

Properly Guided

The One and Only Covenant...

In his desire for supremacy, Paul, the architect of the Roman Catholic Church, sought to replace everything God holds dear with that which Yahowah opposes: Jews for Gentiles, Israel for Rome, a relationship for a religion, Hebrew for Greek, prophets for those who are presumptuous, His testimony for that of a man, His Covenant with a New Testament. To achieve this result, Paul had to downgrade Dowd and replace him with his “Jesus.”

And yet, Dowd, more than anyone, is the focus of Yahowah’s message. He is Yahowah’s Messiah and King, His Prophet and Shepherd, and, yes, the son of God. Yahowah includes Dowd’s name in more prophetic statements, over one-thousand one hundred of them, than anyone other than His own. Yahowsha’s name, by comparison, is never mentioned by Yahowah, and yet, “Jesus” appears nearly one thousand times in the Christian New Testament. He, not Dowd, is the subject of Paul’s letters.

Dowd was anointed Messiah three times, and constantly referred to as Yahowah’s Mashyach. Yahowsha’ was never called Messiah by Yahowah, and yet the derogatory title “Christ,” which means “to drug” in Greek, appears over 500 times in the Christian New Testament, with the most occurrences, sixty-five, in Romans. The absurd notion of being “in Christ” was written seventy-three times by Paul, who never once

suggested that we should listen to or follow Dowd. Other than to reduce Dowd's relevance to producing the seed (sperm) from which "Jesus" was somehow magically derived, the only actual reference to Dowd in all of Paul's letters is found in Romans.

What's most amazing about all of this, even stupefying, throughout his letters, with all of Paul's butchered citations from the Towrah and Prophets, indeed from Dowd's Psalms, Sha'uwl only provides a single solitary quote from his precious "Lord Jesus Christ," and even then, he completely bungled Yahowsha's commentary on Passover. And that is because the Father of Lies had no interest in what Yahowah or Yahowsha said or did, especially on Passover, only what he could usurp from them to offer a modicum of credibility to what he said and did. The "Lord Jesus Christ" was simply a foil used to create the false impression that the self-proclaimed apostle spoke for God.

Writing on behalf of the Romans who viciously attacked *Yahuwdah* / Judea, murdering and enslaving Jews by the hundreds of thousands in 70 and again in 133 CE, on behalf of these same Romans who would tear down Yahowah's righteous House and use the proceeds to build their deplorable Colosseum, the Son of Evil got down to business. He had to disavow Yahowah's Towrah and replace it with faith in himself.

Since Yahowah had clearly articulated within the opening book of the Towrah each of the five terms and conditions which must be accepted to participate in the Covenant, for Paul to prevail he would have to annul God's instructions and replace them with his rationale. Therefore, what follows is Sha'uwl's attempt to wipe away God's plan and replace it with his own.

"What then shall we say that Abraham, our forefather according to the flesh, has found? (Romans 4:1) For if

Abraham was justified out of works, he has something to boast about, but not toward God. (Romans 4:2) For what does the Scripture say? ‘Abraham believed God, and it was credited to him as righteousness.’ (Romans 4:3)

While I do not know who “we” may be, I do know that what he wrote is not what the Towrah says, thereby negating Paul’s opinion. His entire mantra is based upon a false premise: the failure of the flesh, which he equates to “works of the law,” is circumvented by “faith,” which is tantamount to “believing” him, albeit with all reason to the contrary.

There are no “works of the law” because Yahowah’s Towrah is a source of Teaching and Guidance. While we have to act upon it and engage to capitalize, that isn’t the kind of “work” that would lead to “boasting.”

The only “work” ‘Abram did while building his relationship with Yahowah was to invest the time and energy necessary to listen and learn. This leads to knowing and understanding, together facilitating the ability to trust and rely. Knowing always trumps believing, and understanding is always superior to faith. Those who know have no use for beliefs. Those who understand do not value faith. ‘Abram knew and understood Yahowah which is why he chose to trust and rely upon Him.

Paul’s “according to the flesh” line is Gnostic, and thus has no place in this discussion. As for what he found, the answer is obvious: Yahowah. Further, as part of the Covenant, he was perfected, not “justified.” His perfection was a result of having accepted the conditions of the Covenant, particularly the second, where we allow God to perfect us while attending the Miqra’ey of Matsah, which is when this occurs. Especially important, the work being done is by Yahowah, not ‘Abram, and God does not

boast. Therefore, Paul was wrong on every account, including “what does the Scripture say?”

The statement Sha’uwl mangled actually reads:

“And (wa) he trusted and relied upon (‘aman ba – he verified and confirmed the trustworthy and dependable nature of, and that the truth had been firmly established and proven by) Yahowah, and (wa) He determined it (chashab hy’ – He credited it, imputing, acknowledging, and considering it, taking it into account) as him being correct (la huw’ tsadaqah – to be him being right, and thus innocent, as being honest and in accord with the standard).” (Bare’syth / In the Beginning / Genesis 15:6)

He was still ‘Abram, not ‘Abraham, at this point, and his name was not mentioned in the verse Paul cited. ‘Aman epitomizes “that which is trustworthy and reliable, that which can be verified and confirmed,” and as such, it rises so far above the ignorance of “belief” that the two are the antithesis of one another. Affirming this, *chashab* is an “accounting term,” one that is only comfortable in the objective and exacting realm of debits and credits. *Chashab* is “to determine the merit of something based upon thoughtful evaluation and due process.” Similarly, *tsadaqah* speaks about “being right, being honest and having the correct answer.” These are all concepts which live in the black and white world of what is true and what is false, and not in the grey and fuzzy realms of faith.

In that Sha’uwl has rendered yet another false premise and has falsified his evidence, nothing he says from this point forward matters. He has lost his own debate with self-inflicted wounds.

Since we have come thus far, let’s toy with Paul a little longer. After establishing a Straw Man in 4:1-2, falsifying evidence in 4:3, his statement in 4:4 is a Red Herring. While his next statement is often true, it’s not valid in this context. For example, while I was credited

for the work I had previously done as a businessman, I now work for free as a favor to others and have done so these past eighteen years by sharing these translations and associated insights. However, with the former scenario, while true of our workaday lives, this approach does not pertain to the Towrah or to the claim he is making. It is both distracting and misleading, and thus his argument fails because it's yet another rational fallacy.

“Now to the one who works, his wage is not credited as a favor, but as what is due. (Romans 4:4) But to the one who does not work, but believes in Him who justifies the ungodly, his faith is credited as righteousness, (Romans 4:5) just as David also speaks of the blessing on the man to whom God credits righteousness apart from works: (Romans 4:6) ‘Blessed are those whose lawless deeds have been forgiven, And whose sins have been covered. (Romans 4:7) Blessed is the man whose sin the Lord will not take into account.’” (Romans 4:8)

The point Paul is attempting to make in 4:5 is inaccurate. Yahowah “justly resolves the disputes” of those who “exercise good judgment” regarding His *mishpat*. He does not “justify the ungodly.” By definition, those who are “un-Godly” are unassociated with Yahowah and thus have no way to benefit from what He is offering. Therefore, the “ungodly” cannot be “justified,” further nullifying Paul’s argument.

“Faith” is discouraged because it isn’t based upon reality and cannot be verified, and thus is prone to deception. As such, faith, as a nebulous, unverifiable notion, cannot be “credited” toward anything. Trusting, which is based upon knowing and understanding, is acknowledged as correct. Since Paul spoke Hebrew and cited *Bare’syth* 15:6, he knew this as well, which means he was deliberately trying to deceive.

The *Mizmowr* / Psalm Sha'uwI has misappropriated is one of Dowd's. The 32nd *Mizmowr* begins: "**A poem of contemplation from Dowd** (*la Dowd maskyl* – Dowd has given us something to think about).

Properly guided and happy ('*ashry* – greatly benefited; from '*asher* – rightly led, thus aware of the correct steps which lead to the benefits of the relationship and the straight, restrictive, and narrow path to walk to get the greatest joy and encouragement out of life) **is the one whose open rebellion and broad-based trespass** (*pesha'* – the one whose collective revolt and popular defiance, whose discord and transgression of the agreed standard) **is lifted away and carried off** (*nasa'* – is removed and borne by another (qal passive participle – genuinely and singularly as a verbal adjective)), **whose offense for having missed the way** (*chata'ah* – whose tendency to go astray and incur guilt; from *chata'* – to miss the way, to be wrong, to go down the incorrect path and become offensive, and to miss the mark, earning condemnation) **has been concealed, becoming unknowable** (*kasah* – is hidden, covered, and no longer seen)." (*Mizmowr* / Lyrics to be Sung / Psalm 32:1)

Rightly led and greatly benefited ('*ashry* – properly guided and happy; from '*asher* – accurately guided, thus aware of the correct steps which lead to the benefits of the relationship and the straight, restrictive, and narrow path to walk to get the greatest joy and encouragement out of life) **is a man** ('*adam* – individual) **whose distorted opinions, twisted notions, and wrongdoing** (*la huw'* '*awon* – whose errant attitude and propensity to twist the truth, perversity and depravity, guilt associated with sin; from '*awah* – to pervert by bending, twisting, and distorting)) **Yahowah** (*Yahowah* – the proper pronunciation of the name of '*elowah* – God as directed in His *towrah* – teaching regarding His *hayah* existence and our *shalowm* – restoration) **does not**

actually consider against him (*lo' chashab* – does not consistently reckon, impute, or account (qal imperfect)) **and in whom there is no deceitful spirit proposing that which is untrue** (*wa 'ayn ba ruwach huw' ramyah* – and who is not negated by a spirit of apathy nor for naught in a spirit prone to believe deceptions while promoting that which misleads, whose spirit isn't lazy and slack, unwilling to use their conscience to detect treacherous spirits.)” (*Mizmowr* / Lyrics to be Sung / Psalm 32:2)

Ashry can be shortchanged and rendered “blessed,” but doing so fails to convey the means by which the benefits are received. Once we recognize that *ashry* is from *asher*, we realize that Dowd’s statement is about being “rightly led and properly guided to the correct path to benefit from the relationship.” By leaving the essence of the word unaccounted for in his citation, Paul was able to fool those who were too lazy to ascertain that they were being misled.

Pesha' cannot be thought of as individual “lawlessness” because it refers to a broad-based rebellion against God, of man trespassing where he does not belong, which only takes place on this scale through the most popular religions – say Christianity. Paul wanted his audience to believe that Dowd was speaking of “lawlessness” in the sense of “towrahlessness.” But instead, it’s when our prior affiliations with religion are lifted away by following the correct path prescribed in the Towrah that we are elevated. It is, therefore, those who are Towrah observant rather than those who are without the Towrah who benefit. In this regard, Paul was dead wrong, again.

Speaking of wrong, *nasa'* means “to lift up and carry away,” not “have been forgiven.” Moreover, even the concept of “forgiven” is odd to the Hebrew ear where Yahowah speaks of “lifting away,” “reconciling,” “ransoming,” “delivering,” “liberating,” and “saving,”

but only “forgiving” as an extrapolation from one of these other concepts.

“Sin” is a religious notion, left to such institutions to categorize and stigmatize, which is why it was deployed by Paul. However, since *chata’ah* is from *chata’*, we know that Dowd was speaking of “missing the way.” It is, therefore, being deployed in contrast to *’ashry* | being led along the proper path. If Paul’s intent were correct instruction, he would have drawn his reader’s attention to the fact that all religions mislead and take the faithful away from God. Hebrew poetry is based upon these kinds of couplets, where the same idea is reinforced from two different perspectives using different words. Psalm 32:2 serves to enhance understanding in this fashion.

To appreciate the verb “*kasha* | concealed and unknowable” in this context requires a level of understanding well beyond the grasp of Paul’s audience. This is not like sweeping something under a rug or covering up evidence of a crime. It is addressing the purpose of the Set-Apart Spirit’s Garment of Light, whereby any darkness that once existed on the soul of the wearer is no longer visible, and thus is unknowable. The Covenant’s children are covered in light for this reason.

Both *pesha’* and *chata’ah* are singular in the text, as are the verbs *nasa’* and *kasah*, and thus the plural “deeds” and “sins” Paul used would be inaccurate. And that’s not a small issue, because it was Paul, who in his previous speech, said that all sins could be forgiven those who believe, but not so through the “Law.”

Rather than “blessed” for no reason, which would be asinine, those who “*’ashry* – are rightly led become beneficiaries of being properly guided along the correct path to get the most out of the relationship and life, and are as a result, happy.”

To his credit, Paul rendered “the man” somewhat accurately. He would have been completely correct had the definite article preceded ‘*adam* – something so common in association with “*ha ‘adam* – the man,” he should have taken note of its unusual absence.

For those who are of the belief that it really doesn’t matter what the words mean, then any translation will do. But why would Yahowah have inspired Dowd to use “*chata’ah* – missing the way” and “*‘awah* – distorted opinions and twisted notions from an errant attitude which leads to believing lies” if He wanted the Messiah to write “sins” a second time as Sha’uwl has done? The answer is that He wouldn’t and didn’t. However, the Father of Lies didn’t care what God actually inspired because he was preoccupied with misleading his gullible audience.

Further, God has a name, and Dowd wrote it in the Mizmowr because knowing Yahowah’s name is essential if we want to benefit from what He is offering. Yahowah is our Father, not “the Lord” as Paul states.

As stated previously, *chashab* is an accounting term, and thus lives within the auspices of tangible debits and credits and not in the nebulous realm of beliefs. There is a right and wrong approach, one that is correct and many which are invalid, such that the quantifiable can be documented and recorded, written in mathematical terms and tabulated on a ledger. It’s wholly incongruous when applied to faith. A spreadsheet filled with question marks is useless.

Particularly telling for the demon-possessed Son of Evil, *Sha’uwl* | Paul conveniently omitted the conclusion of *Mizmowr* / Psalm 32:2 from his Roman’s 4 theory. It does not take a genius to realize why he did not want to bring anyone’s attention to the trouble associated with

“*ruwach ramyah* – deceitful spirits proposing that which is untrue.”

Paul is looking as bad as ever. He began with a rational fallacy and false premise and has sought to buttress his argument for faith with a Straw Man followed by an inaccurate and inadequate citation from Dowd’s 32nd Mizmowr. When it comes to believing Paul or trusting God on this subject, only a fool would choose the former based on Romans 4.

Sha’uwl would continue in an exceedingly awkward manner, dividing the world into “the circumcision” and “the uncircumcision,” with the obvious inference that this was not only his issue with the Towrah, but also the primary differentiation between Jews and Gentiles. However, by labeling his audience of Greeks and Romans “the uncircumcision,” he was effectively cutting Christians off from God.

According to Yahowah, and His is the only position which matters in this regard, circumcision is an absolute and non-negotiable requirement for entrance into Heaven and for those who want to live forever as part of His Family. This can only be achieved by celebrating Passover while engaging in the Covenant. Since circumcision is an unequivocal condition for both, since both are needed to enter *Shamaym*, and since neither participation in *Pesach* nor participation in the *Beryth* is allowed without circumcision nor made possible by circumcision alone, Sha’uwl’s distinction isn’t just nonsensical, it overtly exposes his animosity for Yahowah and His instructions.

It is also confusing because there are vastly more circumcised Christians, Muslims, and Secular Humanists than there are circumcised Jews, religious or otherwise. Moreover, there isn’t a single individual from any of

these religions in Heaven – just as there aren't any uncircumcised men in Heaven.

While faith does not lead to salvation, the decision to be circumcised is not germane to the argument Paul has been making between “faith” and “works” – especially since the Towrah's instructions are for parents to circumcise their sons on the eighth day. Jews may be advanced intellectually, but it is laughable to consider the baby's participation in having been circumcised right after birth as having “worked” for their salvation such that it is “their due.” So he is introducing a second fallacy through this argument.

Finally, according to Yahowah, it matters not if one is a Greek or a Jew with regard to circumcision. It is an absolute requirement for Passover, the Covenant, and Heaven – the alternative to which is an eternity in *She'owl* | Hell or the extermination of one's soul. It isn't an issue of ethnicity and is thus spurious to his argument.

“Is this blessing then on the circumcision, or on the uncircumcision also? For we say, ‘Faith was credited to Abraham as righteousness.’” (Romans 4:9)

Yahowah never said “Faith was credited to Abraham as righteousness.” As demonstrated in the rebuttal to Romans 4:3, God actually stated: “**And he [**Abram**] trusted and relied upon Yahowah, so He counted it as him being correct.**” (*Bare'syth* / Genesis 15:6)

The operative verb was *'aman*, which is the antithesis of faith. It is predicated upon “verifying and confirming the truth so that the conclusion is trustworthy and dependable.” Consistent with this approach, Yahowah determined that ‘Abram was “*tsadaqah* – correct.”

Having considered what Yahowah said to him and had shown him, ‘Abram made the right decision and

chose to trust and rely upon the God he had come to know. There is no place for faith in this equation.

Having misquoted God yet again, and having promoted all manner of illogical arguments, Paul cannot be trusted regarding anything he has to say. And as he falls, so does the religion he inspired.

Speaking of anything he has to say, Paul actually condemned himself with a pronoun. In case you missed it, in Romans 4:3 he wrote: “For what does the Scripture say? ‘Abraham believed God, and it was credited to him as righteousness.’” (Romans 4:3) This next time, it’s: “For *we* say, ‘Faith was credited to Abraham as righteousness.’” (Romans 4:9) Either he is claiming to have coauthored the Towrah with Yahowah or to having been there and made this determination regarding ‘Abram. By using “we,” Sha’uwl is presenting himself as the one who thought and spoke for God, making decisions and pronouncements on His behalf two thousand years before he was born.

Since that is not true, Paul could well be confirming the presence of the “messenger of Satan” he spoke about in reference to the thorn in his side at the conclusion of his second cantankerous letter to the Corinthians. Misappropriating and misquoting the word of God is the hallmark of the Adversary. It is the way Satan misled Chawah in the Garden. It is also the Qur’an’s most common voice, with Muhammad including himself in Allah’s declarations by using “we” throughout his supposed revelations.

Paul’s subsequent argument purports to be one of sequence. It is analogous to someone passing the written test required to be a pilot by providing a sufficient number of correct answers before they were certified to actually fly an airplane. God said that ‘Abram had been right to trust Him, not that he was prepared to enter heaven.

Therefore, while it's true that Yahowah's instruction on circumcision followed some of the other things He had said to 'Abram up to this point, the requirement of circumcision is unequivocal – as is passing the written exam before solo flight or being certified as a pilot.

Here is Yahowah's position on this matter...

“Then God said to ‘Abraham, so as for you, you should continually examine and genuinely consider My Family-Oriented Covenant Relationship, and in addition, so should the offspring you conceive following you to approach throughout their generations no matter when or where they live. (17:9)

This specific, one and only, singular Covenant of Mine, which beneficially marks the way to the relationship, you should continuously observe, closely and literally examining, while carefully considering to be discerning and make the intelligent connections to understand Me such that you are perceptive and prudent regarding this association.

To form a thoughtful relationship and make a comprehensible connection between your offspring following you, you should circumcise, accordingly, your every male to help them remember their status. (17:10)

And you all should choose to make a declaration by cutting off and separating the foreskin, truthfully proclaiming and speaking about being circumcised, announcing the truth regarding the principle of circumcision as a sign, as a subtle way of communicating what it means to be set apart with regard to your foreskin's association with the flesh.

Then this will exist as the sign to remember the Family-Oriented Covenant Relationship between Me,

for the purpose of making a connection, and between you, promoting understanding. (17:11)

For a son of eight days, you should circumcise him, and with regard to your every male to remember to approach throughout your dwelling places and generations, for those naturally born in the home and also for those really wanting to be included and acquired through redemption, of every son of foreign lands who relationally are not from your seed. (17:12)

He should absolutely circumcise him, definitely cutting off the foreskin, thereby warding off a deadly and debilitating curse by way of this oath on behalf of the naturally born in your home and also those desiring to be included as well as those who are acquired with your money born out of a deep longing and love for adoption.

This shall be My Family-Oriented Covenant Relationship, in the flesh, and by way of declaring a response, serving as a means to approach toward an everlasting and eternal Family-Oriented Covenant Relationship. (17:13)

As a result, the uncircumcised, the stubborn, unresponsive, untrusting and unreliable, those who are unwilling to listen and be observant, the un-set-apart male who fails to remember to do this who relationally by association does not know the proper way or the benefits of the relationship and is not circumcised, thereby willing to change his direction and priorities and make this binding promise to ward off the curse with regard to the flesh, to be separated from those who preach and publish what mankind wants to hear in association with man's propensity to be drawn together by crafty counsel, by cunning tendencies, and that which is conceived, set forth, ordained, and esteemed to appear comparable, that soul shall be cut

off, excluded and banished, ceasing to exist from her / Her (addressing the *nepesh* which is now severed from the Ruwach Qodesh's Covenant) family.

By way of association, they violated and broke by creating two separate variations, thereby dissociating themselves from My Family-Oriented Covenant Relationship.” (*Bare'syth* / Genesis 17:14)

That was clear, unequivocal, nonnegotiable, and unavoidable. As a result, Paul's proposition that faith prevails while the Towrah fails because Yahowah introduced circumcision as the fifth, and final condition of the Covenant, is yet another rational fallacy.

Drawing back on his original and irrational premise, bypassing his rational fallacies and errant citations, the Despised and Despicable One queries those ill-prepared to Question Him...

“How then was it credited? While he was circumcision, or uncircumcision? Not while circumcised, but while uncircumcised; (Romans 4:10) and he received the sign of circumcision, a seal of the righteousness of the faith which he had while uncircumcised, so that he might be the father of all who believe without being circumcised, that righteousness might be credited to them, (Romans 4:11) and the father of circumcision to those who not only are of the circumcision, but who also follow in the steps of the faith of our father Abraham which he had while uncircumcised. (Romans 4:12)

To believe Paul, ‘Abraham was salvageable before he followed Yahowah's instructions on circumcision, but not after. Based upon what he has written, if you believe him, it would be wrong to do as ‘Abraham has done, which is to listen to God and then engage in His Covenant by doing as Yahowah has requested. According to Paul, only those whose faith includes rejecting God's guidance can be saved. That's a preposterous proposition.

Yahowah explained that ‘Abram was “considered” “right,” because ‘Abram chose to “trust and rely” upon Him. So why did Paul ask a question God has already answered? Once again, Sha’uwl’s affinity for Satan’s tactics in the Garden are condemning. Further, Yahowah was stating that ‘Abram was “correct” with regard to “trusting and relying” upon Him. On another day, God would convey His insistence on circumcision and affirm ‘Abraham’s decision in this regard.

God’s strategy of providing affirmations and corrections along the way is the best method of teaching and guiding. If Yah were to wait for days, weeks, or years before addressing our mistakes or reassuring us when we were correct, it would be nigh on impossible to stay on course and not get lost along the way. I use this same approach every day, affirming that which is correct while challenging mistakes.

Nonetheless, Sha’uwl had a different agenda. He wanted Greeks and Romans to lose sight of the way Yahowah had proposed. And by the time they figured out that they had been taken for a ride, if ever, and realized that Paul had misled them, they’d be too far off course to find the way back home.

We do not “receive the sign of circumcision,” we offer it as a sign that we understand and accept Yahowah’s conditions to participate in His Covenant Family and enter His Home. There is no “seal of the righteousness of the faith,” either. It is an entirely bogus concept – albeit, one with ties to Muhammad who claimed to have had the “seal of a prophet” visible on him.

It’s Paul, not God, who erroneously claims that ‘Abraham is the “Father of all who believe without being circumcised.” In reality, Yahowah is the Father of all who accept the instructive conditions of His Covenant – the

last of which is to be circumcised. According to what we just heard God say, He has never and will not ever adopt a man or boy into His Family, allowing them to enter His Home, who is not circumcised.

In my attempt to be thorough, I would have critiqued Sha'awl's "and the father of circumcision to those who not only are of the circumcision," if I had any idea of what he was trying to say. As for, "who also follow in the steps of the faith of our father Abraham which he had while uncircumcised," it's Yahowah who becomes our "Father," not 'Abraham. The steps 'Abraham walked to engage in the Covenant relationship with Yahowah were prescribed and detailed by God in the opening book of His *Towrah* | Guidance. And that's the biggest issue of all. Sha'awl negated one and failed to mention the other four. Even if the Greeks and Romans listening to him were of a mind to "follow in the steps" of 'Abraham, they wouldn't know which direction to turn. And why would they go in the direction Yahowah outlined in His *Towrah* if, according to Paul, the *Towrah* cannot save and the fifth and final step along the way is counterproductive?

So as not to similarly err, the terms and conditions of the Covenant are as follows: 1) Walk away from the confusing babel of religion and government, from the intermixing of societal customs with the family of man. 2) Walk to Yahowah and allow Him to perfect you by 3) trusting and relying upon Him. 4) Choose to closely examine and carefully consider the conditions of this relationship agreement, 5) being sure to circumcise your sons such that they remember.

It's hard to imagine, but those lines may have been tame compared to this beast...

For the promise to Abraham or to his seed that he would be heir of the world was not through Law, but through the righteousness of faith. (Romans 4:13) For if

those who are of Law are heirs, faith is made void and the promise is nullified; (Romans 4:14) for the Law brings about wrath, but where there is no law, there also is no violation.” (Romans 4:15)

‘Abraham isn’t the “heir of the world.” It is Yahowah’s to give and He has given it to Dowd, His beloved son. That distinction is especially germane considering what Yahowah had to say in Mizmowr 89!

More than anything else, however, beyond the litany of rational fallacies and errant portrayals of Yahowah’s testimony, the ultimate flaw in the self-proclaimed apostle’s reasoning is that the misappropriated statements he is citing regarding ‘Abraham do not exist apart from the Towrah, which is what *Sha’owl* | Paul is misrepresenting as “Law.” There is nothing whatsoever which can be known about ‘Abraham that “was not through Law.”

If the Towrah cannot be trusted, then ‘Abraham is unknowable and there is no merit in following anything it has to say about him or anyone else. If the Towrah can be trusted, then Paul is a liar because his every argument is contradictory to it. As such, there is no rational possibility that Paul is telling the truth. To believe him is to die apart from God. To act upon what he said and wrote is to endure She’owl with him.

It is that black and white, that clear cut, that irrational to be a Christian.

“Faith is made void” by knowing. The “promise is nullified” by not knowing. As such, it’s in our interest to know. And that is why the Towrah was written.

There is “wrath” in the Towrah because Yahowah is a loving father who cares enough about His children to admonish them when they act in a self-destructive manner and to condemn those who would abuse them.

Without righteous indignation, indeed without hate, love is so shallow and aloof it becomes meaningless. We would do well to learn from God and know what we should love and what we should hate, and then express both appropriately. There are many things worthy of our love, including Yahowah, His Towrah, and His Covenant. There are far more deserving of our disdain, including Paul, Akiba, Hadrian, Muhammad, and Hitler, all religions and governments.

Sha'uwl realized that by targeting Greeks and Romans, he had a better chance of cajoling his audience into believing him. They would be universally ignorant of the Towrah and Prophets, making it relatively simple for him to retell it as he saw fit. As an example, Paul had to ignore these words which were spoken to Yitschaq, 'Abraham's son:

“I will grow and thrive with your offspring in connection with the highest and most illuminated heaven. So I will give to your seed everything associated with the realm of God. Also, all people from every race and place on the earth will be blessed with favorable circumstances through your descendants. This is because, beneficially focused on the relationship, ‘Abraham listened to the sound of My voice and he continuously observed and closely examined My considerations, the terms and conditions which comprise the Covenant, My inscribed prescriptions for living which cut you into the relationship, and My Towrah (*Towrah* ‘any – My teaching, guidance, direction, and instruction).”
(*Bare'syth* / Genesis 26:4-5)

And therein, Paul loses all credibility.

It is this simple: For Paul's proposition to be true, God must be a liar. For Paul to be right about 'Abraham, God must be wrong about him.

However, Sha'awl's moronic diatribe in Romans 4:13-15 is accurate in one way: "if those who are of the Law [Towrah] are heirs, faith is made void and the promise [Paul has made] is nullified."

It is the religious who mislead the world by calling Yahowah's *Towrah* | Teaching "the Law," which is why the religious garner Yahowah's wrath. Those who are Towrah observant love God, and He loves them in return.

Since God doesn't have a Law, Paul's concluding point is moot. But even if He proposed one, Paul's position is stunningly stupid, "where there is no law, there also is no violation." On one hand, it is like saying that if a speed limit were not posted at 25MPH in a School Zone, there would be no problem driving past children at 100MPH. And in this context, what would be the purpose of salvation, based upon faith or otherwise, if killing, lying, and stealing, kidnap, rape, and pedophilia weren't a problem?

This only makes sense if the truth is derived by lying, if reason is the residue of rational fallacies, and if misquoting God leads to salvation...

"For this reason it is out of faith, in order that it may be in accordance with grace, so that the promise will be guaranteed to all the seed, not only to that which is the Law, but also to that which is the faith of Abraham, who is the father of us all, (Romans 4:16) (as it is written, 'A father of many nations have I made you') in the presence of Him whom he believed, even God, who gives life to the dead and calls the things which do not exist as existing." (Romans 4:17)

It's a bad idea to be "in accordance with grace," as the *Gratia*, upon which the term was based, are pagan goddesses. They are as useful as faith in Paul's promises.

The only guarantee is that those who are *Towrah* | Guidance observant, who answer Yahowah's *Miqra'ey* | Invitations, and who accept the conditions of the *Beryth* | Covenant are perfected, living forever as part of the Covenant Family, empowered and enriched by God. And those, like Paul, who strive to negate Yahowah's Guidance in this regard, will be imprisoned forever in the place which bears Sha'uwl's name: *She'owl*.

As stated a moment ago, the most obvious affirmation that *Sha'uwl* | Paul is as Yahowah has stated, the Father of Lies, isn't his devotion to misrepresenting what God has revealed by removing every statement from its context and then errantly citing it. It is more fundamental than that. By consistently using his twisted renditions of Yahowah's *Towrah* as credible proof that his arguments against the *Towrah* are valid, his audience must at the same time see both the *Towrah* and his denunciations of it as inspired by God. That is irrational. It is also impossible.

Yahowah carefully articulated one approach to heaven: through His *Towrah*, *Miqra'ey*, and *Beryth*. Paul has laid out another: through his "New Testament," its "Gospel of Grace" and "Salvation through Faith" – which is by placing one's faith in a demon-possessed imposter. They are not only entirely different concepts and go in opposite directions, Paul isn't just claiming that the *Towrah* proves that his way saves everyone, he is saying that God's path cannot save anyone.

For Paul to be right, God must be wrong. But if God is wrong, by claiming to speak for Him, Paul is wrong. If Yahowah cannot save, why would anyone believe that Paul can?

Christianity is actually based upon the absurd notion that the God who created the universe, conceived life, authored the *Towrah*, and proved His authenticity

through prophecy, is dishonest, incompetent, and unreliable, an abject failure who had to use this deceitful and irrational man to come up with an entirely different plan, one that discredits Him and demeans His Towrah and Chosen People. Even in the realm of faith, this is unbelievable.

It's so wearisome now, it's easy to miss, but Sha'uwl took yet another Towrah citation out of context to buttress his argument in his previous statement. This time he pilfered part of *Bare'syth* / Genesis 17:5 when he wrote: "as it is written, 'A father of many nations have I made you.'"

In context, Yahowah is speaking to 'Abram about the *Beryth* | Covenant which *Sha'uwl* | Paul has not even mentioned. And as is the case throughout the Word of God, by being observant we learn that Sha'uwl was wrong because according to God the Towrah perfects the imperfect. We discover that the Covenant is the means to approach God and that He is offering us the opportunity to live with Him in His Home as a gift – and thus not as a result of works or what is due. We also learn that the Covenant is God's Family. We avail ourselves of it through understanding, not faith. It is designed to increase every aspect of our nature to the greatest extent possible.

By closely examining and carefully considering Yahowah's testimony, we find God trying to encourage us, lifting us up so that we get off of our knees. Especially revealing, this *Beryth* | Familial Relationship Agreement established between Yahowah and 'Abram would "*hamown* – abundantly enrich and marvelously magnify" those who respond intelligently to it. Sadly, others would falsely claim an affinity with 'Abraham, and they would father far more who are "*hamown* – troublesome and uproarious, a great number of enraged and confused, especially wealthy and loud-mouthed" "*gowym* – populations of people and nations with shared ethnicity

or geography.” In the former, God is addressing His Covenant Family and in the latter Christians and Muslims – the religions which falsely follow Paul’s lead and claim that they are Abrahamic.

Therefore, everything is the opposite of *Sha’uwl’s* | Paul’s claims. Rather than two Covenants, one for Jews and the other for Gentiles, one based upon the Towrah and the other on Faith, according to Yahowah there is only one *Beryth* | Covenant Family and Home and it is for every ethnicity forever. That is a lot to learn from the context the Son of Evil sought to avoid...

“Choose of your own volition and of your own initiative to walk (*halak* – decide to move independently, traveling through life apart from the interference or influence of others (hitpael imperative – an expression of freewill which is wholly unencumbered by outside influences)) towards My presence (*la paneh ‘any*) and thereby (*wa*) as a result of this decision become genuinely (*hayah* – be, coming to exist through this choice (qal imperative – genuinely by choice)) perfect, entirely right, and totally fulfilled, lacking nothing throughout the whole of time (*tamym* – completely innocent and eternally without defect), (*Bare’syith* 17:1) so that (*wa*) I can choose to actually and continually give (*nathan* – I, of My own accord, can elect to genuinely and literally produce, always offering and bestowing (qal imperfect cohortative – a literal interpretation of a genuine relationship offer with ongoing and unfolding consequences throughout time at the discretion of the speaker who has made this choice under the auspices of freewill)) My Family Covenant Relationship (*beryth ‘any* – My Home and Household Agreement, My Family-Oriented Pledge and Contractual Arrangement, My Binding Oath Regarding a Treaty Between Us, My Marriage Vow; from *beyth* – family and home) for the purpose of understanding by making

connections between Me and you (*bayn 'any wa 'atah wa byn 'atah* – to provide insights which enable a connection to be made between Me and you so that you and I can be discerning based upon closely examining and carefully considering My teaching and instruction so that you use good judgment to respond properly throughout the long interval of time).

And then (wa) I will continually increase every aspect of your nature (*rabah 'eth 'atah* - I will intensify your capabilities, enable you to fly, multiplying the magnitude of your dimensionality, enhancing and prolonging your ability to grow, to thrive, and to continue living, augmenting your value and importance) **to the greatest extent and highest degree possible** (*ba me'od me'od* – exceedingly and abundantly, mightily, empowering you for a prolonged time beyond the highest point on the scale). (*Bare'syth* / In the Beginning / Genesis 17:2)

But 'Abram (wa 'Abram) fell (*naphal* – collapsed, lay down, failing as a result of being negligent) **on his face** (*'al paneh huw'*), **so (wa) God ('elohym) spoke to influence him** (*dabar 'eth huw'* – communicated to him, expressing Himself, He had words to share concerning this, putting him into action by changing his approach based upon what was said (piel stem and imperfect conjugation – the object, 'Abram, receives the full benefit of these words and was put into a different position on an ongoing basis with unfolding consequences as a result of this communication)) **for the purpose of encouraging him, and said** (*la 'amar* – approached by saying (qal infinitive – genuinely and intensively, literally emphasizing the action), (17:3)

'Pay attention and look up at Me (*'any hineh* – stand up, look up, and reach up to Me, listen to Me and become observant because, behold, here I Am, calling your attention to the details in this narrative while

emphasizing the idea that you should change your perspective and view regarding Me). **My Covenant** (*beryth* 'any – My Family and My Home, My Relationship Agreement, My Family-Oriented Pledge and Contractual Arrangement, My Binding Oath Regarding this Treaty Between Us, My Marriage Vow; from *beyth* – family and home) **is with you** ('*eth* 'atah – is through you and in association with you).

You shall be (*wa hayah* – you will exist as (qal perfect – genuinely and relationally be for a finite period of time), **accordingly** (*la* – moving toward and approaching), **father** ('*ab*) **of abundantly enriched and magnified but also troublesome and uproarious** (*hamown* – very wealthy and substantially enhanced, exceedingly amplified and multiplied, in addition to a great number of enraged and confused, turbulent and tumultuous, especially wealthy and loud-mouthed) **populations of peoples** (*gowym* – gentiles, nations with shared ethnicity or geography, non-Yisra'elites, pagans and heathens). (*Bare'syth* / In the Beginning / Genesis 17:4)

And (*wa*) **with regard to** ('*eth* – through or by means of, even antagonistically against) **your name** (*shem* 'atah), **'Abram** ('*Abram* – from '*ab* and *ruwm*: Father who Raises and Lifts Up, Father who Grows and Increases, Father who enhances someone's capability and status, providing the added aptitude, competency, and proficiency to increase dimensionality and take it to a higher place), **it will no longer be called out** (*lo' qara' owd* – will not be invited or summoned, designated or proclaimed, conscripted nor invoked as a witness in a New Testament or Qur'an as a means to provide some credibility in a way which unfavorably alters the circumstances and adversely changes the future (nifal stem is the reflexive counterpart of the qal stem which establishes a genuine and literal relationship, whereby the

subject both carries out and receives the action of the verb, sometimes passively, and the imperfect addresses something which is ongoing, often continual and habitual, with unfolding consequences throughout time)), **but instead** (*wa* – and), **your name** (*shem 'atah* – your reputation and renown) **shall come to exist as** (*hayah* – it shall be, existing as the means to define Yahowah's name and reputation (*qal* – actually and literally in the relationship perfect – completely and totally during a finite period of time)) **'Abraham** (*'Abraham* – Merciful Father of the Enriched and Plentiful Father of the Confused; from *'ab* – father and either *ruwm* – to lift up, *hamown* – to abundantly enrich some while creating a large population of confused and uproarious individuals, or *racham* – merciful and loving), **for the reason and to show a contrast** (*ky* – because surely to designate an exception through causation and to imply an alternative) **as the father** (*'ab* – progenitor; from *'abah* – to be willing to accept, to desire and to be content with announcing and demonstrating a willingness to offer one's consent to be received and accepted, longing for a harmonious relationship while providing permission to be received by the one offering it) **of abundantly enriched and magnified but also a multitude of troublesome, confused, and uproarious** (*hamown* – very wealthy and substantially enhanced, exceedingly amplified and multiplied, but also a great number of enraged and confused, turbulent and tumultuous, especially wealthy but corrupted and loud-mouthed and unrestrained) **ethnicities** (*gowym* – populations of people, nations with shared culture or geography, typically non-Yisra'elites whose religious and political customs are to be rejected) **I have caused to be appointed through you** (*nathan 'ath* – I have allowed and produced, brought to bear and placed, given and permitted through you (*qal* perfect)).” (*Bare'syth* / In the Beginning / Genesis 17:5)

Yahowah was, therefore, not addressing the supposed benefits of faith nor was He denouncing the efficacy of His Towrah. The truth, therefore, invalidates Paul's claims in Romans 4:16-17: "so that the promise will be guaranteed to all the seed, not only to that which is the Law, but also to that which is the faith of Abraham, who is the father of us all, (as it is written, 'A father of many nations have I made you')." The reason that it is unwise to remove a handful of words from the discussion of which they were part is clearly demonstrated through Paul's misappropriation of this statement which actually contradicted his argument. It is his most repetitive mistake.

The concluding comment by the Son of Evil was so poorly written, it's hard to know what the Plague of Death was attempting to say. Since Yahowah's statement was communicated directly to 'Abraham, he ('Abraham) was obviously in His (God's) presence, rendering the clause verbose. Further, "whom he believed, even God" is inferring that Paul's god became a believer too, someone who has placed his faith in Paul's contrarian notions. I suspect that it was time for the Pauline god to become a Christian too. Why not?

In our commitment to accuracy, it's important to note that Yahowah does not "give life to the dead." He created life and the means to extend it eternally. The dead cannot be saved.

The concluding phrase was rendered exactly as Paul wrote it, which is to say that the Word of God, according to Paul, is comprised of myths and fairytales. It appears Pope Leo X's infamous quotation, "How well we know what a profitable superstition this fable of Christ has been for us and our predecessors," wasn't original.

Anyone who "calls the things which do not exist as existing" is lying by asserting as credible that which is

demonstrably untrue. That's a bold claim without basis in fact. And to the contrary, Yahowah called everything into existence which exists.

Before we press on, the Son of Evil's position is untenable. One cannot rationally or credibly claim authority and inspiration from God while contradicting and denouncing God.

The Father of Lies would have Christians "believe" that Yahowah's seven meetings with 'Abraham had nothing to do with building a relationship which would confidently and reliably lead to the Covenant, but instead it was only about "hope" and thus "faith," – notions which were never introduced nor appropriate. Nonetheless, for those who prefer that which is ignorant and irrational, here are the words of the man who fathered your religion...

"In hope against hope he believed, so that he might become a father of many nations according to that which had been spoken, 'So shall your seed be.'" (Romans 4:18)

Why is "hope against hope?" Is hope in conflict with hope? And why would "hope against hope" lead to "belief?" It is true, however, that hope in that which is false is contrary to all of the desired benefits of hope. So, hope is against hope when one's hope is placed in Paul.

Having read the text as Yahowah conveyed it, we appreciate the fact that 'Abram "trusted and relied upon" God and that in "recognition of this" Yahowah made a "binding promise" to 'Abram. He would have no reason to "hope" since he knew.

The citation of "'So shall your seed be,'" is once again taken out of context to infer something God did not say. There is no mention of "hope against hope" or of "believing" in Genesis 15:5, the passage the Son of Evil

is misappropriating to explain the consequence of his seed.

During this meeting, God promised to protect and deliver ‘Abram from harm’s way in addition to promising to reward him by providing passage into His presence. But unfortunately, ‘Abram was insufficiently unaware at the time to have any appreciation of what he would be inheriting from Yah. As a result, ‘Abram tried to modify God’s plan, changing the nature of the Covenant from being that of a parent enriching the lives of children to one with financial implications. Even worse, ‘Abram’s servant was from Damascus (*Dameseq* – To Grow Dumb, silenced and destroyed, judged and weeping, cut off in the likeness of dung), the birthplace of Pauline Christianity. Further, and in a death knell to the hopes of Christians with their alternative approach to reality, to ‘Abram’s proposed revisions, Yahowah said, “No!”

‘Abram’s reasoning, even when flawed, reveals that faith wasn’t the answer. If it were simply a matter of believing, ‘Abram wouldn’t have questioned Yahowah nor proposed a more readily available solution. It’s a wonder Christian apologists fail to appreciate God’s own rebuttal to their wannabe apostle’s argument when it is staring them in the face. But such is the problem with faith.

For those who are actually interested in Yahowah’s testimony regarding His Covenant, God revealed precisely what He is offering, which is to transform us from naturally deteriorating and weak physical beings stuck in time, to light, instantly empowering and enriching us, profoundly enhancing our capabilities while making us perfect and immortal. This realization is so vital to our understanding, Yahowah encourages us to ponder the implications of being empowered in this manner. In this way the seeds would be sown such that

the descendants of ‘Abram “might eternally exist in this manner and place.”

With this summation providing some perspective, here then is the conversation between Yahowah and ‘Abram...

“On your behalf and for you to draw near, I am your defender, delivering you from harm. I am your exceedingly great and uplifting reward, providing payment for passage as a servant and shepherd, as a generous father and reliable doorkeeper, who is devoted to serving by providing recompense. (*Bare’syth* 15:1)

So ‘Abram responded by asking, ‘Yahowah, what are You actually giving to me that has any ongoing value and what are the implications? For I go about childless, without a son or a daughter, and the child who will inherit my home, he is ‘*Ely’ezar* | Helping god of *Dameseq* | Growing Dumb | Damascus.’ (*Bare’syth* 15:2)

Then ‘*Abram* | the Uplifting Father continued, ‘Take note and consider the context. You have not given me seed or offspring. So look, this is the child of my household who must be my heir.’ (*Bare’syth* 15:3)

Now pay attention because something important is being accentuated, the Word of Yahowah moved closer to him, approaching to say, ‘This suggestion, this proposal and condition, is disrespectful and is rejected. He shall not be the recipient of your inheritance. On the contrary and as a condition, the means to reveal the way to walk along the path to the benefits of the relationship shall be brought forth from your inner being. He will be an inheritance for you.’ (*Bare’syth* 15:4)

Then He took him, bringing him with Him as a companion to accompany Him outside to an expansive place in the universe which was an extension of the source.

And He provided answers, saying, ‘Please, I am asking and encouraging you with a sense of urgency to observe and choose to consider so as to understand, and then respond appropriately to the heavens and the spiritual realm, the universe and stars within it as well as the abode of God beyond, and accurately relate to this proof of the agreement associated with the light of the stars and heavenly powers, even what it would be like to exist as light, becoming an illustrious luminary with spiritual empowerment if you are able to actually comprehend, enduring forever by recognizing the meaning of this information which empowers you to prevail by quantifying these things.’

And then He made a promise by saying to him, ‘Your extended family based upon what you sow will genuinely and eternally exist in this manner and place.’” (*Bare’syth / In the Beginning / Genesis 15:5*)

The man of a thousand words somehow managed to forego every meaningful instruction Yahowah provided and reduced God’s brilliant teaching with regard to the enlightenment and empowerment associated with His Covenant to: ‘So shall your seed be.’ Recognizing that the stakes are life and death, it’s criminal negligence.

I’m nobody special, just an ordinary fellow, but in the past fifteen minutes we have been together I’ve deduced and shared more pertinent information about Yahowah and His Covenant than everything Paul had to say over his lifetime. It ought not be that way. And yet because Paul’s diatribe has been incorporated into the “Bible,” billions are misled by him. It is little wonder

Yahowah calls him the “Plague of Death” and “Father of Lies.”

Apart from the fact that Paul’s arguments are fallacious, and apart from the fact that he consistently misrepresents what God has revealed to us, he was a horrible writer. By way of example...

“Without becoming weak in faith he contemplated his own body, now as good as dead since he was about a hundred years old, and the deadness of Sarah’s womb; (Romans 4:19) yet, with respect to the promise of God, he did not waver in unbelief but grew strong in faith, giving glory to God, (Romans 4:20) and being fully assured that what God had promised, He was able also to perform. (Romans 4:21) Therefore it was also credited to him as righteousness. (Romans 4:22)

No! ‘Abram contemplated the nature of light and how it was related to his inheritance through the Covenant – not “his own body.” He wasn’t “as good as dead” but was just starting to live. He would go on to father two sons and raise one of them, and he is still living as part of Yah’s family.

As for “the deadness of Sarah’s womb,” while it’s wrong in that she gave birth to Yitschaq, there is more to the story. In his letter to the Galatians, Sha’uwl lied by stating that the Towrah’s Covenant “enslaved because it was with Hagar.” It was, in fact, this lie that caused me to turn on Paul and become his accuser. Little did I know at the time that I’d encounter a thousand more lies.

The Father of Lies was also wrong in claiming “he did not waver in unbelief but grew strong in faith.” While *Bare’syith* 15 presents the first time ‘Abram wavered, it wouldn’t be the last. He would ask Yahowah to accept Ishmael, too. It’s as if Paul didn’t bother to read the text he was misappropriating. It’s little wonder he flunked out of rabbinical school.

The line “giving glory to God” does not appear in any of Yahowah’s meetings with ‘Abraham, but it has become part of the Christian vernacular. When greeting Moseh, Yahowah said, “I am who I am,” telling us that we cannot add anything to Him or take anything away. Yahowah is glorious, but not because we humans glorify Him.

If ‘Abram “was fully assured that what God had promised,” was true, then there would have been no reason for “faith.” And while only Paul knows what “He was able also to perform,” means, because if his “performance” was “also credited to him as righteousness,” then Paul has just undermined his entire argument for faith instead of works. This is truly embarrassing.

The argument for faith *Sha’uwl* | Paul is proposing, based upon a Red Herring and Strawman, one predicated on fabricated evidence, and one where Paul assails his alleged source of credibility, is winding down to a pathetic whimper...

“Now not for his sake only was it written that it was credited to him, (Romans 4:23) but for our sake also, to whom it will be credited, as those who believe in Him who raised Jesus our Lord from the dead, (Romans 4:24) He who was delivered over because of our transgressions, and was raised because of our justification.” (Romans 4:25)

Excuse me, but nothing Paul has claimed was “written” has been cited accurately. Whether it be accounting or computing: garbage in – garbage out. The truth is not found in the swamp of religious ideas.

It’s a shame Christians don’t question why, since Paul was in Yaruwshalaim when Yahowsha’ chose His Disciples, he wasn’t among them. It is a shame that Christians don’t care that he misquotes God and

condemns the chosen Disciples and People. It's a shame they don't apply Yahowah's test to determine he was a false prophet. It's a shame that they are not bothered by the fact his arguments were irrational. It's a shame that they value his rubbish above Dowd's brilliance. It is a shame they believe that he is the lone authorized apostle of Yahowsha' when he never quoted anything he said. It is a shame Christians don't bother to consider the hundreds of condemnations of *Sha'uwel* | Paul throughout the prophets. But such is the consequence of faith.

While it's good to expose all that was bad about the Despised and Despicable One, during our protracted review of the many reasons Dowd is Yahowah's beloved son, His anointed Messiah, and the King of Kings, we ought not lose our appreciation for what Yahowah achieved through Yahowsha'. The Son of Man became the Passover Lamb, and in so doing, His body resolved the problem of our mortality.

However, the "justification" for doing so is not as Paul has claimed, but instead based entirely upon our acceptance of Yahowah's Towrah promise to deliver His people from death as a result of it. We are relieved of our "transgressions" on *Matsah* | UnYeasted Bread, when our souls are unleavened. But this too requires that we act and answer Yahowah's invitation to receive these benefits.

Dowd speaks vociferously and clearly about the things which matter most to us and to God while Paul speaks against him and them. They are Day and Night. Right and Wrong. Oil and Scum.

