for Dowd, and it was, it's much, much more so for us, and it's a wonderful lesson for us to learn. It was a nice line for Shim'own to quote, but he didn't do it.

Dowd found pleasure in knowing that we would capitalize upon what he had written to lead others to this same place. He said:

"Regarding (*la* – pertaining to) the Set Apart (*qadowsh* – the separated and uncommon) in the Land (*ba ha 'erets* – within the material realm) who show the way to the relationship (*'asher* – who lead to the benefits of the proper path), they are also (*hem wa*) awesome (*'adyr* – marvelous, honorable, and worthy), and in them (*ba hem* – with them) is all my satisfaction and enjoyment (*kol chephets* – is my greatest joy, engendering my complete support and appreciation)." (*Mizmowr* / Psalm 16:3)

He's not contradicting himself here. He says that everything I have that is worthwhile comes from Yahowah, but the thing that makes me the happiest that provides my satisfaction is knowing that they are set apart. Those who have set themselves apart to Yahowah and who have become part of His Covenant, those who are engaged in showing the way to the relationship, they are really awesome. They are marvelous, worthy, and in them is all of my satisfaction and joy. That's my greatest joy.

That's pretty cool. Here is the guy that we admire the most, and that Yahowah loves the most, saying that his greatest joy in life is knowing that his words have caused many of us to be set apart unto God and to be committed to showing the way to the relationship and the benefits of that relationship, and that is what makes Dowd happy. And guess what. If it makes Dowd happy, guess who Else it makes happy. It makes Yahowah, Dowd's Dad, happy.

In contrast to those who would join him in their approach to Yahowah, Dowd realized that most people would be led astray – chasing after gods made by men.

**"The sorrows and suffering** (*'atsebeth* – the anxiety and anguish, the unfavorable circumstances and mental illness) **of those** (*hem*) **who are impetuous and who chase after** (*mahar* – who are rash and without wisdom, who are fearful, and unwilling to invest the time to study, and who quickly buy into) **another** (*'achar* – someone or something different, either following along or doing so to develop a following) **will be great as they will be numerous and influential** (*rabah* – will multiply, becoming the preponderance of people, and who through their popularity will gain tremendous status).

**Their drink offerings of blood** (*nesek hem min dam* – their sacred and pagan liquid libations to a deity associated with killing and death [a.k.a. the Roman Catholic claim that "Jesus" died and that they are drinking his blood during their Eucharist]), **I will never pour or offer** (*bal nasak* – I will not endorse, especially with all of their associations with pagan gods, religious rites, and death).

And I will not advance or promote (*ba nasa'* – I will never lift up or bear, honor or respect, desire or tolerate) their names (*'eth shem hem*) on my lips (*'al sapah* – as part of my speech)." (*Mizmowr* / Psalm 16:4)

So Dowd says, "My greatest joy in life is knowing that there will be those who are set apart, special to God, who will be committed to sharing the way to achieve the benefits of the relationship, and yet by contrast there will still be the impetuous who chase after another, something different, who will live through an anxious and anguishing life." Those people will be the most influential and the most numerous Dowd recognized. But he says their drink offerings of blood,

Who has a drink offering of blood? The Roman Catholic Church's Eucharist. "This is the blood of Jesus." And Dowd says I will never participate in that. And the names of their gods and their institutions; the "Holy Father", the "Holy Roman Catholic Church", their Iesou Christou; Dowd is saying 'Nope, not going to hear those names on my lips.' Good for him. No wonder these Christian-types don't like Dowd and are robbing him of every gift that God offered him. He had their number … the choices between Dowd and Paul, between Yahowah and Jesus, between the Towrah and the New Testament, between a relationship and religion, between life or death – the contrast. Dowd presented clearly which is why they have to rob him.

There isn't a single prophecy in which Yahowsha' is named – not one, and yet there is a thousand in which Dowd is named. He's named in all of them; you read them, and God says this is what I'm promising to do, in fact at one point He says, 'If everything I have said as a promise regarding Dowd does not happen, then you can call Me a liar.'

God is trying to get our attention. 'No, I'm not changing. This is My guy; I'm committed to him.' God is really proud of Dowd; He loves him with his flaws, his passion, his intellect and articulate nature, his poetry and songs. God thinks His Son is beautiful – He even says it. When it comes to His son, God is a proud father. If you are a proud father and somebody demeans your child, you get angry with them. That is what the Christians have done by besmirching Dowd to transferring all these promises to their Iesou Christou.

We'll read one more of this then we'll pick up the 16<sup>th</sup> Psalm next week. I want to tell you this is so much more interesting as we move through it because Luke is going to put words into Peter's mouth whereby Peter twists 2 Samuel 7:11.

I thought that the last time I had translated it I had finally come to terms with what that prophecy had to say, and yet this time through, oh my. I wasn't prepared to fully understand it until a mutual friend of ours and fact checker for Yada' Yah said, "You know, I think there is a reason to celebrate Bikuwrym the day after a natural Shabat following Matsah." I had always seen it as three days in a row and as Matsah as the ultimate expression of the Shabat.

There are so many references where it says 'achar Shabat and 'achar is translated/rendered "the day after." But that's not what the word means. The word means "hesitate, delay, be inactive, vacillate" and by doing those things being left behind. What it really says is, "Don't vacillate, don't delay on the Shabat or you are going to be left behind." So, when it comes to capitalizing on the opportunity of Chag Matsah, don't hesitate. The opportunity is now; capitalize on it.

You might ask why am I bringing that up now? Because there is a change of voice in 2 Samuel 7. It goes from God talking to Dowd to God talking of Dowd. It's the same thing that happens in the 110<sup>th</sup> Psalm and in each of these texts that they bring up where God goes from talking to Dowd to

about Dowd. He calls him "you" when He's talking to Dowd, and He calls him "he" when He's talking of Dowd. Rather than Him saying 'that after you someone is going to arise' it says that Dowd didn't hesitate or vacillate. When you recognize that that's the nature of this transition, suddenly that prophecy goes "Oh My!" God is telling us something marvelous about His Son and the role His Son can play in our lives now, and the role he will play in our lives in the future. I would have never known that had it not been for the need to translate it again and really analyze the verbal root of each of these words that comprise God's presentation of the Migra'ey. If we didn't have our fellow brothers and sisters in the Covenant bring up these things and challenge us this way, we would have never found them; and I hadn't found that I would have never come to this complete understanding of 2 Samuel 7. It's marvelous when we get there, and even more marvelous when we get to the 110<sup>th</sup> Psalm which the Christian's New Testament corrupts the most. We're just going to marvel as to whether or not Christianity renders everyone unable to think or if this is a deliberate scam knowingly perpetrated by Christians over the decades and the millennia. I don't know the answer; I'm going to pose it. But one of those two things is true. Either their perception is so obviously wrong and so contrary to what God said that one of two things is true either their religion has rendered all of them unable to think or the leaders have done this deliberately, knowingly, making it murder.

So, we'll pick it up this time next week with more of an analysis of Psalm 16 and will go directly into the part that Luke corrupted in order to advance his view. What we're ultimately going to see is that they want to present Dowd as dead, buried, and as excluded from Heaven. Then they want to have this same guy who is dead and buried and done with and excluded from Heaven being the voice that announces the presence of their "Lord Jesus." It's not very clever, but that's what's there. I hope when we're all done with this, we can add it to Volume 4 of *Questioning Paul* because it does a marvelous job of exposing the fraud that is the Christian New Testament, particularly the book written by Luke.

So, Kirk, I wish you a good night's sleep on Qara' and look forward to seeing you tomorrow. Have a wonderful night. To all of you out there in Yah's beautiful universe, may Yah bless you all and Shabat Shalowm. Good night.