## Shabat Study June 18, 2021, by Yada'

Please Note: I have transcribed this to the best of my ability. Sound bites of parties speaking simultaneously and those which were inaudible to me have been deleted from the transcript. If I guessed at a word, it was followed by ()? A \_\_\_\_\_ (blank line) indicates I could not understand the word or phrase and three periods ... indicate an interruption in the dialogue of one party by another. Thank you for your understanding. MK

Good evening and welcome to Yada Yah. I've had an extraordinary day. I've been doing this now for 20 years, and over the course of those 20 years this is one of the most wonderful days thus far. Three people have worked diligently to create a website that displays the new books, all 16 of the current rewrites. They are beautifully presented and today my friend Yow'el arranged for the yadayah.com and the yadayahowah.com URLs to link directly to them. The site is gorgeous, incredibly intuitive, it functions beautifully, every book, every show, our entire community is all there.

If you go to yadayah.com you will find a bookshelf with all the rewritten books, but beyond that you will find that you can click on any book on the bookshelf, and it will open so that you can read it. The chapters are listed along the right side so that you can easily move between them. It scales to any size window on your computer, and you can not only open the books from that bookshelf, but you can click on the book in the header, and you can see every cover, they are all organized in the proper order, click on any book and it will open. You can click on Amazon to find all 16 books in their Kindle edition for under \$15.00, or you can purchase the paperbacks individually as they are presented in their proper order in their new look where they all coordinate with the same white, blue, gold, little dashes of silver and beautiful paintings.

So, I want to thank Jackie for her diligence; she has been unbelievably devoted and supportive to making these new editions of our books possible, making them available on Amazon, updating them and then working with two individuals I also want to thank. Scott and David for their work on creating the site which functions so beautifully and looks perfect as it relates to our overall look and feel, and remarkably, it might have even been a blessing, with almost no involvement from me, which is something that I cherish because we are so devoted now to updating all of the books and move forward that to have this happen among the three of them behind the scenes is such a benefit to see it develop this way. So, to David, to Scott, to the Queen of the Yada Yahowah Series, Jackie, to the edit team that made this possible, to Mike the fact checker, to Molly, to JK I am exceedingly grateful, and I think Yahowah is enormously pleased with us. It is one of the first times I can say in a very long time that I am genuinely proud of what we are presenting on behalf of Yahowah and for the benefit of His People. This is an extraordinarily positive moment.

It's been a very long time, as many of you know, since we have updated out sites. We have depended on the dedication and generosity of others who have posted our books. One of the great, dedicated and devoted individuals is JB with his yahowahberyth.com site. Also, David and Scott taking over the other sites and turning them into a supportive community. On the community you will see yahowahberyth.com listed prominently, you'll see the YouTube channel that has been created and is beautifully presented, there is the blessyahowah.com site which has been updated

and maintained, there's the yada yah YouTube channel, the Shamar Towrah Facebook page, the yada yah forum, and the wonderful books that Molly has created in addition to her marvelous editing work called *Yahowah for Littles*, which presents Yahowah to small children. It is beautiful; there's a link to the podcast on one of three clearly linked sites.

This is a wonderful day and I'm exceedingly pleased. Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. I measured the spines the other day of the books we have completed in the new format, and we now have two feet of books, that's just at the spines. So, there is no shortage of material, some of which is really exceptional.

I would say that yesterday and today may have been the most inspired writing that has occurred along the way. Today in particular, I was asked to integrate Yirma'yah 5 into the conclusion of this Shabuw'ah chapter, and as I did, there were times I just had to stop what I was doing and walk away. It was too perfect. It was like God said, 'I'm just going to lay it out all for you here so that you have everything that you need because I'm at the point of how disgusted I am with Judaism and Rabbis and yet how much I love My people and want them to return to Me.'

In the midst of it even yesterday, we came across something that when translated is just remarkable. It is Yahowah using metaphors in such a way that it's, God not only communicates so brilliantly but resonates with His audience, and it is in this chapter that we have turned to. It's towards the end of that chapter which is currently a scant 130 pages. We're going to pick up the story on page 21 of that chapter.

I wanted to return to the beginning of the chapter because after the show last week, I completely rewrote the chapter. You might wonder, why would I do that. I thought it was a pretty decent show last week. I thought the material we covered was reasonably presented, but I went back and rewrote it all because by doing the show and talking about it and seeing those words I realized how much I had missed. More importantly, I realized I was giving way too much credit to Shim'own Kephas in the presentation of Acts as if we were back dealing with the first pass through *Questioning Paul* where I tried to somehow make sense of it all and finally realized OMG this is filth. What am I doing here? I'm disgusted. I need to go back; this is not something I should be giving any credence to. I rewrote it and rewrote it again, and most recently *Questioning Paul* has turned into a four-volume set. And if I were to rewrite it next week it would probably be an eight-volume set.

In fact, this chapter we're reading now will be the final chapter of Volume 4 of *Questioning Paul*. It's going to appear both in the *Shabuw'ah* Chapter, which is the third of the *Shabuw'ah* chapters of Volume 5, *Mow'ed* of *Yada Yahowah* but also in *Questioning Paul* because it is an expose on what's wrong with the Christian New Testament. This absolutely and unequivocally destroys his credibility, and it destroys his credibility in its heart. It's one thing to do what we have done to prove that Paul was the Plague of Death, the Father of Lies, and the Son of Evil. Yahowah uses those terms. We compared Paul's writing with Yahowah's Testimony and proved that Paul was a fraud. That eliminates 14 books of the Christian New Testament.

But there's more. We've also shown that Paul deliberately recruited Mark to create a Gospel that would support Paul's moniker. We know that Luke was Paul's attaché', his propagandist, his

publicist, and perhaps more. And that's the book of Luke and the book of Acts. We know that Matthew is little more than someone who is plagiarizing Mark and Luke.

When you expose and condemn the opening of Acts, which is citations allegedly from Shim'own Kephas, the most credible of the Disciples, and you show that they cannot be true and that they are absolutely, unequivocally wrong, not just in subtle ways, but in absolute ways, that in so doing you've robbed Christianity of everything. If Luke didn't tell the truth, and he didn't; if Luke had an agenda which was before it was called anti-Semitism and Replacement Theology, then you can't trust what he wrote on the formation of the religion. Without the book of Acts Christianity has no foundation and it is not credible. The books of Luke, Matthew, and Mark now have no credibility, and we know that Paul has no credibility. The whole thing vanishes; there's nothing left. So, this is an extremely important review that we are undertaking.

What I found as I pursued it is that while it initially destroys the credibility of Christianity, which is exceedingly important for the Chosen People because if you can prove emphatically Christianity's anti-Semitism and their persecution of Jews was all based on lies by the original authors of the Christian scriptures, then Jews can be much more aggressive in pushing back and defending themselves, which then leaves Christians exposed, naked, and without excuse.

But that's not how this story ends because while Yahowah is exceedingly angry at Christianity and Islam for the hell they have perpetrated upon His People, His animosity towards Christianity, Islam, and even social secularism pales in comparison for His hatred of Judaism. We find as we push through this material that Yahowah's Testimony assails Judaism and it differentiates Judaism from being Jewish. God still wants to reconcile His relationship with His People; He still loves His People. He intends to protect His People, but He's not just protecting them from gowym; He's protecting them from the rabbis.

I have two copies of this chapter, JB. It's going to appear, for those who want you can read the first 21 pages of the rewrite probably within the week in the 4<sup>th</sup> Volume of Questioning *Paul* which will be added to the new website and to the book on *Questioning Paul*. It will be a while before it's added to the 5<sup>th</sup> volume of *Mow'ed* because I just finished the first three chapters of which will be nine or ten chapters of that volume.

We're going to pick up a little before where we left off last week:

"Yisra'elite (*Israelites* – a transliteration of *Yisra'elite*) men (*andros*), listen to (*akouo*) these words (*logos*): *Iesou* (INY – a placeholder for Iesou which became "Jesus," whose actual designation was Yahowsha') the Nazarene (*Nazoraios*), is a man (*andros*) who publicly demonstrated (*apodeiknymi*) to (*eis*) you (*sy*) that He is from (*apo*) *Theos* ( $\Theta\Sigma$  – placeholder for *theos* – god) using supernatural powers and abilities (*dynamis*) to perform extraordinary miracles with prophetic significance (*teras*).

These are signs (*semeion*) which (*hos*) Theos ( $\Theta\Sigma$  placeholder for theos – god) performed (*poieomai*) through (*dia*) him (*autos*) in your midst (*mesos*) as (*kathos*) you know (*oida*)." (Acts 2:22)

This was not spoken by Shim'own, but it was written by Luke. Shim'own would have known that there was no reason at this point in time to call his people Yisra'elites because Yisra'el had been hauled into captivity some 700 years earlier. They were Yahuwdym then, and he would have known that there was no Nazareth at the time. The concept of Nazareth and Jesus of Nazareth was conceived by Constantine's mommy and was first added to these writings by Eusebius who was Constantine's publicist and chief promoter. The very fact that it says 'listen to these words' and speaks of Iesou the Nazarene proves that this is a fraud. The earliest that there was a community named Nazareth was in the second century CE. While there was a town co-located and what became Nazareth, it was not called Nazareth in the first century and in fact was uninhabited in the first century.

If Yahowsha' had used supernatural powers and abilities to perform extraordinary miracles with prophetic significance and performed them in their midst and the audience was aware of them, these words would have been a waste of time. There would have been no reason to speak them. I know it's like saying you've watched the volcano at Pompey explode, and then you have some idiot on the street corner in Pompey saying there was an explosion of the \_\_\_\_\_ as you know. When somebody says "as you know" it means that they don't know. This did not happen; it was not said, and it's not the purpose of the Pesach 'Ayl | the Passover Lamb to perform miracles. The purpose of the Pesach 'Ayl is to nourish the people and to \_\_\_\_\_.

"He was appointed to (*horizo*) the pre-arranged and prophetic (*prognosis*) plan and purpose (*boule*) of *Theos* ( $\Theta\Sigma$ )..." (Acts 2:23)

Yes, the Passover Lamb was but guess what one thing Luke's writings don't say about him. He didn't mention that he was the Passover Lamb. The only thing that is important about Yahowsha' is that he was the Passover Lamb, and the one thing they never say about him was that he was the Passover Lamb.

As a suggestion for those who want to keep it real, there is a zero percent possibility that the Disciple Shim'own would have referred to Yahowsha' as Iesou, and yet that is what appears.

"...he was betrayed and given over (*ekdotos*) to (*dia*) the hand (*cheir*) of the Towrahless (*anomos*) who chose to take control and lift Him up (*anaireo*), affixing Him (*prospegnumi*)." (Acts 2:23)

With the exception of Yahowsha', everyone was Towrahless. The Jews were into the Talmud, these Christians were into their New Testament, which hadn't been written yet of course. Nobody was Towrah observant; had anybody been so they would have said, 'Wasn't it marvelous the witness we had of the fulfillment of Pesach? We are the luckiest men alive. In the year 4000 Yah we actually got to observe Yahowah honoring His promises to 'Abraham to provide the Passover Lamb, and we witnessed it in our lifetimes. As we know, based on the writings of Dowd and his prophecy, he went to She'owl to remove the fungus of sin from us so that we could be perfected and then Yahowah's soul and Set-Apart Spirit were reunited on Bikuwrym as an entirely new being, one that was a harbinger of what we should expect as we are born anew from above spiritually as a result of Bikuwrym | First-born Children such that Seven Sevens later in complete consistency with the Towrah instructions the Set-Apart Spirit came upon us enriching, empowering and enlightening us, and we, ladies and gentlemen of the less fortunate men and

women in the world to have seen and benefited from this.' If they had said that this would have had merit and would have shown that he understood what took place. But that's not what he said. He said instead that he was depraved. No he wasn't.

Luke wants to present the Jews as the ones who betrayed God. How could He have been betrayed if in fact this was His destiny; if He came and it was prearranged for Him to serve as the Passover Lamb, then how is it possible that God could have been betrayed? It's just not possible. You can't have it both ways. You can't say that it was all fulfilled in accordance with the plan and then say, 'oh by the way, He was betrayed.' He wrote "betrayed" even though it contradicted their own (thesis)? Because if they do not disparage Jews they have no (religion)?. They have to present the notion that God became anti-Semitic; that He turned on His people and as a result of that decided without any notice, not a single prophecy affirming it, that He was going to become unreliable, break all of His promises to a people, and give them to somebody else because He was betrayed. That's the basis of Christianity, and it is nonsense.

Then they talk about "affixing him" which most bibbles translate as "crucifixion." Do they have any idea of how meaningless crucifixion is? I don't want to diminish the pain. The Romans were savages. The Romans who became the Roman Catholics are the worst of humankind. It's hard to imagine people developing more excruciating torture devices. That's probably not fair because if you go to a Roman Catholic museum that shows their implements during the Inquisition, you'll find out they were good Romans; they were disgusting people. What's so amazing here is that the most disgusting people in human history painted the Jews as disgusting. We're supposed to forgive all the Roman and Roman Catholic perversions because we're supposed to believe that the Jews were the ones that were disgusting.

The crucifixion and cross are meaningless. It's a stupid icon not even shaped the way the way the original object looked, and the original object is absolutely, totally meaningless. The symbol of Christianity is nothing but an idol. What mattered was that he was the Passover Lamb, period, and there is no mention of the Passover in the Dead God on A Stick. Yahowah provided His soul so that He could serve as the Passover Lamb. What's the single worst thing you could possibly do? Rub it in His face, show Him nails through that stupid Roman torture device, dead. What could you do that would be more infuriating, disrespectful and hurtful than that?

Does anybody with a passover lamb say, 'I have an idea. We're going to make this lamb squeal. We're not going to kill this lamb mercifully. No. We're going to put the lamb on public display. We're going flail it alive, beat it senseless, then take its little hooves and nail them to a stick. Then to celebrate the sacrifice of this Passover lamb, we're going to make this lamb nailed to that stick our idol, our symbol. That ought to really fix him. And after the lamb has done all that, we're going to negate its sacrifice by not capitalizing on it at all. All we're going to do is focus on the ghoulish spectacle. Worse, after we torture the damned lamb, we're going to have Twinkle Toes come back to life. How 'bout them apples? Then we're going to make a religion out of it.' If you are a Christian and you believe that nonsense, shame on you; you're an idiot.

I have said a lot of aggressive, blunt, and non-politically correct things about religions, and so I'm off including the final page of the Shabuw'ah chapter and God, bless His Heart, I have never read

material this aggressive against Judaism and rabbis. You think I'm harsh on them? Wait until you hear what God has to say about them. So, if you don't like me condemning rabbis and Judaism, on behalf of Jews, then you are not going to like what God has to say very much.

"Theos ( $\Theta\Omega$ ) restored Him to life and raised Him (*anistamai*),

They have an entirely new interpretation of the Passover Lamb. Nope, the Passover Lamb doesn't nourish the family, and is no longer Yahowah sacrificing Himself for our benefit. Nope. The Passover Lamb saves himself. Then why did we kill him then? What's the purpose in killing him if he's coming back to life? What's the purpose of Yahowah establishing Passover if we're going to come up with our own little version?

releasing and dismissing Him (*lou*) from the suffering and pain (*odin*) of death (*thanatos*) because (*kathoti*) it was not (*ou*) possible (*dynatos*) for Him to exist (*eimi*) held and influenced (*krateo*) by (*hypo*) these things." (Acts 2:24)

Are you playing everybody for a fool, Luke? You want to make the symbol of your religion your god dying on a damned stick. Then after him being whipped to a pulp by the Romans and nailed to the most excruciating torment that man had ever invented, you're going say that it wasn't possible for him to suffer pain. Nope, not that, couldn't suffer that pain; wasn't possible. Then you said he died for your sins, but he couldn't die. You need a fact checker. Mike is a great one, and I highly recommend him. I recommend a fact checker for these bozos.

The Apostle's alleged *Shabuw'ah* soliloquy was "advanced" by way of a second *Tanakh* citation, this time from the  $16^{th}Mizmowr$  / Psalm. To better understand why he selected it, let's begin our examination by studying the entire Song from which the excerpt was taken. Shim'own would not have cited it in a vacuum so we should not approach it out of context either.

I really like Dowd, I enjoy his songs, so this is an opportunity to put some sanity in the mix of this insanity.

It begins...

"A **poem** (*miktam* – a written piece of literature, inscribed by staining the parchment upon which it is indelibly conveyed) **concerning Dowd** (*la Dowd* – about the Beloved).

Watch closely over me and be concerned about me (*shamar 'any* – keep a caring focus upon, tend to, and be observant regarding me), God (*'el*), because (*ky*) in You (*ba 'atah* – with You) I confide and trust so I am kept safe and comforted (*chasah* – I rely for protection and safekeeping)." (*Mizmowr* / Psalm 16:1)

Dowd was in a unique position, one to a far lesser degree we find ourselves in. If you are yourselves about the business of Yahowah, He has an obligation, a commitment, a reason, a genuine desire to focus on and attend to us; to send out His mal'ak to protect us because there are so few of us willing to do this that the last thing God will allow is for us to be wiped out. I had a ticket on an airplane as the 78<sup>th</sup> soul that would have been on that airplane. It was the first act of aviation terrorism. I contracted malaria and was taken off the plane. Seventy-seven souls that were on it all died.

I once was flying my airplane into Regan International. Two large air busses (large airplanes), slow and dirty with their flaps up, prepared for landing and crossed over me. There were three runways. The wind vortex of those airliners turned me upside down a thousand feet over the Pentagon in an airplane that is incapable from recovering from either from a roll or a stall. But I'm here talking to you, aren't I? I have done something that no one has ever survived. I wrote a comprehensive book exposing and condemning Muhammad, Allah, Islam and the Qur'an, and I did so under my own name, and yet here I am. Yahowah takes care of us who speak on His behalf. Dowd knew it. He didn't say this for himself; he wrote it for us, and the smartest thing we can do when we find anything written in the Towrah is to apply it to ourselves. When I read something like this I say, thank you. It resonates with me; you're doing it for me. It may be a billionth of a billionth of a billionth times less but ... meaningful for Yahowah, meaningful for me. Okay, so Dowd is the ultimate. The fact that we are less important doesn't diminish the fact that we are exceedingly important. This is not a competition; Dowd isn't competing with us to say "número uno." He's sharing everything he knows so that we can be like him.

The contrast is between trust and faith. Trust is the result of knowing while faith is its poor substitute. Dowd, as the exemplar of knowing, is God's Chosen One. Paul, as the advocate of faith, became the Plague of Death. My hope is that Shim'own may have cited Dowd's Psalm to make this very point.

Dowd knew where and with whom he stood...

**"I** say (*'amar* – expressing in words) to approach (*la* – concerning and about) Yahowah (*Yahowah* – God's name pronounced as taught by His *towrah* – instructions regarding His *hayah* – existence and our *shalowm* – reconciliation from *'elowah* – our God), **'You are my foundation** and support (*'edown 'any 'atah* – You are my Upright One who is the Pillar of my Tabernacle).

**I have nothing to offer which is worthwhile or useful** (*tobah 'any bal* – I have nothing good, satisfying, or beneficial) **apart from You** (*'al 'atah*).'" (*Mizmowr* / Psalm 16:2)

In all of human history, this was the man with the best resume. We all want things we can add to our resume. This is the best resume in the history of mankind. He's the King of Kings, Yahowah's Chosen One, Yahowah's First-born, the Son of God ... three times anointed, Mashyach, he is the Branch. He is everything that's important to God. He is the exemplar of the Covenant; he is the heir that will have a disproportionate share of what God is offering. When he returns with Yahowah, Yahowah says he will be as God, which is what the Son of God means, isn't it? This is the man that defended Yisra'el and never lost a battle. This is God's shepherd of His people. He's got the greatest resume of all time. He was a poet. He was a rockstar singer; he wrote his own material, and then some. He was a brilliant writer, probably the most brilliant orator and author in all of human history. He left us the legacy of the Psalms and the Proverbs. At eight years old he takes one stone and slays the biggest enemy of his people.

I have now written 16 books that are certain to be 25 on Yahowah's Towrah, Prophets and Psalms, and yet Dowd was the Prophet of Prophets. It's Dowd's material that we're sharing. This is the most extraordinary man that ever lived; the man that God values the relationship with more than

anyone else and He said I've got nothing to offer other than what Yahowah has provided. Put that in perspective.

I'm really proud of this new website and of these new books. I'm thrilled with the content and it's presentation. I think we've done a marvelous job, and I'm very proud of the team for accomplishing this. All we've done is capitalize on what Yahowah has conveyed to his Prophets and inspired us to understand through His Spirit; and yet still extraordinary.

I find it particularly reassuring that Dowd found pleasure in knowing that we would capitalize upon what he had written to lead others to this same place...

"Regarding (*la* – pertaining to) the Set Apart (*qadowsh* – the separated and uncommon)

He's not writing about the Set-Apart Spirit; he's writing about the "Set-Apart". Who is the Set-Apart? Us. If you answered it first person singular – me, you are right. Me, regarding the Set-Apart. Me, Us.

in the Land (*ba ha 'erets* – within the material realm) who show the way to the relationship (*'asher* – who lead to the benefits of the proper path), they are also (*hem wa*) awesome (*'adyr* – marvelous, honorable, and worthy), and in them (*ba hem* – with them) is all my satisfaction and enjoyment (*kol chephets* – is my greatest joy, engendering my complete support and appreciation)." (*Mizmowr* / Psalm 16:3)

JB, I don't know if you're still with us; I know you have your mic turned off. When I translated this, the first thing I thought of was our conversation that you were absolutely certain that you were going to do what I couldn't do, and I was so excited for you being able to do it. You were going to translate and just present your translations; you weren't going to clutter them up with all this commentary stuff. LOL. That didn't last long. Why? Because you want other people to benefit from what you are learning, isn't that right?

JB: Yes. I wanted to share.

YADA: You wanted to share so that other people could benefit from it. Keeping it for yourself would be selfish. You want to share it; you want other people to benefit from it. Dowd is saying my greatest satisfaction in life is not only others benefited from this, but they are awesome. Dowd thinks we're awesome. Dowd is stating here exactly what you found out, JB, and is what I learned early on is that maybe a thousand people over time who have written and said, "Do you have a book of just the translations that you've done as opposed to those intermixed with all these commentaries?" I've said no. They have asked if I could prepare one because there are people who would enjoy it. I've said no. If you want a book of just translations go do it; there's nothing wrong with that, go have one. That's not the way Yahowah's revelation works.

What did I call Yada Yahowah, the byline? A Conversation with God because He conveys His message; we come to understand and appreciate it, to incorporate and accept it, it resonates within us, it communicates to us, and then we want to share what we have learned. Sometimes we share the way I did the first thing today. I was so amazed and grateful for what Yahowah had conveyed through His prophet that I wrote Jackie and said I was going to send her the chapter because I

needed to walk away because this was too powerful; too perfect and I didn't even know what to write. That's the way Yahowah's Testimony resonates within me. It is why when we did this program last week we were talking about these things and learned so much that I had to go back and rewrite it all. It's a good thing; not a bad thing.

God is having a conversation with us. To be clear my conversations with God are probably similar to yours, JB and Kirk. It's about 99.9% listening and about .1% responding. If that doesn't work for you, you aren't going to like it, but you can be an active listener, and it's going to be an enriching experience for you. You can make connections; you can jump all over the place, and you can ask questions. God has a lot to say and the better job we do at listening, the more we're going to get out of this conversation.

Ultimately, we're going to be in Shamaym. We're going to be seven-dimensional creatures able to communicate directly with God. Even as He empowers, enriches, enlightens, and makes us infinitely more capable than we are now, I still imagine that God is going to want to hear what we have to say and how we respond to the things that He has created, but even then, there's going to be a difference. He's really smart, He's funny, entertaining, and I still think we are going to be awed; not afraid, but awed.

So, Dowd is actually saying that God's Covenant Family are Yah-some.

In contrast to those who would join him in their approach to Yahowah, Dowd realized that most people would be led astray – chasing after gods made by men...

**"The sorrows and suffering** (*'atsebeth* – the anxiety and anguish, the unfavorable circumstances and mental illness) **of those** (*hem*) **who are impetuous and who chase after** (*mahar* – who are rash and without wisdom, who are fearful, and unwilling to invest the time to study, and who quickly buy into) **another** (*'achar* – someone or something different, either following along or doing so to develop a following) **will be great as they will be numerous and influential** (*rabah* – will multiply, becoming the preponderance of people, and who through their popularity will gain tremendous status).

**Their drink offerings of blood** (*nesek hem min dam* – their sacred and pagan liquid libations to a deity associated with killing and death [a.k.a. the Roman Catholic claim that "Jesus" died and that they are drinking his blood during their Eucharist]), **I will never pour or offer** (*bal nasak* – I will not endorse, especially with all of their associations with pagan gods, religious rites, and death).

And I will not advance or promote (*ba nasa*' – I will never lift up or bear, honor or respect, desire or tolerate) their names (*'eth shem hem*) on my lips (*'al sapah* – as 142part of my speech)." (*Mizmowr* / Psalm 16:4)

I'm going to have "pour out on my mind" for a while because it's what Yahowah wrote in Yow'el and also in what He conveyed through Yirma'yah. But as it turns to this, it's one in a million that accepst the Terms and Conditions of the Covenant. I'm hoping there will be a higher percentage of the remnant of the people, but up to this point, in fact for a long time, there was none in a million and right now there's about one in a million. Most people, incredibly, choose not God. They choose a god made by men and that is so contradictory and hypercritical that he's meaningless and

no better than they are. So, the numbers of people following false gods; there 1.6 billion Muslims, 2.5 - 3 billion Christians (Twistians as I like calling them), 1.5 billion Social Secular Humanists and Progressives that are so caught up in their underwear they have to have Cancel Culture where facts don't serve their agenda and they make up their own. The latest conspiracy – Cancel Culture.

So, Dowd is saying there are not going to be a lot of happy people out there.

As for **'their drink offerings of blood'**, you might ask who is out there offering blood. Roman Catholics and Orthodox Christians which make up <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of the total of the most popular religion in the world. That's the claim of Roman Catholics during their Eucharist that they turn the wine into blood of their Jesus Christ. He says I'm never going to be involved in that; I'm not drinking blood.

And I will not advance or promote (*ba nasa'* – I will never lift up or bear, honor or respect, desire or tolerate) their names (*'eth shem hem*) on my lips (*'al sapah* – as 142part of my speech)." (*Mizmowr* / Psalm 16:4)

Jesus Christ is the name of a false god. He's as false as Dionysus and Odysseus, as false as ha Shem and Adonai, Allah. Dowd is not going to do that because he has a personal relationship with Yah.

"Yahowah's (*Yahowah*) gift is my decision, my fate, my assigned share, and my reward (*manath cheleq 'any* – allotment is my choice) as well as my cup and receptacle (*wa kows 'any* – and the vessel which holds what I will drink).

**You** (*'atah*) **uphold** (*tamak*) **my lot and destiny** (*gowral 'any* – my portion and allotment, even my systematic means of making decisions, delineating the outcome). (*Mizmowr* / Psalm 16:5)

That is where we ended our program last week.

Dowd views God as his gift but having chosen God determines his fate and afforded him his reward. My suggestion would be to do the same.

The measuring lines (*chebel* – the inheritance and boundary lines, the shares and apportionments) have aligned for me (*naphal la 'any* – have been allotted and distributed to be) in highly acceptable and delightful ways (*ba ha na'ym* – in pleasant and favorable places).

We are all going to receive an inheritance beyond our capacity to even comprehend because we are three-dimensional constructs, and we are going to be enriched and empowered into the fourth, fifth, sixth, and seventh dimensions with each integration upward being an infinite increase in capability, enlightenment and enrichment. So, we are going to inherit considerably more than we could possibly imagine by infinity to the fourth power, and yet we're going to be paupers compared to Dowd. Dowd is the First-born and gets the lion's share – literally the lion's share. Dowd is the King; it's his kingdom, and he's very generous wi it. We're not going to be wanting. If you knew the responsibility that Lion has been given ... and for the additional share he's going to be given, it's the worst deal in the history of deals. I wouldn't take it.

JB: I'll let have it.

YADA: Just let him have it. We all have responsibility and the less responsibility I have in eternity the happier I'm going to be. I love work; I want to be engaged and do something to make Yah proud, but not at that level of responsibility. No, even the best of us are sometimes hard to mend. I'm delighted that he has accepted this responsibility and that Yahowah has given him a very generous inheritance, because he deserves it.

Surely ('aph – in addition), I have been offered ('al 'any) an enjoyable and pleasing, especially brilliant (shaphar – a lovely and beautiful, radiant and bright) inheritance (nachalah – association and share). (Mizmowr / Psalm 16:6)

God says of him when he returns, he's going to be as brilliant as the sun. That's an understatement.

His first job is to pile up corpses beyond count. He's got to rid the world of the stench of religion. He's saying that's enjoyable because he's defending his family.

I will commend the excellence of (*barak* '*eth* – I favor the goodness and I am thankful for the greatness of) Yahowah (*Yahowah*), who, to show the way to the benefits of the relationship ('*asher* – who, to lead the way 143to the path to get the greatest joy out of life), determines the plan and provides me with counsel and purpose (*ya*'*ats* '*any* – decides the direction and offers me advice), even ('*aph*) during the night (*laylah*).

Even during the darkest hours, Dowd recognized that he was in this position because of Yahowah, and he was grateful. He wanted to share Yahowah's plan and counsel because Yahowah had shared it with him.

Then my visceral reaction to being Yah's implement (*kilyah 'any* – my kidneys (which the ancient Hebrews perceived as the source of emotions), core nature, inclinations, and feelings, my innermost being; a compound of kol – total, kaly – implement of Yah) provides me with disciplined teaching, proper instruction, and correction (*yasar 'any* – facilitates my training and acceptance, direction and guidance, and my appreciation for cause and consequence)." (*Mizmowr* / Psalm 16:7)

I have become accustomed now, no matter how uncomfortable it has become, to see Yahowah prophetically speaking about what we're doing, and no matter how uncomfortable I become with it the most selfish thing in the world would be to ignore it. Yahowah conveyed his involvement with His nes – banner (these folks)? and His work through His choter and His Nakry because He wants His people to listen to Him and He wants them to come home. If He's going to say it over and over again in so many different ways, it's because He wants His people to pay attention to what we are sharing. And yet, we're nothing but implements. Compared to the actual prophets (and to the One who inspired them) we're like a \_\_\_\_.

The other day Leah said she wanted show me something. She took me outside and said, "This is a choter." It was this secondary stalk of a beautiful fruit tree. Right beside the actual trunk of the tree coming up from the root stalk itself was this beautiful sucker. We use the term "sucker" and it sound like a pejorative, but it's not. It's new growth upright, productive and from the same root stalk. It's an implement. I'd like to say an insignificant implement, but it's not insignificant to God or to His people. We are implements. Dowd is the most magnificent human who ever lived;

he is beautiful and God is going to use his DNA to create a Dowd version 2 that is going to be unbelievably beautiful.

I will commend the excellence of (*barak 'eth* – I favor the goodness and I am thankful for the greatness of) Yahowah (*Yahowah*), who, to show the way to the benefits of the relationship ('*asher* – who, to lead the way 143to the path to get the greatest joy out of life), determines the plan and provides me with counsel and purpose (*ya'ats 'any* – decides the direction and offers me advice), even (*'aph*) darkest hours (*laylah*).

Then my visceral reaction to being Yah's implement (*kilyah 'any* – my kidneys (which the ancient Hebrews perceived as the source of emotions), core nature, inclinations, and feelings, my innermost being; a compound of kol – total, kaly – implement of Yah) provides me with disciplined teaching, proper instruction, and correction (*yasar 'any* – facilitates my training and acceptance, direction and guidance, and my appreciation for cause and consequence)." (*Mizmowr* / Psalm 16:7)

I think when we view ourselves as an implement it's a proper and good thing.

And now we know why Yahowah chose Dowd, called him His son, anointed him Mashyach, inspired him as a prophet, appointed him Shepherd and King, enabled him with His Spirit. It was for our benefit.

Yahowah is offering His children the ultimate reward: eternal life in His presence. It is a brilliant inheritance. And for it, we should be as Dowd was – appreciative, ever willing to proclaim the excellence of Yahowah and the resulting relationship.

The point to all of this is that we can live like Dowd, think like Dowd, and experience what Dowd enjoyed when we study his words and apply them to our lives. We should all be singing along, at least up to the point of screaming.

By robbing the world of everything Dowd contributed to our lives to legitimize the Dionysian caricature of "Jesus Christ," Paul and pals transformed the Passover Lamb into an anti-Semitic monster. To confront this, Dowd leveled a broadside against the Gnostic nature of Pauline Christianity. The argument that *Sha'uwl* | Paul used to rob Yahowah, His Towrah, His Covenant, His People, and His Mashyach of their relevance was to opine that they were of the flesh, which, from the Gnostic perspective, was corruptible and evil.

While this would have been an exceedingly odd place for Shim'own to have begun his citation of a Mizmowr, it is nonetheless the place where Luke jumped aboard. By doing so, rather than realizing that the Psalm is about Dowd describing his relationship with Yahowah, (I think God made that point really clear) the reader of Acts is fooled into believing that Dowd was speaking prophetically of the Christian "Jesus Christ" and referring to him as "the Lord." I kid you not...

In this context that is absolutely ludicrous. Nonetheless, that's what appears in the Christian New Testament.

"For David speaketh concerning him (Bull crap) [the context would make "him" "Jesus"], 'I foresaw the Lord always before my face, for he is on my right hand, that I should not be moved:

(Acts 2:25 KJV) Therefore did my heart rejoice, and my tongue was glad; moreover also my flesh shall rest in hope:" (Acts 2:26 KJV)

Or if you prefer the more literal rendering..."For (gar - because) David  $(\Delta\Delta - a \text{ placeholder for Dowd using the Greek transliteration Dauid})$  said (lego - declared) of (eis) him (autos), 'I foresee (proorao) the Lord  $(K\Omega - \text{placeholder for }kurios - \text{the Lord and Master})$  in front of (enopion - and before) me (ego) always (pas) on my behalf (dia) because (hoti) from (ek - out of) His right hand (dexios - authority) I will not be  $(me \ eimi)$  shaken or swayed (saleuomai - be overthrown or distressed). (Acts 2:25)

Therefore (*dia*), my heart (*kardia*) rejoices (*euphrainomai* – celebrates), and my tongue (*glossa* – speech) delights (*agalliao*) that also (*eti*) my flesh (*sarkos*) will abide and endure (*kataskenoo* – live) as a result of (*epi*) a confident and trusting expectation (*elpis*)." (Acts 2:26)

Later they are going to say not only was Dowd dead, but his flesh rotted away in his grave. But right here they are saying that Dowd's saying his flesh will never decay. Mike, they needed a fact checker!

This is a horribly misconstrued paraphrase of Psalm 16:8-9 which was wrongly attributed. If you recall, in Acts 2:22-24, Shim'own was supposedly telling the Jews all about the miraculous nature of "Jesus." So by saying that "David spoke concerning him," Dowd's assessment of his relationship with Yahowah was wrongly projected upon "Jesus." This "mistake" by either Shim'own or Luke may have been due in part to not being aware that it was Yahowah's name which had been replaced with "*'adony* – the Lord" in the *Septuagint*, not Yahowsha's. However, since Dowd's Psalms were all written about Yahowah and never mentioned Yahowsha' by name or title, this misrepresentation could not have been by accident.

In his Mizmowr, Dowd would continue to refer to God by His one and only name...

"I intensely desire to firmly place (*shawah* – I genuinely want to put) Yahowah (*Yahowah*) before me (*la neged 'any*) always and continuously (*tamyd* – regularly and consistently, even perpetually).

Didn't we just read in the Christian New Testament that it was the Lord and the Lord was supposed to be Jesus, that Dowd was speaking of Jesus? And this actually reads

"I intensely desire to firmly place (*shawah* – I genuinely want to put) Yahowah (*Yahowah*) before me..."

Well that makes Luke a liar and it means you can't trust the book of Acts; you can't trust the book of Luke. That is what that means pure and simple.

"I intensely desire to firmly place (*shawah* – I genuinely want to put) Yahowah (*Yahowah*) before me (*la neged 'any*) always and continuously (*tamyd* – regularly and consistently, even perpetually).

Indeed (*ky*), as a result of (*min*) me being right (*yamyn 'any* – my orientation, right side, or right hand, even looking east as the sun rises; from yaman – to choose to be right), I shall neither be

**shaken nor fall into an unfavorable or unresolvable circumstance** (*bal mowt* – I will not slip up, be dislodged, stagger, fail, nor fall, nor will I lose control, be random in my approach, nor found to be consistently inadequate). (*Mizmowr* / Psalm 16:8)

**Therefore** (*la ken*), my attitude and thinking (*leb 'any* – my inclination and disposition, my judgment and approach, my character and nature, my internal resolve and thoughtful responses) are joyous (*samach* – are upbeat and happy, elated and content).

What's more (*wa*), the manifestation of power which is abundant and valued within my persona (*kabowd 'any* – the glorious and rewarding Divine presence within me) expresses His joy over this enormously favorable and uplifting situation and outcome (gyl).

In addition ('aph – moreover and furthermore), my physical body and my proclamation regarding my flesh (basar – my pronouncement and positive news regarding my corporeal human and animal nature, and the substance which sustains that life) lives and abides (shakan – dwells and remains, camping out for a considerable period of time) with confidence ( $la \ betach$  – safely and securely, without any concern, through trusting and relying; from batach – to trust and rely with confidence and be bold, living without fear)." (Mizmowr / Psalm 16:9)

That's a far cry from that ridiculous presentation in the Christian New Testament. It's not just that they misquoted God but that they took something that was absolutely obvious that Dowd was talking about his relationship with Yahowah and named Yahowah and then wrote that David was speaking of their Lord Jesus Christ. An absolute lie. This is powerful; this is Dowd explaining his relationship with God. This is Dowd saying,

"I intensely desire to firmly place (*shawah* – I genuinely want to put) Yahowah (*Yahowah*) before me (*la neged 'any*) always and continuously (*tamyd* – regularly and consistently, even perpetually).

Indeed (*ky*), as a result of (*min*) me being right (*yamyn 'any* – my orientation, right side, or right hand, even looking east as the sun rises; from *yaman* – to choose to be right), I shall neither be shaken nor fall into an unfavorable or unresolvable circumstance (*bal mowt* – I will not slip up, be dislodged, stagger, fail, nor fall, nor will I lose control, be random in my approach, nor found to be consistently inadequate). (*Mizmowr* / Psalm 16:8)

**Therefore** (*la ken*), **my attitude and thinking** (*leb 'any* – my inclination and disposition, my judgment and approach, my character and nature, my internal resolve and thoughtful responses) **are joyous** (*samach* – are upbeat and happy, elated and content).

What's more (*wa*), the manifestation of power which is abundant and valued within my persona (*kabowd 'any* – the glorious and rewarding Divine presence within me) expresses His joy over this enormously favorable and uplifting situation and outcome (gyl).

In addition ('aph – moreover and furthermore), my physical body and my proclamation regarding my flesh (basar – my pronouncement and positive news regarding my corporeal human and animal nature, and the substance which sustains that life) lives and abides (shakan – dwells and remains, camping out for a considerable period of time) with confidence ( $la \ betach$  – safely

and securely, without any concern, through trusting and relying; from *batach* – to trust and rely with confidence and be bold, living without fear)." (*Mizmowr* / Psalm 16:9)

So much for him being dead and buried.

And therein is how the Christian *New Testament* deceives. By removing citations from their proper context, misquoting and misapplying them, the likes of Luke and Paul have tricked readers into believing things about "Jesus" which apply to *Dowd* | David. Robbing David to pay tribute to Jesus is among the most egregious and deadly crimes ever committed by religious men.

You might wonder why that is so. Because Dowd was a prophet, he is our shepherd, he is the Messiah, he is the returning King, and he is the Son of God. And any time we deprive the most important Father in the Universe of what he has promised to His Son and we besmirch the Son, rob that Son to give those promises to someone else, we've done something incredibly offensive and counterproductive.

Along these lines, the statement "I foresaw the Lord always before my face" will be extrapolated to convey something astonishingly sinister by the time we reach Acts 2:35. The bad boys of Christendom were just getting warmed up.

Also telling, Paul claimed that, by contrast, his faith was spiritual, and thus better. He slathered 'Abraham and Dowd with his errant perceptions to make his point. Unfortunately for Paul, and those who foolishly believe he spoke for God, the flesh is not evil. God loves it; He created it.

Should Dowd be correct regarding the flesh, and he was clearly inspired by Yah, then Paul, and his publicist, Luke, were not only wrong they could not have been inspired by God. As is the case with everything in this debate, trust and reliance, indeed confidence, is a product of knowing and understanding insights such as this, while faith bridges the gap when a believer is either ignorant and/or irrational. The reason Yahowah inspired Dowd to share this with us is so that we could replace one with the other, and like His prophet, be right.

Should you hold the belief that this poem has not been about commending Yahowah and condemning *Sha'uwl* | Paul and the religion he and his devotees fathered, consider this, especially in light of Paul saying: "Now when David had served God's purpose in his own generation, he fell asleep; he was buried with his ancestors and his body decayed." (Acts 13:36) Mike! Fact-check please on Isle 13!! Even their bogus citation of the Psalm says, 'Nope, my body is not going to decay.' Methinks this proves that the principal author of the Christian *New Testament* was wrong and need a fact check.

"By way of contrast (ky – emphasizing this point while exposing the contrary position), You will not abandon Your association with (lo' 'azab – You will not neglect, reject, forsake, nor desert, never releasing (qal imperfect)) my soul (*nepesh 'any* – my consciousness, my inner person, character, and personality) with regard to Sha'uwl (*la She'owl / la Sha'uwl* – by approaching She'owl or on behalf of the goals of Sha'uwl), never allowing (*lo' nathan* – never offering nor giving, not permitting nor surrendering (qal imperfect)) Your Set-Apart One (*chasyd 'atah* – Your dedicated and loyal one, Your special and unique individual (adjective singular)) to see (ra'ah – to look upon, to experience, to gloat about, or to find pleasure in) corruption or **degradation** (*shachath* – what it is like to be corrupt or corrupted, useless or ruined, marred or blemished, dishonest exploitation or fraud, especially in association with the slime and the dungeon and pit in which such corrupt and ruinous captives are destroyed)." (*Mizmowr* / Psalm 16:10)

What is particularly illuminating about this statement is that Sha'uwl (mis)quoted it as Divinely inspired, thereby acknowledging that it was true. And yet by misappropriating it for 'Jesus,' Paul undeniably proved that he should not be trusted. It's simple logic, folks.

Paul went on to say... "So it is also stated elsewhere: 'You will not let your holy one see decay."" (Acts 13:35 NIV). Let's never lose sight of the realization that Sha'uwl and She'owl are synonymous for a reason. One leads to the other.

Luke, aware of Paul's twisted portrayal of Psalm 16, did his mentor proud and had "Peter" endorse the same myth..."Because thou wilt not leave my soul in hell, neither wilt thou suffer thine Holy One to see corruption." (Acts 2:27)

Or..."Because (*hoti*) my soul (*psyche* – consciousness) will not (*ou*) be utterly forsaken and totally abandoned (*egkataleipo* – be left behind and deserted) in Hades (*hades* – the abode of the dead). Neither (*oude*) will Your Set-Apart and Dedicated One (*hosios* – loyal and faithful, undefiled and pure) be allowed (*didomi*) to see (*horao* – experience) decay and decomposition (*diaphthora*)." (Acts 2:27)

Which these nimrods are applying into their Jesus. But it doesn't say Jesus! <u>This is Dowd writing</u> <u>about himself!!</u> If the facts are inconsistent with your theory, abandon your theory and find one that is consistent with the facts. Don't just continue to pile up a bunch of inconsistent facts that disprove your theory and say, 'Well, I just marshalled a thousand facts that all prove that theory my is wrong which means it must be right.' Think it through. It doesn't apply to Yahowsha'. Just think for a moment you're God. Your name is Yahowah, you created the Universe, you authored the Towrah, Prophets and Psalms, you fulfilled the Seven Mow'ed Miqra'ey, you established the Covenant, you freed your people from Mitsraym; you did all this and established a nation for them. You have so many fulfilled prophecies they're beyond count, and you can't tell the difference between Dowd your number one guy's name and the name you used to fulfill Passover?

How many letters do Dowd and Yahowsha' have in common, Dowd and Jesus? Do you think that God is so stupid that He inspired Dowd to say this of himself when He really means to say it of Himself? How dumb is the Christian god? I'm not great with names. Some people introduce themselves and five minutes later I've forgotten what that guy's name was. I'm just your average idiot; a seasoned citizen sitting out here in the Virgin Islands. We're talking about God. He knows the difference between Dowd and Yahowsha'. Dowd's name He mentions in His Revelation 2,100 plus times. Yahowsha' as it relates to the Yahowsha' the Passover Lamb He never mentions once, not once. If the Passover Lamb is supposed to be worshipped as God, if he's the basis of a new religion, why wouldn't God say something about him? Why did God spend so much time telling us about Dowd if Dowd doesn't matter and it's really the Passover Lamb? If God wanted us to pay attention to Iesou Christou, don't you think He could find somebody better to fulfill that story than Twiddle Dee and Twiddle Dum?

The inference that Luke (Twiddle Dee) and Paul (Twiddle Dum) were making at Shim'own's and Dowd's expense was that David's body rotted in the grave while "Jesus" did not suffer that indignity. However, the prophecy was addressing Dowd's soul, not Yahowsha's body. It says, 'My soul. Who is the author? Dowd. He doesn't speak about a body. The prophecy was addressing Dowd's soul. Therefore, the authors of the Christian *New Testament* deliberately lied to promote their religion.

For those who say I'm being too harsh on Christianity, that I'm not being politically correct and I'm being mean, and that Jesus wouldn't want me to be mean, are you an idiot? Over 2 billion people have been hoodwinked with their souls stolen from them based upon these lies. It's like saying you don't think we should condemn Hitler because he started the war that robbed 15 million people of their souls. That's besmirching Nazism and is just not fair. Or you're not being multicultural. No! This is a religion that was conceived as a deliberate lie that has robbed billions upon billions of their souls and has harassed and persecuted God's people and has corrupted Yahowah's name. Damn right I'm upset! As should everyone be.

This is a debate between relationship and religion, between right and wrong, between Dowd and Sha'uwl, between the *Towrah* and *New Testament*, and between the Covenant and Christianity. God is on Dowd's side, which is why Loukas and Sha'uwl misquoted this statement and twisted it to claim otherwise. Forewarned is forearmed.

Sha'uwl's way leads to death and destruction while Dowd's way leads to renewed life. It is the reason this Mizmowr was written. Let us never forget...

"You have made known to me (*yada*' '*any* – You are my source of understanding, You reveal information and instruction to me, You enable me to be aware and perceptive such that I am acquainted with, reveal, and experience) the way to ('*orach* – the path, manner, conduct, and route to travel which leads from this place to) life (*chay* – living a bountiful, blessed, favorable, and prosperous existence, nourished, restored, and renewed; from *chayah* – to live and remain alive, with life restored and sustained).

He shared it with us! Or you could believe the man that Yahowah called The Plague of Death. *yada' 'any 'chay* 

"You have made known to me (*yada*' '*any* – You are my source of understanding, You reveal information and instruction to me, You enable me to be aware and perceptive such that I am acquainted with, reveal, and experience) the way to ('*orach* – the path, manner, conduct, and route to travel which leads from this place to) life (*chay* – living a bountiful, blessed, favorable, and prosperous existence, nourished, restored, and renewed; from *chayah* – to live and remain alive, with life restored and sustained).

There is total satisfaction and contentment in the abundant (soba' – there is complete and abounding) transcendent joy (simchah – sublime delight with an uplifting cheerful attitude, favorably entertaining the senses) associated with Your presence ('eth paneh 'atah – through Your appearance and in association with Your face).

**The pleasure of being accepted** (na'ym – the benefits of being considered favorably and becoming acceptable, along with the melodious contentment and satisfaction) **by being right with You** (ba yamyn 'atah – with my orientation on Your right side, with my right hand in Yours, and with me looking east in the morning toward You as the sun rises; from yaman – having chosen to be right) **is glorious and forevermore** (netsach – is splendid and unending, producing everlasting status and permanent prominence)." (Mizmowr / Lyrics to be Sung / Psalm 16:11)

So, what do you add to that? Yes, of course, capitalize upon it, cherish it, share it, benefit from it. That's the only reason this Mizmowr was written. Dowd already knew it; he wrote it to share it with us.

This, which was written by Dowd and of Dowd, is to be compared with this projection onto "Jesus"..."Thou hast made known to me the ways of life; thou shalt make me full of joy with thy countenance." (Acts 2:28)

To accept Yah is to be right. To know Yah is to live. To be with Yahowah is to be joyous, satisfied, and content. We would have to search long and hard to find a more fitting proclamation to refute the likes of Paul and Luke.

We could read this a thousand times and never grow weary of what it reveals. And yet by contrast, there is the swamp of grotesque corruptions known as the Christian *New Testament*. It is filled with deliberately convoluted statements like these written by Luke and yet it is by a factor of a million to one more popular than Yahowah's prophets.

One of two things is true: Shim'own agreed with Sha'uwl and wrongly attributed something Dowd wrote about himself and his relationship with Yahowah, and projected it onto Iesou, or Luke, Paul's apologist and propagandist, put these words in "Peter's" mouth to support his mentor. And if you are wondering who to blame for this deliberate and debilitating deception, you may want to consider who wrote it.

Our excursion into the bowels of the Christian *New Testament* has thus far provided very little to affirm the *Towrah's* presentation of Shabuw'ah. And yet by considering how its authors convoluted Yahowah's intent by misquoting Him, we have learned a great deal about the religion Paul perpetrated to rob Jews of their rights and responsibilities, beginning with Dowd.

This known, if you are interested in knowing or sharing the way to life, consider reading and reciting Dowd's Mizmowr rather than anything scribbled in the Christian *New Testament*. Therein, you will become right, will be accepted, and find satisfaction – enveloped in Yahowah's glory forevermore. It's a pretty good investment of your time.

This is now *Sha'uwl's* | Paul's argument placed in the mouth of *Shim'own* | Peter. It remains inaccurate...

"Gentlemen (*andros*), brothers (*adelphos*), it is possible (*exesti* – permissible and obligatory) after all to say (*eipon* – based on this account) to you in open public discourse, enjoying freedom of speech (*parresia* – without any concern or fear) with regard to (*pros*) and concerning (*peri*) the patriarch (*patriaches* – founder and forefather) David ( $\Delta\Delta$  – placeholder for Dauid, a Greek transliteration for Dowd), that (*hoti*) he both died (*teleutao* – his life is finished and has come to a close) and was buried (*thapto*), and his tomb (*mnema* – grave) exists (*eimi* – and can be identified) among (*en*) us, even until (*achri*) this (*houtos*) day (*hemera*). (Acts 2:29)

Now think about it – why would they make that point? Why would they tell you in the middle of this claim that Jesus was so wonderful that they would tell you that God's Beloved Son is dead and buried?

KIRK: They would have to kill him off.

YADA: Absolutely.

Being (*hyparcho*) therefore (*oun*) a prophet (*prophetes*), and knowing (*oida*) that (*hoti*) Theos ( $\Theta\Sigma$ )

By the way, that means that God's prophet died.

Being (*hyparcho*) therefore (*oun*) a prophet (*prophetes*), and knowing (*oida*) that (*hoti*) Theos ( $\Theta\Sigma$ ) swore (*omnuo*) an oath (*horkos*) that from out (*ek*) of the fruit (*darpos*) of his loins (*osphys*), would sit (*kathizo*) upon (*epi*) His (*autos*) throne (*thronos*), (is not what it says, by the way) he foresaw (*proorao*) and spoke (*laleo*) about (*peri*) the rising up and standing upright (*anastasis*) of the Christon (XPN – placeholder for Christon, which became Christ),

What a pile of lies. They don't have anything that ties something Dowd said to Yahowsha'. So, what are they doing? They are taking 2 Samuel 7 and saying, 'Yes, he was a prophet and knew this was going to happen.' That's not 2 Samuel is written. Yahowah is not calling Dowd a prophet. Dowd isn't figuring anything out. There is nothing in 2 Samuel 7 that shows Dowd as a prophet (because Nathan was the prophet speaking conveying Yahowah's message) and it's not Dowd figuring it out, much less it doesn't point to Yahowsha'. But they had to set it up this way because they have nothing else.

upon (*epi*) His (*autos*) throne (*thronos*) – his throne by the way. Oh yeah, the Passover Lamb is going to be sitting on his throne. I guess that'll be great; the shepherd is going to stand aside.

he foresaw (*proorao*) and spoke (*laleo*) about (*peri*) the rising up and standing upright (*anastasis*) of the Christon (XPN – placeholder for Christon, which became Christ),

I got news here for you, folks. Christon is never mentioned in any page by any prophet throughout the entirety of the Hebrew text.

because namely (*hoti*), He was not (*oute*) left behind and abandoned so as to remain (*egkataleipo* – forsaken and deserted) at (*eis* – inside and within) Hades (*hades* – the abode of the dead), nor (*oute*) did His flesh (*sarx* – physical human body) see (*horao* – experience) decay and decomposition (*diaphthora* – corruption)." (Acts 2:30-31)

It's hard to believe that they were this overtly committed to killing and burying David. If you take the time to read this you will say, 'What in the hell is wrong with these people?' You've got 2.5 billion people that believe them? You are taking the man that God loves the most – He named him My Beloved. God says, 'I don't look at people like you humans do; that's the reason I chose him and anointed him. My Spirit I have placed upon him, and I will never leave him.' And to

take him, the author of the Psalms and Proverbs, the King of Yisra'el, Yahowah's Shepherd for His people, the anointed Mashyach, Yahowah's First-born and to go out of your way to say he's dead, buried and decayed, you are rotten. There is likely nothing in the Universe that these bozos could have said more irritating to his Father - who just happens to be God.

This is Paul's plot, one which Luke advanced by ascribing it to Peter. But every aspect of it is a lie – from the details to its sweeping implications.

For example, unlike 'Abraham, Yitschaq, and Ya'aqob, who have always been the "forefathers" of Yisra'el, Dowd was not a patriarch. Dowd is the Son of God, the King of Yisra'el, and the Messiah – none of which apply to Yahowsha' as is claimed by Luke or Paul. Moreover, the location of Dowd's tomb, other than it is somewhere among the twelve acres which would have comprised "the City of Dowd," remains unknown.

I can tell you the benefit of a fact-checker. I said no one knows where Dowd's tomb is. Mike, my fact-checker said, "You want to be a little careful with that. It's somewhere within the twelve acres that comprise the City of Dowd. Yahowah specifically speaks of his tomb being in the City of David." Okay, it's true. Thank you for the correction. We still don't know where it is. They didn't know where it was then; we don't know where it is now. So, they lied in that regard.

According to Yahowah, Dowd's soul was put in a sleeplike state, awaiting his reawakening prior to his glorious return. His *nepesh* most assuredly is not "dead." Moreover, the prophecy was unequivocally about Dowd's soul, not his physical body as Luke and Paul are insisting. Therefore, his grave is meaningless.

Remember..."By way of contrast, You will not abandon Your association with my soul (*nepesh 'any* – my consciousness) with regard to Sha'uwl, never allowing Your Set-Apart One to see corruption or degradation (*shachath* – what it is like to be corrupt or corrupted, useless or ruined, dishonest or associated with the slime pit in which such corrupt and ruinous captives are destroyed)." (*Mizmowr* 16:10)

The author of the Psalm is Dowd. "My" means me as in Dowd. Nepesh means "soul" not body.

Therefore, Paul's and Luke's argument was predicated upon a false premise and then based upon a citation which does not apply, which they then misappropriated and misquoted. And this is just in the hypothesis stage of their delusion. The prophecy in *Shamuw'el* / 2 Samuel 7, to which Luke is alluding, does not predict the arrival of a Messiah who would be known as *Christon* | Christ. It does not speak of Yahowsha' or of "Jesus." Instead, just like the misappropriated Psalm, 2 Samuel 7 is all about *Dowd* | David – and only the Beloved from beginning to end.

We are no longer broadcasting but we are recording rendition of 2 Samuel

End of Recording